Education Insights

Article

Research on Teaching Reform of Statistics Course Oriented to Teacher-Student Recognition Relationship

Bing Liu [1,*]

[1] School of Economics and Management, Huainan Normal University, Huainan, China
* Correspondence: Bing Liu, School of Economics and Management, Huainan Normal University, Huainan, China

Abstract: Under the ongoing student-centered teaching reform in contemporary higher education, the establishment of a robust teacher-student recognition relationship has emerged as a crucial factor for improving overall teaching quality and fostering students' comprehensive development. Statistics, serving as a fundamental professional course characterized by its dual theoretical and practical features, currently faces significant pedagogical challenges. These include insufficient classroom interaction, a persistent separation between theoretical instruction and practical application, and overly simplistic, result-oriented evaluation methods. Such problems fundamentally contradict the core values of equality, mutual respect, and student empowerment embedded within the paradigm of teacher-student recognition. To address these critical issues, this paper draws upon Axel Honneth's theory of recognition to establish innovative principles for teaching reform specifically oriented toward enhancing the teacher-student recognition relationship. By systematically applying this theoretical framework, the study constructs a comprehensive reform plan for the Statistics curriculum, encompassing the redesign of course objectives, instructional content, pedagogical processes, and assessment methodologies. The proposed framework facilitates a vital paradigm shift in teaching evaluation, transitioning from a strictly knowledge-oriented approach to a relationship-oriented model, and moving from traditional result-oriented metrics to dynamic, process-oriented assessments. Ultimately, this research provides both a robust theoretical foundation and actionable practical references for educators seeking to implement similar pedagogical transformations in related academic disciplines, thereby promoting a more inclusive and effective educational environment.

Keywords: teaching reform; statistics education; recognition theory; higher education; student-centered learning

Received: 18 March 2026
Revised: 05 May 2026
Accepted: 17 May 2026
Published: 20 May 2026

1. Introduction

With the growing diversity of online information channels, students now have access to a broader range of knowledge and values beyond the traditional classroom setting. This shift has introduced challenges to the conventional teacher-student dynamic, including weakened trust and diminished authority. In response, it has become essential to reconstruct a teacher-student relationship grounded in principles of equality, respect, mutual trust, and symbiosis [1, 2]. Such a relationship is pivotal for enhancing teaching effectiveness and fostering a more collaborative educational environment. By emphasizing emotional care, safeguarding students' rights, and recognizing their social value, educators can address the shortcomings of traditional teaching methods, which often rely on one-way knowledge dissemination and overlook individual needs and empathy. This approach allows the classroom to return to its fundamental purpose of education, creating a space where both teachers and students can thrive academically and emotionally.

Statistics, as a foundational course across disciplines such as economics, management, science, engineering, humanities, and social sciences, is distinguished by its blend of

theoretical abstraction and practical application [3]. However, current teaching practices in statistics often prioritize theoretical concepts over practical engagement, lectures over interactive discussions, outcomes over processes, and uniformity over diversity. These tendencies have led to low student interest, limited participation, and a diminished sense of achievement, all of which hinder meaningful teacher-student interaction and deeper mutual understanding. Addressing these issues requires a teaching reform that focuses on fostering teacher-student recognition. Such reform is not only a practical necessity for modern education but also an essential strategy for improving teaching quality and promoting the shared growth of educators and learners. By integrating interactive methodologies, emphasizing diverse learning processes, and creating a more inclusive classroom environment, educators can inspire greater student engagement and cultivate a more dynamic and effective educational experience.

2. Theoretical Basis

2.1. Core Connotation of Honneth's Recognition Theory

The core viewpoint of Honneth's recognition theory emphasizes that individuals' self-identity and healthy development rely on three interconnected forms of recognition: emotional care, rights protection, and social value recognition. These three forms are essential and progressively build upon one another to create a comprehensive framework for understanding recognition. Emotional care serves as the foundational layer, characterized by understanding, tolerance, active listening, and supportive interactions [4, 5]. This form of recognition fosters a sense of security and belonging, which is crucial for individuals to feel valued and connected within their social environments. Rights protection, on the other hand, represents the institutional dimension of recognition. It is reflected in practices that ensure equal respect, fair treatment, and the freedom of expression among individuals. By safeguarding dignity and providing autonomous space, this form of recognition enables individuals to engage confidently and assert their identities within society. Lastly, social value recognition is the ultimate goal of this framework, highlighting the importance of acknowledging and affirming individuals' abilities, contributions, and personal growth. Through visibility, encouragement, and affirmation, this form of recognition helps individuals achieve a sense of accomplishment and self-realization. Together, these three forms of recognition constitute a holistic structure that serves as a theoretical foundation for fostering high-quality teacher-student relationships, promoting mutual respect, and enhancing educational outcomes.

