Article

Reforming Geotechnical Engineering Education in Contemporary Learning Environments

Caidong Chen [1,*]

[1] Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, Hainan, China
* Correspondence: Caidong Chen, Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, Hainan, China

Abstract: Technology support the rubber and usability of edifice. Transportation systems, underground space development, and bouncy base. Contemporaneous praxis is increasingly regulate by urbanisation. Complex subsurface construction, clime-drive fortune (e. G. Uttermost rain, landslide, flooding). And the digital transformation of project delivery. Educational environments are develop toward competence-base and project-ground modelling corroborate by immix acquisition and datum-enabled assessment. Yet many curricula remain dominated by deterministic calculations and course structures, with circumscribed pic to reliable site investigation workflows, uncertainty management, instrumentation data interpretation, and the professional sagaciousness required to equilibrise rubber, price. Constructability, and sustainability. Taking education as the theme and technology as the background. This report nominate an incorporate Competency-Scenario-Evidence (CSE) fabric to align (1) a competency matrix sweep subsurface characterization, psychoanalysis and design, expression and monitoring, risk governance. And communication; (2) scholarship scenarios machinate as end-to-end project lines linking fieldwork, laboratory testing, numerical modeling, hence and design decision memos; and (3) grounds-base judgement practice title, portfolios. And -inspection. Including modular curriculum redesign, practical and laboratory, sensor-informed learning activities, BIM/GIS integration, thinking. And guard-and-morality, implementation pathways are ply, plant teaching. The subject provide actionable recommendation for university and introduction seeking to school geotechnical pro capable of shit, data-informed conclusion in changeable ground conditions.

Keywords: Engineering education; technology; site investigation; doubtfulness; risk-establish intent; fieldwork; instrumentation; BIM/GIS; digital geotechnics; labor-ground erudition

Received: 22 December 2025
Revised: 09 February 2026
Accepted: 24 February 2026
Published: 28 February 2026

1. Introduction

Engineering deals with stain, tilt, and and groundwater-materials whose holding are spatially, to note straightaway, and ofttimes to construction disturbance and environmental change. Unlike many engineered components manufacture to specification, hence the background naturally is "as obtain," and the technologist must derive its behaviour through site investigation, examination, modeling, and experience. This inherent dubiety makes geotechnical decision realize both technically intriguing and ethically : fault can contribute to extravagant contortion, foundation failure, excavation collapse, slope instability, hence and unsufferable risk to life and dimension [1].

At the time. Practice is changing. Metropolis are amplify clandestine space through inscrutable cellar, metro systems, utility tunnels, and co-locate infrastructure corridors. Climate change preface new boundary conditions. Include neutered groundwater regimes, more frequent extreme rain, freeze-thaw variability, and uprise sea levels. This can worsen geohazards and quicken impairment. Digital delivery methods-BIM-enabled coordination, thereby sensor networks, construction monitoring dashboards, and datum-centrical asset management-are progressively engraft in undertaking [2].

Setting are switch. Outcome-base pedagogy (OBE) and competency frameworks emphasize incontrovertible ability rather than content coverage. Project-based learning (PBL) and experiential learning strategies promote integration of knowledge through authentic tasks. Digital platforms enable precept, acquire analytics. And simulation-based safety training. With technology. Where erudition depend on confronting doubtfulness, understand weak information. And prepare decisiveness under constraint, these fracture are. Many program struggle to translate these educational apotheosis into logical curriculum structures and assessment practices [3].

This paper course adopt education as its lense and process engineering as the contextual world. In current breeding, it synthesize common opening and offer a reform pathway through a Competency-Scenario-Evidence framework. The goal is to provide guidance for introduction search to make graduates who can desegregate field observations, laboratory evidence, analytic exemplar, hence and professional touchstone in geotechnical practice [4].

2. Contemporary Educational Environment and Emerging Demands in Geotechnical Practice

In contemporaneous engineering education, three drift increasingly are especially to geotechnical education. Firstly, competence and learning-outcome orientation command programs to articulate what educatee can do upon gradation (, guide site investigations, interpret examination, excuse invention; contend endangerment) and to make assessment evidence aligned with these issue. Veritable learning strategies intrinsically stress -world tasks, interdisciplinary integration, and iterative purpose, hence this mirror the workflow of geotechnical task. Tertiary, digitalization expands the learning environment beyond the schoolroom by enable remote labs, practical field experiences. And datum-centrical feedback mechanisms [5].

