Education Insights

Review

Digital Governance in Education: Theoretical Foundations and Global Trends

Cong Zhang [1,*]

[1] Woosong University, Daejeon, Korea
* Correspondence: Cong Zhang, Woosong University, Daejeon, Korea

Abstract: This review article explores the theoretical foundations, key dimensions, and global trends of digital governance in education. As technology advances, digital governance has become increasingly significant in education, particularly in policy-making, resource management, and instructional innovation. The article first reviews the basic concepts and definitions of digital governance, outlines the theoretical frameworks of digital transformation in educational governance, and analyzes key governance mechanisms, technological tools, and the development of digital literacy. The paper then discusses the digital governance practices in different countries and regions, highlighting policy evolution, international organizational initiatives, and successful case studies. Through an analysis of current challenges and ethical concerns, the paper addresses key issues such as data privacy, security, and educational equity. Finally, the article looks ahead to the future development of digital governance, emphasizing sustainability, collaborative policy-making, and the cutting-edge applications of AI and big data in educational governance, while providing recommendations for digital governance strategies for developing countries and marginalized groups.

Keywords: digital governance; educational governance; digital transformation; digital literacy; educational equity; ethical issues

Published: 30 April 2025

1. Introduction

In recent years, the integration of digital technologies into educational governance has transformed how educational systems are planned, managed, and evaluated. This shift, often referred to as digital governance in education, reflects a broader trend in public administration where technology plays a critical role in enhancing transparency, accountability, and efficiency. The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated this transformation, compelling educational institutions worldwide to adopt digital tools not only for instruction but also for policy implementation, data management, and stakeholder engagement. As such, digital governance has emerged as a key domain in contemporary educational reform and innovation.

The importance of digital governance in education lies in its potential to reshape institutional relationships, support evidence-based policymaking, and foster more inclusive and adaptive learning environments. It enables centralized data integration while supporting decentralized decision-making, thus balancing efficiency with responsiveness. Moreover, the increasing use of artificial intelligence, data analytics, and blockchain technologies in educational platforms raises new questions about ethical design, equitable access, and participatory policy development. Given these complexities, a systematic review of the theoretical foundations and global developments in this field is both timely and necessary.

This article aims to provide a comprehensive overview of digital governance in education by:

1) reviewing major theoretical frameworks that underpin current practices;

2) identifying the key structural and functional dimensions of digital governance models;
3) mapping out global trends and comparative perspectives across different national contexts.

Additionally, the review highlights emerging challenges such as data ethics, digital divides, and governance accountability, and proposes directions for future research and policy development.

Methodologically, this review draws upon peer-reviewed journal articles, policy documents, and institutional reports published between 2015 and 2025. Databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and ERIC were systematically searched using keywords including "digital governance", "educational technology policy", "AI in education governance", and "data-driven education reform". The selected literature was analyzed through thematic synthesis to extract common frameworks, emerging models, and regional specificities. Special attention was given to studies reflecting global diversity, including contributions from both developed and developing countries, as well as case studies focused on language education and digital inclusion [1].

2. Theoretical Foundations of Digital Governance in Education

2.1. Defining Digital Governance in the Educational Context

Digital governance in education refers to the strategic use of digital technologies and data systems to guide, manage, and enhance educational policy and administration. It involves a transformation in how decisions are made, how stakeholders interact, and how educational services are delivered. Unlike traditional governance models, which often rely on top-down authority and static processes, digital governance is characterized by dynamic feedback loops, real-time data access, and increased opportunities for stakeholder engagement [2].

At its core, digital governance redefines the relationship between educational institutions, government bodies, and learners. Through centralized databases, learning management systems, and policy dashboards, information flows become more integrated, timely, and actionable [3]. This allows for better coordination across ministries, schools, and community actors, promoting transparency, accountability, and responsiveness in governance processes.