Emotional care is the cornerstone of recognition, encompassing actions such as understanding, tolerance, active listening, and providing support. These behaviors create an environment where individuals feel secure and develop a sense of belonging, which is vital for their emotional and psychological well-being. Rights protection, as the institutional aspect of recognition, ensures that individuals are treated with equal respect and fairness. It also guarantees their freedom to express themselves without fear of discrimination or prejudice, thereby granting them dignity and autonomy. This institutional support is critical for fostering an inclusive and equitable social framework. Social value recognition, the pinnacle of the recognition process, involves the acknowledgment and affirmation of individuals' unique abilities, contributions, and personal growth. By making individuals visible and encouraging their efforts, this form of recognition helps them achieve self-realization and a sense of accomplishment. The interplay of these three forms of recognition creates a robust and comprehensive structure that underpins the development of meaningful and high-quality teacher-student relationships. Such relationships are essential for cultivating mutual respect, enhancing educational experiences, and supporting the holistic development of both teachers and students [6, 7]. This theoretical framework provides valuable insights into the dynamics of interpersonal interactions and the importance of recognition in fostering positive social and educational outcomes.

2.2. Core Characteristics of Teacher-Student Recognition Relationship

The teacher-student recognition relationship represents a tangible application of recognition theory within the educational domain. This relationship is defined by an equitable, respectful, and mutually trusting dynamic that fosters a symbiotic connection between teachers and students during their interactions in the teaching process. It emphasizes the importance of creating an environment where both parties feel valued and understood, thereby enhancing the overall educational experience [8, 9]. Such a relationship not only facilitates effective communication but also nurtures a sense of belonging and collaboration, which are essential for meaningful learning outcomes. By prioritizing mutual respect and trust, this framework seeks to dismantle traditional hierarchical structures, promoting a more inclusive and participatory approach to education.

The teacher-student recognition relationship is distinguished by several core characteristics. Firstly, it is grounded in equality, ensuring that teachers and students are regarded as equals in terms of personality, status, and the ability to express their perspectives. This approach challenges and replaces the conventional authoritative teaching model with a more balanced and inclusive framework. Secondly, it is inherently bidirectional, as it requires both teachers to acknowledge and value their students and students to reciprocate this recognition. This mutual understanding fosters a dynamic and interactive structure that supports shared growth and achievement [6]. Thirdly, the relationship is developmental in nature, evolving alongside the learning process and deepening as students progress academically and personally. Finally, it is practical, manifesting through specific actions such as engaging classroom dialogues, thoughtfully designed tasks, constructive feedback, and consistent emotional support. These tangible behaviors ensure that recognition is not merely theoretical but actively integrated into everyday educational practices, thereby creating a supportive and enriching learning environment.

2.3. Basic Principles of Course Teaching Reform Oriented to Teacher-Student Recognition Relationship

This study establishes four fundamental principles for course teaching reform, grounded in the theoretical framework of recognition and the dynamics of the teacher-student recognition relationship [6]. These principles aim to foster a more inclusive, supportive, and effective educational environment by addressing both emotional and developmental needs within the learning process. By emphasizing the importance of mutual recognition, the reform seeks to create a foundation for meaningful interactions and shared understanding between teachers and students, which is essential for achieving educational objectives.

The reform is guided by the principle of emotional care, which prioritizes the emotional well-being of students. This involves actively addressing and mitigating learning-related anxieties while fostering a supportive atmosphere through encouragement and constructive feedback. Additionally, the principle of equal respect ensures that students are granted the right to actively participate, express their views, and question ideas within the learning environment. This principle also emphasizes the importance of respecting individual differences and embracing diversity as a strength [10]. Furthermore, the principle of empowerment and development places students' growth and holistic development at the center of the educational process. This includes a focus on cultivating essential skills and fostering the realization of personal values. Lastly, the principle of whole-process penetration integrates the concept of recognition throughout all aspects of the course, including the formulation of objectives, the design of content, the structuring of processes, and the implementation of evaluations. By embedding these principles into every stage of the educational experience, the reform aims to create a cohesive and transformative learning environment that aligns with the overarching goals of recognition-based education.