Expectations in geotechnical practice are germinate. Employers progressively try graduates who can: (a) design investigation programs and see in-situ and lab tests; (b) use modeling tools while recognizing model limitations; (c) work within multi-corrective team, communicating geotechnical entailment to, architectural, and construction stakeholders; (d) use endangerment-found and experimental approaching to superintend uncertainty; and (e) integrate sustainability and resiliency considerateness, as low-carbon ground improvement methods, reuse of excavated materials. And fortune-inform invention for side and coastal grounding.

These unite educational and industry trends evoke that geotechnical education should not be restrain to instruct formulas or code checks. Collecting datum, hence questioning assumptions, identifying doubtfulness, assessing consequences, and document decisiveness,; it should cultivate the wont of evidence-based reasoning:.

A farther implication is that encyclopedism should be explicitly posit in place-ground conditions and project cultures. A program break for coastal reclamation regions, monsoon climates, corridors, karst terrains, or apace urbanizing delta cities should not trust on generic model entirely. Because pupil require to see how regional hydrogeology, construction practice. And outlook remold geotechnical opinion. For this cause, a contemporaneous program benefits from combine oecumenical principle with topically ground case banks. These casing banks can include failed keep scheme, successful stage-excavation projects, unmanageable dewatering episodes, indulgent-ground settlement management, and slope remediation under seasonal rainfall. When student equate such showcase, they progressively commence to know that knowledge is not a catalog of equality but an discipline in which the same calculation must be say differently calculate on site history, data reliability. And consequence severity. This variety of contextualized eruditeness also support resilience education, because educatee learn to ask not merely whether a purpose lead a tick. But whether it continue when groundwater, and burden, sequence, or hazard intensity diverge from premise [6].

3. Typical Gaps in Current Geotechnical Engineering Training

1. Overemphasis on deterministic problem sets. Foundational course course prioritize shut-form solutions with simplified effrontery (homogeneous grease, and enfeeble consideration, linear demeanor). While such problem are for understanding, they may accidentally further the notion that design is a "" calculation, than a discernment-found appendage that desegregate varying data; multiple manakin. And safety considerations.
2. Consolidation of fieldwork, laboratory testing. And design decisions. Bookman may memorize borehole logging, Atterberg limits, consolidation tests, or triaxial examination as subject, but miss a uninterrupted learning experience that connect these observation to parameter selection, design checks, construction methods. And monitoring plans [7].
3. Attending to doubtfulness and risk governance. Subsurface variability, sampling disturbance, model uncertainty. And construction effects are fundamental to outcomes. If at all, yet doubtfulness is oft plow informally-. Students may graduate without systematic tools to represent uncertainty, evaluate consequences, or communicate risk to stakeholders.
4. Feeble training for communicating and interdisciplinary coordination. Info must be communicate through logs, visibility, story. And design memos that are operational by the broader project team. Without structured practice, bookman may clamber to translate expert answer into decision that address constructability, schedule, thereby toll, and and compliance.

This gap later is because geotechnical engineer often influence decisions indirectly quite than through sequester design authority. In recitation. A technically testimonial can nevertheless break if it is not interpret into construction staging notes, trigger levels; contractual requirement, or concise warnings that non-specialist can act on. Educatee therefore postulate recapitulate chance to exchange subsurface interpretation into communication formats: a short brief for project managers, a parameter selection note for designers, a trigger-action table for declarer, thereby and a limitation statement that elucidate what is acknowledge and what rest unsealed. In educational footing, this think communicating should not be shelve to a concluding intro. Throughout the scenario sequence. It should be engraft, so that each milestone also ask an interview-specific papers. Such practice progressively develop answerableness, because students learn that employment is judged not merely by edification, but besides by whether recommendations are seasonable. And across the project team.

Ethics, thereby safety culture, and responsibility are not profoundly embedded. Field investigations and construction activities require luck (drilling safety, restrict spaces, excavation collapse) and eventful decisiveness. As add-ons rather than core professional value, if ethics and guard are presented as standalone lectures sooner than decision constraints, student may address them.

4. A Competency-Scenario-Evidence Framework for Geotechnical Education

To ordinate learning with contemporaneous demand, this report proposes a Competency-Scenario-Evidence (CSE) model. As a organisation that connects: (1) defined competencies; (2) scenario that return opportunity to establish these competence, the framework regale curriculum design; and (3) assessment evidence that is, and and advance-point.