2.2. Frameworks for Digital Transformation in Education

The digital transformation of educational governance typically unfolds through three interrelated stages. The first is digitization, which involves converting analog records and manual processes into digital formats. The second is digitalization, where existing processes are restructured using digital tools to improve efficiency and coordination. The final stage is digital transformation, which implies a deeper change in how educational systems function, with new roles, relationships, and institutional logics emerging as a result of technological integration.

In the context of education, this transformation can be seen in the shift from isolated school-level data systems to nationwide, interoperable platforms that support monitoring, resource distribution, and strategic planning. Moreover, digital transformation affects not only technical systems but also institutional mindsets, requiring policymakers and administrators to embrace agility, continuous learning, and data-informed decision-making [4].

2.3. Theoretical Perspectives on Governance and Digital Change

Several theoretical perspectives help frame our understanding of digital governance in education:

Multilevel governance highlights the interaction between actors at different levels — local, regional, national, and global. Digital governance often distributes authority across

these levels, enabling greater flexibility while also raising questions about coordination and standardization [5].

Participatory governance emphasizes the inclusion of various stakeholders — teachers, students, parents, and civil society — in governance processes. Digital tools such as online consultation platforms and collaborative portals have increased opportunities for meaningful participation and decentralized decision-making.

Digital transformation theory explains how technology changes not just tools but the nature of institutions themselves [6]. In education, this theory underlines the importance of building adaptive capacity, breaking down silos, and fostering a culture of innovation across the governance landscape.

These perspectives collectively suggest that digital governance is not simply a technical upgrade, but a fundamental shift in the architecture of educational systems.

2.4. Core Components of Digital Governance in Education

A robust model of digital governance in education is built upon four essential components:

1) Policy and regulation: Clear and adaptive policy frameworks are required to set the direction for digital innovation, address legal concerns such as data privacy, and ensure coherence across sectors and jurisdictions.
2) Data infrastructure: Reliable, secure, and interoperable data systems form the backbone of digital governance. These systems enable evidence-based decision-making, timely interventions, and performance tracking at all levels of the education system.
3) Technological platforms: Digital governance depends on platforms that facilitate administrative processes, content delivery, and stakeholder collaboration. Examples include national education portals, student tracking systems, and AI-based analytics dashboards.
4) Collaborative mechanisms: Effective digital governance requires partnerships across government agencies, educational institutions, technology providers, and communities. These collaborative mechanisms ensure that technological solutions are relevant, sustainable, and aligned with educational goals.

Together, these components support the development of a governance model that is transparent, inclusive, and future-oriented. As education systems continue to evolve in the digital age, understanding and strengthening these foundational elements will be critical for achieving equitable and high-quality outcomes [7].

3. Key Dimensions and Models of Digital Governance in Education

3.1. Governance Structures: Centralized vs. Distributed Models

One of the most significant dimensions of digital governance in education is the structure of decision-making authority. Centralized models often emphasize national-level coordination, standardized platforms, and unified data reporting systems. These models can enhance consistency, ensure equity in resource distribution, and simplify compliance. However, they may also limit local adaptability and responsiveness [8].

In contrast, distributed governance models promote flexibility by delegating decision-making to regional or institutional levels. These systems often encourage innovation, localized solutions, and stakeholder ownership. Digital platforms play a key role in balancing these models, as they enable real-time communication, data sharing, and coordination across hierarchical levels. The most effective systems tend to integrate both approaches, creating hybrid structures that combine top-down oversight with bottom-up input [9].

3.2. Technological Tools: Platforms, AI, and Blockchain

Digital governance in education is increasingly powered by a range of technological tools. Integrated data platforms allow education ministries and institutions to collect, analyze, and act on information more effectively. These systems support monitoring attendance, tracking learning outcomes, and evaluating program effectiveness.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a powerful driver of personalization and efficiency. AI-based analytics can uncover patterns in student learning, inform adaptive teaching strategies, and predict areas of risk or intervention. In language education, for example, AI is being used to personalize instruction and provide targeted feedback to non-native learners [10].