3. Analysis of Problems in Statistics Course Teaching

3.1. Survey Overview

To gain a comprehensive understanding of the current teaching status of the Statistics course, the author conducted a detailed and systematic survey across various local undergraduate universities both within and beyond the province. This survey encompassed multiple academic disciplines that include Statistics as part of their curriculum, such as financial management, accounting, marketing, and international economy and trade. The methodology employed in the survey was multifaceted, combining the distribution of questionnaires with in-depth interviews involving both educators and students. Additionally, classroom observation records were meticulously collected, alongside evaluations of course assignment completion rates, to ensure a holistic analysis of the teaching environment and practices. This approach allowed for the identification of key trends and challenges in the teaching of Statistics, providing valuable insights into areas requiring improvement [8].

The findings of the survey indicate that universities have increasingly recognized the importance of the Statistics course, leading to enhancements in curriculum design, teaching conditions, and faculty development. Most educators and students are able to meet the fundamental requirements for teaching and learning. However, significant shortcomings persist in several critical areas, including teaching philosophy, the dynamics of teacher-student relationships, classroom interaction, practical application, and evaluation methods. Many aspects of the teaching process remain rooted in traditional approaches, which fail to align with the demands of high-quality higher education. Furthermore, the survey highlights the need for fostering an equal, respectful, and supportive recognition relationship between teachers and students, which is essential for creating a more engaging and effective learning environment [10, 11]. Addressing these issues is crucial for advancing the quality of Statistics education and ensuring it meets contemporary academic and professional standards.

3.2. Core Problems

3.2.1. Course Objectives Focus on Knowledge Imparting While Ignoring Individual Development and Value Guidance

Currently, the course objectives for Statistics in many universities remain predominantly knowledge-oriented, with a strong emphasis on foundational concepts, calculation formulas, procedural steps for tests, and problem-solving techniques. This approach prioritizes the memorization and application of theoretical knowledge, ensuring comprehensive coverage of essential topics. However, this focus significantly diminishes attention to the broader aspects of the learning process, such as students' emotional engagement, personal development, and value formation [12]. The curriculum objectives rarely address the cultivation of critical skills like independent inquiry, collaborative communication, data-driven thinking, and academic integrity. Furthermore, the design of these objectives often overlooks the diverse needs of students, failing to anticipate or mitigate challenges such as fear of complexity, anxiety, or frustration that may arise during the learning process. This lack of foresight and support can hinder students' ability to fully engage with the subject matter and develop a deeper appreciation for its relevance and application in real-world contexts.

This singular focus on knowledge acquisition is misaligned with the principles of student-centered education, which emphasize holistic development and the integration of emotional, ethical, and social dimensions into the learning experience. By neglecting these aspects, the current approach risks reducing Statistics to a mechanical exercise in computation, stripping it of its potential to inspire curiosity and critical thinking [13]. Students may come to view the subject as a monotonous task rather than an intellectually stimulating discipline, leading to diminished motivation and a reduced sense of accomplishment. To address these shortcomings, it is essential to reframe course objectives to include the promotion of emotional intelligence, recognition of individual rights, and an understanding of societal values. Such a shift would not only enhance students' engagement but also foster a more meaningful and rewarding educational

experience, ultimately preparing them to apply statistical knowledge in diverse and impactful ways.

3.2.2. Course Content Is Overly Theoretical, Disconnected from Practice and Outdated

The course content system plays a pivotal role in shaping the learning outcomes of students [14]. Currently, the content of Statistics courses is predominantly theoretical, with a limited focus on practical applications. This imbalance often results in a disconnect between theoretical knowledge and its real-world utility. Textbooks and courseware are heavily centered on theoretical derivations, formulaic calculations, and repetitive exercise training. However, they provide minimal emphasis on the foundational origins of statistical thinking, the diverse application scenarios of statistical methods, and the broader practical implications of data analysis. This lack of contextual understanding hinders students from fully grasping the relevance and significance of statistical tools in solving real-world problems. Furthermore, the absence of engaging explanations about the rationale behind statistical approaches diminishes the overall learning experience and reduces the applicability of the knowledge gained in professional settings.