Competencies should speculate the broad geotechnical workflow-from subsurface characterization to construction monitoring and -evaluation-while include transversal skills as communication, teamwork, morals; and risk governance. As end-to-end undertaking that need scholar to pretend decisions using uncompleted and unsettled data, scenario should be structured. Grounds should be amass through portfolio, rubrics. And multi-stakeholder critique, gain discover resultant and [8].

Table 1 Consistently outlining the core competencies involve from theoretic discernment to hardheaded coating and their interrelationship, gift an example competency matrix for engineering education.

Table 1. Example Competency Matrix for Geotechnical Engineering Education

Competency Domain	Observable Performance	Typical Evidence	Assessment Focus
Site Investigation & Ground Model	Plans investigation; logs boreholes; builds an interpretative ground model and visibility	Investigation plan; borehole logs; interpretive account; ground model sketches/BIM/GIS layers	Logic and completeness; traceability of assumptions
Laboratory & In-situ Testing	Selects tests; evaluates data quality; derives parameters with justification	Test plan; QA notes; parameter selection memo; sensitivity notes	Data credibility; rationale; uncertainty awareness
Analysis & Design	Performs foundation/excavation/slope design checks; compares methods; documents choices	Design calculations; numerical model file; design memo; code check summary	Model suitability; robustness; compliance
Construction & Observational Method	To construction sequence, connection project; defines trigger levels and contingency actions.	Construction method statement; monitoring plan; trigger-action-response plan	Constructability; decision readiness
Instrumentation & Monitoring	Interprets monitoring data; diagnoses anomalies; recommends adjustments	Dashboard; time-series plots; interpretation note; meeting brief	Timeliness; data interpretation; communication
Risk, Safety & Ethics	Identifies hazards; evaluates consequences; ensures safe and ethical practice	Risk register; safety briefing; case reflection; incident drill report	Risk reasoning; safety culture; professionalism
Sustainability & Resilience	Evaluates low-carbon options; considers climate and hazard scenarios	Alternative analysis; embodied-carbon estimate; resilience justification	Life-cycle thinking; feasibility; trade-off reasoning
Communication & Teamwork	Produces clear reports; coordinates with disciplines; presents to stakeholders	Executive summary; presentation; peer reviews; client-style responses	Clarity; stakeholder fitness; collaboration

5. Designing Authentic Learning Scenarios: From Soil Sample to Decision Memo

A core reform strategy is to organize learning around authentic scenarios that reflect the real lifecycle of geotechnical projects. Rather than treating fieldwork, laboratory testing, psychoanalysis. And design as acquire upshot, pedagogue can design an end-to-end "project line" that involve reiterative determination and support. A project line can be scaled to program level (capstone) or course level (module).

1. End-to-end project line example. Students are generate a simplified project brief: a mid-construction with a cellar in an urban area. The team must: (a) propose an investigation plan (boreholes, CPT. Groundwater monitoring); (b) borehole logs and class soils; (c) select laboratory tests and render results; (d) modernise a ground model and design parameters; (e) design foundations and excavation support conceive water control; (f) propose a construction sequence and monitoring plan; and (g) spell a decision memo that justifies the choose dodging, include jeopardy and contingency measures [9].
2. Scenario library for progressive complexity. Admit: slope stabilization for highway cuttings; liquefaction assessment for seismal area; ground improvement for cushy clay sites; retaining walls and buttressed excavations; tunneling-make settlement management; and coastal base under sea-level rise, program can produce a scenario library aligned with local conditions and industry needs. With data sets, restraint, or stakeholder priorities, scenarios can be recycle to boost transfer of eruditeness.
3. Lab and champaign experience as decision evidence. Scenarios take information. Where access to physical labs or field sites is limited, institutions can use remote lab datasets, video-based demonstrations, and open geotechnical datasets. The vital requisite is that pupil use evidence handling-data cleaning, quality checking, parameter selection, and sensitivity assessment-than but imitate results.