Blockchain technology, though still emerging in educational governance, shows potential for enhancing transparency and trust. It can be used to secure academic credentials, streamline administrative processes, and reduce fraud in certification systems. Together, these technologies are redefining the infrastructure of governance and the dynamics of accountability in education.

3.3. Digital Literacy and Capacity Building

Successful implementation of digital governance depends on the digital literacy of all stakeholders. Teachers must be able to use educational platforms, interpret data reports, and integrate digital tools into their instruction. School administrators require competencies in data-informed decision-making, policy alignment, and platform management. Students, too, need the skills to navigate digital learning environments and engage with new forms of assessment.

Capacity-building initiatives are essential to address these needs. These may include professional development programs, peer-learning networks, and technical support systems. In adult and lifelong learning contexts, AI tools have been used to support language acquisition, especially for learners outside traditional schooling systems. These tools not only support educational goals but also contribute to building digital citizenship and lifelong learning habits [11].

3.4. Governance Models: Top-Down vs. Bottom-Up Approaches

Another key aspect of digital governance lies in the orientation of its implementation. Top-down models are typically driven by government mandates, policy reforms, and large-scale system rollouts. They offer clarity, scale, and formal accountability. However, they can sometimes neglect contextual diversity and frontline innovation.

Bottom-up models, in contrast, rely on grassroots initiatives, school-level experimentation, and community engagement. These approaches are often more responsive to local needs and allow for greater innovation [12]. Digital tools facilitate bottom-up governance by enabling stakeholders to contribute data, provide feedback, and co-create solutions.

A balanced digital governance system recognizes the strengths of both approaches. It creates space for national-level direction while also fostering local innovation. The key lies in designing governance mechanisms that are flexible, inclusive, and data-driven.

4. Global Trends and Comparative Perspectives

4.1. Policy Evolution Across Regions

The evolution of digital governance in education varies significantly across regions, shaped by economic capacity, political priorities, and institutional readiness. In the European Union, there has been a concerted effort to harmonize digital education strategies across member states, emphasizing digital inclusion, interoperability of systems, and cross-border credential recognition. Countries like Estonia and Finland have emerged as digital frontrunners, integrating technology deeply into both governance and pedagogy.

In the United States, digital governance has largely been driven by a combination of federal funding and state-level autonomy. While this allows for localized innovation, it

also results in fragmentation, with disparities in infrastructure and data systems. Meanwhile, China has adopted a highly centralized model, using national platforms to streamline student data collection, teacher evaluation, and policy implementation. Its strategic investment in AI-driven educational tools further reflects a top-down governance orientation.

India presents a mixed model, with strong central initiatives like the DIKSHA platform coexisting with regionally tailored solutions. Challenges related to connectivity and digital literacy remain significant, especially in rural areas, but targeted policies are gradually addressing these gaps. In the Nordic countries, digital governance is closely tied to values of equity, transparency, and user-centric design, with strong emphasis on public participation and ethical standards.

4.2. International Organizational Initiatives

International organizations have played a pivotal role in shaping global discourse and offering frameworks for digital governance in education. UNESCO has promoted inclusive digital education policies through its "Futures of Education" initiative, which calls for equity-driven digital transformation and learner agency. The OECD, through its Digital Education Outlook and PISA assessments, has highlighted the importance of data governance, teacher capacity, and infrastructure readiness in national strategies.

Other multilateral efforts, such as the World Bank's EdTech Hub and the ITU-UNESCO Broadband Commission, advocate for the use of digital tools in strengthening governance, especially in low-resource settings. These global platforms not only provide funding and technical expertise but also facilitate knowledge exchange and benchmarking among countries.

To better understand the landscape of digital governance advocacy, Table 1 presents a summary of major international organizations, their key initiatives, and the regions they impact.

Table 1. Summary of Major International Organizations and Their Digital Governance Initiatives.