A significant portion of teaching materials still relies on traditional datasets and classic examples, which fail to reflect the dynamic nature of contemporary economic and social environments. The integration of real-world industry data, authentic management challenges, and current economic scenarios into the curriculum remains insufficient. This gap limits the ability of students to apply statistical methods effectively in practical contexts. Additionally, the course content does not adequately align with the specific talent development needs of various academic disciplines. It also fails to incorporate the latest advancements in statistical tools and methodologies, particularly those emerging in the era of big data. Practical training sessions involving data analysis tools such as SPSS and Excel are often reduced to superficial exercises, lacking depth and meaningful engagement. This superficiality undermines the development of essential analytical skills, leaving students ill-prepared to tackle complex data-driven challenges in their future careers [5].

3.2.3. Teaching Process Is One-Way Indoctrination with Insufficient Teacher-Student Interaction and Lack of Recognition

Classroom teaching plays a pivotal role in fostering meaningful teacher-student recognition, yet the current approach in statistics classes often falls short of this goal [15]. The prevalent teacher-led lecturing model places students in a passive role, where they primarily listen without actively engaging in the learning process. This method lacks opportunities for genuine dialogue and interactive discussions, which are essential for stimulating critical thinking and fostering a deeper understanding of the subject matter. Questions posed during lessons tend to focus narrowly on rote knowledge recall rather than encouraging open-ended inquiry or problem-solving. Consequently, students have limited chances to express their thoughts, and those who are quieter or academically weaker often remain silent, further marginalizing their participation. Teachers, on the other hand, frequently fail to address the individual conditions, emotions, and challenges faced by students, offering little in the way of timely encouragement or personalized support. This one-directional teaching approach undermines student agency, diminishes emotional connections, and creates an environment that lacks mutual respect. Over time, such passivity erodes students' sense of recognition and belonging, leaving them disengaged and without a strong foundation for positive teacher-student relationships.

3.2.4. Course Evaluation Is Score-Oriented While Ignoring Process and Growth

Course evaluation serves as a fundamental guide for enhancing teaching quality and student learning outcomes [16]. However, the current evaluation system in Statistics courses predominantly relies on a single final examination, which often overshadows the importance of formative assessments. These formative assessments, when implemented, tend to be overly formalistic, with limited scope, ambiguous criteria, and insufficient incentives for students to engage deeply. Components such as homework, attendance,

and class participation are assigned minimal weight, failing to adequately capture students' genuine efforts, progress, and intellectual growth throughout the course. Furthermore, the assessment framework places excessive emphasis on rote memorization and computational skills, while neglecting critical aspects such as practical application, the preparation of data reports, collaborative teamwork, and inquiry-based learning methodologies. The exclusive role of teachers as evaluators further restricts opportunities for students to engage in self-reflection or peer review, which are essential for fostering a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter. This result-oriented and overly simplistic evaluation model not only overlooks students' incremental achievements but also fails to align with broader societal value recognition. Consequently, it risks diminishing students' intrinsic motivation and inadvertently promotes a utilitarian approach to learning, which undermines the development of deeper intellectual curiosity and critical thinking skills.

4. Teaching Reform Plan of Statistics Course Oriented to Teacher-Student Recognition Relationship

4.1. Reform of Course Objectives

Reform of course objectives is a fundamental aspect of teaching reform, particularly in the context of fostering a teacher-student recognition relationship [17]. Traditional course objectives, which often focus narrowly on knowledge acquisition, must evolve into a more holistic, growth-oriented, and student-centered framework. This reformed objective system integrates three key dimensions: knowledge, ability, and emotional value. The knowledge objective emphasizes that students should systematically master foundational concepts and core methodologies of statistics. They should also gain a comprehensive understanding of the entire data analysis process and develop proficiency in using common statistical tools. The ability objective focuses on enhancing students' skills in data processing, report writing, and solving practical problems. This includes developing expertise in software operation, interpreting data, and collaborating effectively within teams. Furthermore, the emotional and value objective aims to inspire students' interest in learning, foster self-confidence, and cultivate a strong sense of academic integrity and professional ethics. These objectives collectively aim to provide students with a sense of accomplishment and self-identity, thereby transforming the course into a platform for both knowledge dissemination and personal development. Additionally, this approach respects the diverse backgrounds and needs of students across various disciplines, ensuring that the course objectives are adaptable and inclusive. By aligning these objectives with the principles of teacher-student recognition, the reform provides a clear and structured direction for subsequent changes in teaching content, instructional processes, and evaluation methods. This comprehensive framework not only enhances the educational experience but also supports the broader goal of fostering meaningful connections between teachers and students, ultimately contributing to a more dynamic and effective learning environment.