To tone the value of these experience. Instructor can follow a decision-cycle structure than a demonstration structure. Before a theatre or laboratory activity, students state what conclusion the information are meant to inform, what degree of reliableness is need. And what substitute explanation may ask to be prevail out. During the activeness, they predictably record not just solution but too restriction as sampling disturbance, uncompleted retrieval, equipment calibration issues, weather effects, or operator judgment.. They essentially prepare a brusque parameter justification note that recognize deliberate value from take design values and explains why conservativism is or is not guarantee. Solely when marry to a determination, this successiveness is didactically because it mirror professional recitation: datum are in themselves; they become meaningful. It likewise assist students affect beyond reflection, since they must support why a result should vary a foundation type, excavation support scheme, groundwater control measure, or monitor threshold [10].

6. Digital and Data-Informed Geotechnics in the Curriculum

Digital transformation should be treated as a means to strengthen reasoning and collaboration, not merely as software training. Three capabilities are relevant: (a) priming modeling and entropy desegregation; (b) data-informed monitoring and feedback; and (c) incertitude-aware thinking.

1. BIM/GIS-enable ground models. Desegregate geotechnical entropy into BIM or GIS contexts serve pupil sympathize how subsurface conditions interact with structural layout, utility networks, dewatering systems, and construction staging. Pupil can practice produce ground models, tie borehole data to 3D contexts. And farm stakeholder-favorable visualization.
2. Instrumentality and digital dashboard. Monitoring data (inclinometers, piezometer, settlement markers, extend gauge) are central to the method. Learning activities can admit interpreting time-series data, name stochasticity from meaningful movement, defining trigger levels; and preparing concise dashboards for hebdomadary project meetings. Simulation tools can generate realistic monitoring datasets that include anomalies to train diagnostic thinking [11].
3. Probabilistic and predisposition intellection. Still without statistic, students can learn to quantify uncertainty through parameter ranges. Sensitivity studies. And scenario comparisons. For cohort, probabilistic method (e. G. Reliability concepts, Monte Carlo simulation) can be enter to support jeopardy-base decision making. To

document assumptions, validate models against field evidence, students should be trained. And avoid cocksureness in outturn.

An authoritative teaching principle is to deliver uncertainness as something to be argue about instead than something to be cover for awe of appear imprecise. Instructor can ask pupil to get uncertainty narratives alongside oeuvre: Which argument fundamentally are virtually influential? On sparse grounds. This effrontery are based? What would change if the groundwater table were one meter higher, the undrained shear strength were at the lower bound, or construction sequencing were delayed during the rainy season? Still small utilization of this form build correct scepticism. They also help students interpret monitoring data more intelligently, because students understand that field observations are not interruptions to a finished design but part of an ongoing feedback system. Over metre. Wont support the experimental method and tighten the leaning to process computer outputs as unequivocal trueness. The destination subsequently is not sophisticated statistic for its own saki. But a civilisation of graduated sureness. Where decisiveness are expressed with precaution and document contingency thinking.

7. Evidence-Based Assessment: Portfolios, Rubrics, and Stakeholder Review

Assessment should reflect how geotechnical master prove competence: through ordered reasoning, trackable grounds, and clean communicating. Because it enamour both outgrowth and upshot across an end-to-end scenario, a portfolio-ground access is considerably.

Recommended portfolio contents. With hazard-consequence mapping, a educatee/team portfolio may include: investigation plan and rationale; borehole logs and soil classification; laboratory data summaries with QA notes; parameter selection memo; design checks and numerical model snapshots; construction sequence and monitoring plan; risk register; sustainability/resilience trade-off analysis; and a concluding decision memo compose in a guest-facing panache.

Gloss and -stakeholder reappraisal. Title should honor evidence quality and reasoning transparency (e. G. How assumption are apologise, how sensitivity is palm. How peril are commune). For milestone reviews to increase genuineness and supply professional feedback. Industry reviewers can be tempt. When persona are explicitly set, peer assessment can capture teamwork contributions.

Another practical culture is to use milestone-gloss rather than one extensive rubric practice merely at the end of a projection. Early milestones can assess investigation logic and data quality awareness; intermediate milestones can focus on parameter justification, model suitability, and communication clarity; later milestones can examine monitoring interpretation, contingency planning, and ethical limitation statements. This sequencing deoxidize student overload because prospect are made visible when they are nearly. And it help instructor describe misconceptions before they become embedded. Among commentator, hence it improves eubstance, especially when module and diligence mentors get from background. For pedagogy, thereby where discernment is shaped by progressive evidence kinda than by a undivided net computing, this milestone structure seduces judgement itself a variety of learning backup than but a gatekeeping mechanism.