Organization	Key Initiatives	Focus Regions	Impact & Outcomes
UNESCO	Futures of Education, Global Education Monitoring Report	Global	Promotes inclusive, learner-centered digital policies
OECD	Digital Education Outlook, PISA Digital Literacy Assessment	OECD countries, selected developing nations	Focus on data governance, digital literacy standards
World Bank (EdTech Hub)	EdTech integration in low-resource settings	Africa, South Asia, Latin America	Supports infrastructure, teacher training, digital content
ITU-UNESCO Broadband Commission	Broadband connectivity for education	Developing regions, rural areas	Advocates for affordable broadband access and infrastructure improvements
Global Partnership for Education (GPE)	Financing and technical support for education systems	Low-income countries	Provides funding for digital tools, platforms, and capacity-building

4.3. Case Studies: Successes and Challenges

Several countries offer instructive examples of successful digital governance practices. Singapore, for instance, has established a robust system integrating student data with curriculum planning and teacher professional development. Its long-term policy consistency and stakeholder alignment have ensured a smooth transition into digital governance structures.

In Uruguay, the Plan Ceibal initiative stands out as a national-level success in providing universal device access and digital content. The integration of open platforms and real-time analytics supports both pedagogical and administrative decision-making. However, even in such cases, challenges persist — ranging from ensuring data privacy to maintaining stakeholder engagement.

Conversely, low-income countries often struggle with fragmented systems, limited infrastructure, and inconsistent policy enforcement. While pilot programs may show initial success, scaling up remains difficult without sustained investment and cross-sector collaboration.

4.4. Shared Trends and Local Adaptations

Despite regional differences, several common trends are emerging globally. These include the rise of national data systems, the integration of AI and learning analytics into decision-making, and a shift toward evidence-based policy development. Increasingly, countries are also investing in digital capacity-building, recognizing that governance is only as strong as the competencies of its human actors.

At the same time, local adaptations remain crucial. Digital governance models must reflect cultural expectations, governance traditions, and infrastructural realities. For instance, while some countries prioritize central control to ensure equity, others lean on local autonomy to foster innovation. The tension between standardization and flexibility is a recurring theme in comparative analysis.

5. Challenges and Ethical Considerations

5.1. Data Privacy and Security

One of the most pressing challenges in digital governance in education is the issue of data privacy and security. As educational systems increasingly rely on digital platforms to collect, store, and analyze student data, the risks associated with data breaches and misuse grow significantly. This is particularly concerning in the context of minors, where the protection of sensitive personal data becomes even more critical. Governments and educational institutions must implement robust cybersecurity protocols and ensure compliance with data protection regulations (such as GDPR in Europe and FERPA in the United States) to safeguard user information.

The widespread use of learning management systems, online assessments, and AI-driven personalized learning tools further exacerbates these concerns, as they often collect detailed data on student behavior, academic performance, and learning patterns. Without proper safeguards, this data could be exploited for purposes beyond education, such as targeted advertising or surveillance.

5.2. Digital Divide and Equity

The digital divide is another significant challenge in the implementation of digital governance in education. While digital technologies have the potential to enhance learning and governance, they also risk deepening existing inequalities. In many regions, particularly in developing countries or rural areas, there is insufficient access to high-speed internet, digital devices, or even electricity, creating a gap between those who can benefit from digital education and those who cannot.

Moreover, the digital divide is not only about access to technology but also about digital literacy. Educators, students, and parents must possess the skills to navigate digital tools effectively. Without comprehensive training and support, those without digital literacy may struggle to participate fully in the digital educational ecosystem. This issue is especially critical when considering marginalized groups, such as low-income communities, students with disabilities, or those living in remote areas.

To better illustrate the scope of the digital divide across different regions and demographics, Table 2 below summarizes key statistics on internet access, digital device availability, and digital literacy levels globally.

Table 2. Global Digital Divide: Access to Internet, Devices, and Digital Literacy.