4.2. Reform of Course Content

The reform of course content is both practical and timely, emphasizing an application-oriented approach that bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical implementation. This shift moves away from a purely theory-dominated framework to one that integrates theoretical concepts with hands-on practice, creating a more accessible and engaging content system. Complex mathematical derivations and overly abstract theories are simplified to enhance comprehension, while the focus is redirected toward fostering statistical thinking, methodological logic, and real-world applications. Core knowledge is contextualized through relatable scenarios drawn from various domains such as the economy, society, enterprises, and everyday life, thereby clarifying its practical value and relevance. This approach ensures that learners not only grasp the theoretical underpinnings but also understand their implications in real-world contexts, making the learning process more meaningful and impactful.

To enhance learning engagement, the course incorporates localized, up-to-date, and discipline-specific case studies that resonate with students' academic and professional interests. Practical training is significantly strengthened, with tools such as SPSS and Excel integrated throughout the course to provide hands-on experience. Students are tasked with comprehensive practical assignments that encompass project design, survey execution, data collection, analysis, and report writing, ensuring they develop a well-rounded skill set. Furthermore, the reform embeds ideological and political elements into the curriculum, promoting values such as scientific spirit, pragmatism, statistical integrity, and social responsibility. This integration aims to unify the instruction of knowledge with the cultivation of ethical and societal values, fostering a holistic educational experience. By aligning technical training with value-based education, the reform not only equips students with essential skills but also instills a sense of responsibility and purpose, preparing them to contribute meaningfully to society.

4.3. Reform of Course Process

Course process reform seeks to establish a classroom environment characterized by equality, interaction, empathy, and inclusivity. This approach integrates mutual recognition between teachers and students into every aspect of the teaching process [2, 7]. Traditional one-way lecturing is replaced with dynamic methods such as case discussions, collaborative group work, inquiry-based learning, and student presentations. Teachers take on the role of facilitators and supporters, actively encouraging students to ask questions, challenge ideas, and express their thoughts freely. By fostering open communication, this method aims to cultivate critical thinking and creativity among students, while also promoting a sense of belonging and active engagement within the classroom setting.

The reform process emphasizes respect for individual differences, ensuring that students of varying abilities receive tailored support. Students who require additional assistance are provided with step-by-step guidance and encouragement, while those who excel are offered extended tasks to challenge their capabilities further. A safe and respectful environment is cultivated to enable all students to participate fully and confidently. Teachers adapt the pace, complexity, and nature of activities based on real-time feedback, ensuring that the learning experience remains responsive and inclusive. Sustained, equitable interaction between teachers and students fosters a sense of care, respect, and recognition, which naturally strengthens positive relationships within the classroom. This approach not only enhances academic outcomes but also contributes to the holistic development of students by nurturing their emotional and social well-being.

4.4. Reform of Course Evaluation

The reform of course evaluation introduces a multifaceted approach that emphasizes continuous development and growth, moving away from the traditional reliance on scores and final results. This innovative system aims to capture the dynamic process of student learning and development, fostering intrinsic motivation and a deeper engagement with educational content [14]. By shifting the focus from mere outcomes to the journey of learning, the evaluation framework seeks to create a more holistic and supportive academic environment.

The updated evaluation model significantly increases the weight of formative assessments, which encompass a broad range of activities such as active classroom participation, collaborative group projects, hands-on practical exercises, thoughtfully completed assignments, oral presentations, and a positive learning attitude. These components are assessed using clear and transparent criteria to ensure fairness and consistency. The final examination, while still an integral part of the evaluation process, now prioritizes the application of knowledge and critical thinking skills. This includes tasks such as analyzing real-world cases, interpreting complex datasets, and performing practical operations, thereby reducing the emphasis on rote memorization and encouraging a deeper understanding of the subject matter.

The reform also diversifies the sources of evaluation by incorporating teacher assessments, peer evaluations, and self-assessments. This multifaceted approach promotes self-reflection and mutual recognition among students, fostering a collaborative and supportive learning environment. Progress-based evaluation methods are designed to acknowledge and reward individual improvement over time, helping students appreciate their efforts and achievements. Feedback is provided in a timely, specific, and constructive manner, enabling students to identify their strengths, address areas for improvement, and set realistic academic goals. This comprehensive feedback mechanism plays a crucial role in guiding students toward continuous growth and academic success [10].