Learning analytics for formative feedback. In blended settings, pedagog can use memorize management systems to hoard milestone submissions and cater feedback. Analytics should focus on memorise reenforcement than surveillance, emphasise improvement cycles (draft-feedback-revision) and reflection on uncertainty and conclusion character.

8. Implementation Considerations and Governance

Implementing the propose reforms demand care to resources, safe, faculty capability, and incentive. Fieldwork and lab experience can be pricy, but partnership with industriousness, municipal project, and consultancy can supply access to case materials, site visits, and guest mentorship. Virtual and distant laboratory can affix forcible experience to increase equity of approach.

Safety governance is. Establishment should incorporate field safety training, drill-site hazard awareness, and honourable handling of data and reports. Which is a professional indebtedness in geotechnical work, students should practice writing limitations statements and communicate doubtfulness.

Faculty development later is a decisive enable condition. Pedagogue may demand reinforcement in project design, title-ground judgement, ground modeling tools. And the direction of industry partnerships. As a sustained part, institutional policy should agnize the workload connect with project courses and value teaching innovation.

From a stag governance model, effectuation also gain. Around deal scenario materials, gloss. And a special set of tool, in an phase, thereby one or two pilot modules can be redesign. Before extensive rollout. Grounds from the fender; admit student portfolios, industry feedback; safety observations. And workload reflections, can so be review. In a second stage, the establishment can standardise asset such as borehole-log templates, hence dashboard formats, field safety checklists. And parameter selection memo structures, and this lour the transaction cost for faculty adoption. In a matured form, the curriculum can establish an international advisory grummet in which employer. Alumnus, and practice mentors critique whether scenario still reverberate current challenge, including climate adaptation, urban development, hence and digital monitoring expectations. From becoming a one-time curriculum rewrite, organisation forestall reform and rather turns it into a improvement process anchored in evidence.

Last, thereby curriculum mapping to accreditation requirements should be denotative. The CSE framework can be use to map each competence to specific course, scenario, hence and assessment evidence, guarantee that reforms persist auditable and ceaselessly.

9. Conclusion

In making geotechnical competency more seeable, teachable. The value of reform dwell, and reviewable across the broad student journey. When platform field evidence, modeling choices, communication products, thereby and reflectivity, they develop alum who are advantageously to act in ground conditions.

Engineering education must set graduate for a professional realism defined by uncertainty, construction. Coordination, thereby and heightened anticipation for prophylactic. Resilience, and sustainability. Beyond computation, an education-centre reform should proceed and disconnected course delivery toward desegregate competency development in reliable scenario. The proposed Competence-Scenario-Evidence framework essentially render a blueprint: delimitate a comprehensive competency matrix; direct see through end-to-end project lines colligate field data, laboratory evidence. Model. And decision memos; and valuate learning through grounds-rich portfolio, rubrics; and stakeholder review. With these changes. Creation can school geotechnical professional who piss, information-inform decision and communicate dubiousness with clarity-capabilities that are indispensable for the rubber and quality of the make surroundings.

References

1. J. Walker, "Teaching for quality learning at university," *Higher Education*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 535-538, 2005.
2. M. Das and K. Sobhan, *Principles of geotechnical engineering*, 2006.
3. M. Duncan, S. G. Wright, and T. L. Brandon, *Soil strength and slope stability*, John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
4. D. Holtz, W. D. Kovacs, and T. C. Sheahan, *An introduction to geotechnical engineering*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, vol. 733, 1981.
5. A. Kolb, *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*, FT Press, 2014.
6. G. Barnes, *Soil mechanics*, Bloomsbury Publishing, 2017.
7. R. B. Peck, "Advantages and limitations of the observational method in applied soil mechanics," *Geotechnique*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 171-187, 1969. doi: 10.1680/geot.1969.19.2.171
8. W. G. Spady, *Outcome-Based Education: Critical Issues and Answers*, American Association of School Administrators, 1994.

9. K. Terzaghi, R. B. Peck, and G. Mesri, *Soil mechanics in engineering practice*, John Wiley & Sons, 1996.
10. STANDARD, "Eurocode 7: Geotechnical design," 2004.
11. U. Rehman, "Trends and challenges of technology-enhanced learning in geotechnical engineering education," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 10, p. 7972, 2023. doi: 10.3390/su15107972