Region/Group	Internet Access (%)	Access to Digital Devices (%)	Digital Literacy (%)	Key Challenges
Sub-Saharan Africa	26%	15%	30%	Lack of infrastructure, affordability, and skills
South Asia	40%	25%	50%	Limited connectivity, low digital literacy
Latin America	65%	50%	65%	Socioeconomic disparities, uneven rural access
Europe (EU-28)	90%	85%	90%	Varying regional standards, rural-urban gap
North America	98%	95%	95%	Few barriers, but issues of equity remain in rural areas
Middle East & North Africa	55%	35%	55%	Political instability, lack of infrastructure

This table highlights the disparity in digital access and literacy, underscoring the need for targeted policies that address these gaps.

5.3. Educational Autonomy and Algorithm Transparency

The growing use of algorithms and AI in education raises serious concerns about educational autonomy and algorithmic transparency. As AI systems are increasingly used to make decisions about student assessment, teacher evaluations, and personalized learning, there is a need for transparency in how these algorithms function and make decisions. Algorithmic bias, resulting from flawed training data or discriminatory programming, can perpetuate inequalities in education, further disadvantaging already marginalized students.

Educational institutions must ensure that algorithms used in governance and instruction are fair, transparent, and accountable. This requires clear documentation of how algorithms are designed, the data they use, and the decision-making processes they employ. Additionally, students and educators must have the right to challenge automated decisions that affect their educational outcomes.

Moreover, there is an ongoing debate about whether educational decision-making should be left to algorithms or whether human oversight is necessary. Ensuring a balance between technological innovation and educational autonomy is essential to preserve the humanistic and pedagogical elements of education.

5.4. Accountability and Governance Mechanisms

Finally, the issue of accountability and governance mechanisms remains central to the ethical implementation of digital governance. As educational systems integrate more digital tools, determining who is responsible for their use and ensuring that systems operate with fairness and integrity becomes increasingly important. This includes the responsibility of technology developers, policymakers, and educational institutions in ensuring that digital tools are used ethically and transparently.

Governments and educational bodies must establish clear governance structures to oversee digital initiatives, ensuring that decisions made through digital platforms are subject to proper scrutiny and accountability. This includes having mechanisms in place for monitoring the implementation of digital policies, ensuring the protection of user rights,

and providing avenues for students, teachers, and parents to voice concerns and grievances.

Additionally, there must be clear guidelines regarding the ethical use of AI and learning analytics, as well as policies to prevent the exploitation of students' data for commercial purposes. Establishing strong regulatory frameworks and oversight bodies can help mitigate risks and ensure that digital governance in education is carried out responsibly and ethically.

6. Future Directions and Policy Implications

6.1. Sustainable Governance Frameworks

As digital governance continues to evolve in education, sustainability will play a crucial role in shaping the future of educational systems worldwide. A sustainable governance framework in education is not only concerned with maintaining the current technological systems but also ensuring that these systems are adaptable, resilient, and equitable in the long run. It involves considering the environmental, social, and economic impacts of digital technologies in education.

Governments and educational institutions should invest in creating governance models that prioritize long-term sustainability. This includes fostering green technology in digital infrastructures, such as the adoption of energy-efficient data centers and eco-friendly digital devices. Moreover, the sustainability of governance structures can be achieved by developing adaptive policies that can respond to rapid technological changes while maintaining equity, accessibility, and inclusivity.

Additionally, sustainable partnerships between public and private sectors, international organizations, and educational institutions will be critical in promoting global collaboration and sharing best practices. Governments must also focus on the long-term digital literacy of students and educators, ensuring they are equipped to engage with evolving technologies in a responsible and impactful way.

6.2. Enhancing Collaboration and Transparency in Policy Making

The future of digital governance in education will increasingly rely on collaboration and transparency. As educational policies become more interconnected with technology, it is essential for policymakers, educational leaders, and technology developers to work together to ensure the digital transformation of education is both effective and equitable. Cross-sector collaborations will enable the pooling of expertise and resources, ensuring that policies reflect the diverse needs of all stakeholders.