5. Conclusion

Based on Honneth's recognition theory, this paper systematically explains the connotation and principles of the teacher-student recognition relationship. The study highlights the importance of fostering mutual respect and understanding between educators and learners to create a more inclusive and effective educational environment. By addressing the practical challenges faced in the teaching of Statistics, this research proposes a comprehensive teaching reform plan that integrates the principles of recognition into four critical dimensions: course objectives, course content, course process, and course evaluation. Specifically, the reform emphasizes aligning course objectives with the developmental needs of students, designing content that is both challenging and accessible, structuring the teaching process to encourage active participation and dialogue, and implementing evaluation methods that recognize diverse forms of student achievement. This approach not only enhances the learning experience but also contributes to the broader goal of cultivating a culture of recognition within educational institutions, ultimately fostering a more equitable and supportive academic environment.

Future research can further expand the scope of investigation by exploring the application of the teacher-student recognition relationship across a wider range of disciplines and educational contexts. Conducting multiple rounds of teaching experiments will allow researchers to refine and validate the proposed reform strategies, ensuring their adaptability and effectiveness in diverse settings. Additionally, there is significant potential to develop more sophisticated evaluation indicators that capture the nuanced dynamics of recognition in the classroom. For instance, metrics could be designed to assess not only academic performance but also improvements in student engagement, self-esteem, and interpersonal relationships. Implementation plans can also be optimized by incorporating feedback from both educators and students, ensuring that the reforms are practical and impactful. By embedding the principles of recognition into various courses, this research has the potential to transform traditional pedagogical practices, paving the way for a more empathetic and student-centered approach to education.

Funding: This research was funded by the Key Teaching Research Project of Anhui Province "Research on Curriculum Teaching Reform Based on Honneth's Recognition Theory", grant number 2022jyxm1447; and the Outstanding Talent Fund Project of Huainan Normal University.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. J. Bartelson, "Three concepts of recognition," *International Theory*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 107-129, 2013.
2. D. Statistics, *Statistics*, 2011.
3. J. L. Spilt, H. M. Koomen, and J. T. Thijs, "Teacher wellbeing: The importance of teacher–student relationships," *Educational Psychology Review*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 457-477, 2011.

4. A. Honneth, *The I in we: Studies in the theory of recognition*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
5. Z. Liu, "Teaching reform of business statistics in college and university," *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 6901-6907, 2017.
6. Q. Zhang and M. Stephens, "Profiling teacher capacity in statistical thinking of national curriculum reform: A comparative study between Australia and China," *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 733-746, 2016.
7. G. Cobb, "Teaching statistics," in *Heeding the call for change: Suggestions for curricular action*, vol. 22, pp. 3-43, 1992.
8. E. Reston and L. G. Bersales, "Reform efforts in training statistics teachers in the Philippines: Challenges and prospects," in C. Batanero, G. Burrill, C. Reading, and A. Rossman, Eds., 2008.
9. S. Tishkovskaya and G. A. Lancaster, "Statistical education in the 21st century: A review of challenges, teaching innovations and strategies for reform," *Journal of Statistics Education*, vol. 20, no. 2, 2012.
10. R. A. Hassad, "Reform-oriented teaching of introductory statistics in the health, social and behavioral sciences-historical context and rationale," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1007.3207*, 2010.
11. J. Ridgway, "Implications of the data revolution for statistics education," *International Statistical Review*, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 528-549, 2016.
12. J. Garfield, B. Hogg, C. Schau, and D. Whittinghill, "First courses in statistical science: The status of educational reform efforts," *Journal of Statistics Education*, vol. 10, no. 2, 2002.
13. K. H. Lee, E. S. Ko, and B. Tak, "A review of statistics education reform efforts in Korea," *Journal of Educational Research in Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 479-505, 2023.
14. S. W. Huck, "Reform in statistical education," *Psychology in the Schools*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 527-533, 2007.
15. J. Garfield and B. Chance, "Assessment in statistics education: Issues and challenges," *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, vol. 2, no. 1-2, pp. 99-125, 2000.
16. V. März and G. Kelchtermans, "Sense-making and structure in teachers' reception of educational reform. A case study on statistics in the mathematics curriculum," *Teaching and Teacher Education*, vol. 29, pp. 13-24, 2013.
17. F. Yates and M. J. R. Healy, "How should we reform the teaching of statistics?," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (General)*, vol. 127, no. 2, pp. 199-210, 1964.