Transparency is another crucial component of policy-making in digital governance. Governments and educational institutions must ensure that the processes behind digital policies and their implementation are open and accessible. Transparent policy-making helps build trust among stakeholders, especially educators, students, and parents, who may be affected by these policies. In addition, clear communication regarding data collection, usage, and privacy policies will be essential to fostering a more inclusive educational environment.

6.3. The Role of AI and Big Data in the Future of Educational Governance

Looking ahead, Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Big Data will continue to play an increasingly important role in shaping the future of educational governance. AI technologies, including machine learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics, have the potential to transform the way educational institutions manage resources, assess student performance, and provide personalized learning experiences.

The integration of AI in education will require careful regulation to ensure these technologies are used ethically and equitably. One area where AI can make a significant impact is in learning analytics, where AI tools can provide insights into student performance, identify learning gaps, and predict future outcomes. However, to maximize the benefits

of AI in education, institutions must also address the associated ethical concerns, such as algorithmic bias and the protection of student data.

Similarly, Big Data will provide educational institutions with vast amounts of information that can be used to improve policy-making and decision-making. Data-driven insights can help educational leaders monitor trends, evaluate policies, and adjust strategies to improve outcomes. However, the responsible collection and analysis of data will require strong regulatory frameworks to protect the privacy and rights of students.

6.4. Digital Governance Strategies for Developing and Marginalized Groups

Finally, as digital governance continues to expand, it is critical that special attention is given to developing countries and marginalized groups. Digital transformation in education must be inclusive, ensuring that students from all backgrounds have access to the opportunities and benefits offered by digital technologies.

For developing countries, digital governance strategies must address the challenges posed by limited infrastructure, resource scarcity, and social inequities. Governments in these regions should focus on creating affordable and scalable digital solutions, such as low-cost devices, offline learning platforms, and mobile-based education services, which can reach remote and underserved communities. In addition, international collaboration and foreign aid can help support the digital transformation efforts in these countries.

For marginalized groups, including rural communities, disabled students, and those from low-income families, governments must implement policies that bridge the digital divide. This can include initiatives such as subsidizing internet access, providing free digital learning materials, and training educators in inclusive teaching methods that use technology to meet diverse needs.

Furthermore, digital inclusion policies should aim to empower all learners with the digital skills necessary to thrive in an increasingly digital world. It is essential that education systems focus on digital literacy from an early age, ensuring that students can navigate digital tools and platforms competently and responsibly. By addressing the specific challenges faced by developing countries and marginalized groups, digital governance in education can help create a more equitable and inclusive global education system.

7. Conclusion

7.1. Key Findings

This review has explored the theoretical foundations, key dimensions, and global trends in the digital governance of education. We have observed that digital governance is becoming an essential part of educational systems worldwide, driven by advancements in technology and the need to address complex educational challenges. The increasing integration of AI, big data, and learning analytics presents both opportunities and challenges in the management and governance of education.

One key finding is the growing importance of collaborative governance models, where different stakeholders — governments, educational institutions, tech developers, and civil society — work together to create transparent and sustainable policies. The digital divide remains a critical issue, particularly in developing countries and marginalized communities, where equitable access to digital tools and internet connectivity is often lacking. Therefore, future digital governance frameworks must prioritize inclusivity, fairness, and accessibility for all learners.

7.2. Theoretical and Practical Implications

The findings of this study offer both theoretical and practical implications for the field of educational governance. From a theoretical standpoint, the review highlights the importance of adopting multi-level governance frameworks, where different levels of decision-making — from local to global — work in synergy to enhance educational outcomes. The application of governance theories such as participatory governance and networked

governance can provide valuable insights into the collaborative processes necessary for effective digital governance in education.

In practice, educational policymakers and administrators should consider the integration of digital literacy as a foundational element of educational systems. Furthermore, they must focus on creating data-driven governance structures that empower educators to make informed decisions based on real-time insights while safeguarding the privacy and security of students' personal data.

Moreover, the use of AI and big data in educational decision-making necessitates careful ethical considerations. Governments and educational institutions should implement policies that ensure algorithmic transparency, fairness, and accountability to mitigate potential biases and protect the rights of students.

7.3. Future Research Directions

While this review has provided a comprehensive analysis of digital governance in education, there are several areas that require further exploration. Future research should focus on the long-term effects of digital governance on educational equity and access, especially in regions with limited technological infrastructure. The implications of AI and big data for decision-making in education governance warrant deeper investigation, particularly regarding how these technologies can be ethically implemented in diverse educational contexts.

Another important area for future research is the impact of digital governance on teacher-student relationships. As digital tools become more integrated into the educational experience, understanding how these tools affect interpersonal communication, pedagogical approaches, and student engagement will be critical for designing effective digital governance frameworks.

Finally, comparative research on digital governance models in different global regions could provide valuable insights into how various countries are addressing the challenges of digital education governance. This research could inform best practices and policy recommendations for countries facing similar issues.

References

1. F. Filgueiras, "Artificial intelligence and education governance," *Educ. Citizsh. Soc. Justice*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 349–361, 2024, doi: 10.1177/17461979231160674.
2. Z. Mo, "AI-assisted strategies for improving Chinese proficiency in non-native AP exam takers," *J. Comput. Signal Syst. Res.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 115–122, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.71222/4tksvc16.
3. K. S. Suryanarayana, V. P. Kandi, G. Pavani, A. S. Rao, S. Rout, and T. S. R. Krishna, "Artificial intelligence enhanced digital learning for the sustainability of education management system," *J. High Technol. Manag. Res.*, vol. 35, no. 2, Art. no. 100495, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.hitech.2024.100495.
4. P. Ferrante et al., "In/equalities in digital education policy–sociotechnical imaginaries from three world regions," *Learn. Media Technol.*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 122–132, 2024, doi: 10.1080/17439884.2023.2237870.
5. Z. Mo, "Artificial intelligence in lifelong learning: Enhancing Chinese language instruction for non-native adult learners," *GBP Proc. Ser.*, vol. 2, pp. 141–146, 2025, doi: 10.71222/vxzcka39.
6. Rojas and W. Wermke, "Governance dynamics and local autonomy in large-scale governmental funding: The case of Sweden's campaign to improve equity," *Policy Futures Educ.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 484–501, 2025, doi: 10.1177/14782103241283741.
7. J. Zhang and Z. Zhang, "AI in teacher education: Unlocking new dimensions in teaching support, inclusive learning, and digital literacy," *J. Comput. Assist. Learn.*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1871–1885, 2024, doi: 10.1111/jcal.12988.
8. R. Dlamini and N. Ndzinisa, "Towards a critical discourse on artificial intelligence and its misalignment in sub-Saharan Africa: Through an equality, equity, and decoloniality lens," *J. Educ. Univ. KwaZulu-Natal*, no. 98, pp. 42–61, 2025, doi: 10.17159/2520-9868/i98a03.
9. Z. Wei, "Navigating digital learning landscapes: Unveiling the interplay between learning behaviors, digital literacy, and educational outcomes," *J. Knowl. Econ.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 10516–10546, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s13132-023-01522-3.
10. G. I. Sari, S. Winasis, I. Pratiwi, and U. W. Nuryanto, "Strengthening digital literacy in Indonesia: Collaboration, innovation, and sustainability education," *Soc. Sci. Humanit. Open*, vol. 10, Art. no. 101100, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101100.
11. R. Medaglia, B. Rukanova, and Z. Zhang, "Digital government and the circular economy transition: An analytical framework and a research agenda," *Gov. Inf. Q.*, vol. 41, no. 1, Art. no. 101904, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2023.101904.

12. A. K. Mishra et al., "Revolutionizing government operations: The impact of artificial intelligence in public administration," *Convers. Artif. Intell.*, pp. 607–634, 2024, doi: 10.1002/9781394200801.ch34.