Article

The Essence and Key Constructs of Deep Learning in Early Childhood: A Conceptual Analysis

Yaqi Wei [1] and Yanan Liu [2,*]

[1] Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang, Henan, China
[2] Hebei International Studies University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China
* Correspondence: Yanan Liu, Hebei International Studies University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China

Abstract: Deep learning in early childhood refers to an integrative learning process through which young children actively engage in inquiry, collaborative interaction, and reflective construction within authentic contexts, fostering the development of higher-order thinking and core competencies. At its core, it emphasizes the holistic integration of cognitive, emotional, and social dimensions, transcending superficial memorization and mechanical imitation. The essential components include: (1) the selection and focus of learning themes; (2) active emotional and attitudinal engagement; (3) peer collaboration and mutual support; (4) effective scaffolding by educators; (5) evaluative reflection and metacognitive advancement. By examining its conceptual foundations and structural elements, this paper reveals deep learning as a transformative paradigm characterized by integration, contextualization, and interactivity. It further elucidates the synergistic mechanisms through which multidimensional factors coalesce to promote holistic development in early childhood.

Keywords: deep learning; deep learning in childhood; high-order thinking

Published: 29 June 2025

1. Introduction

In recent years, the paradigm of deep learning has gained increasing prominence in educational research, emphasizing not only knowledge acquisition but also the cultivation of higher-order thinking, critical analysis, and knowledge transfer. While considerable scholarly attention has been directed toward its application in secondary and higher education, the exploration of deep learning in early childhood education remains comparatively limited. Young children have long been perceived as concrete thinkers lacking the cognitive maturity for abstract reasoning. However, emerging research suggests that with appropriate pedagogical strategies and supportive environments, young learners are capable of engaging in meaningful, reflective, and inquiry-driven learning processes. This paper aims to investigate the essence, evolution, and key components of deep learning within early childhood contexts. Drawing on theoretical foundations and empirical developments both globally and within Chinese educational discourse, it examines how early learners engage in deep learning through intrinsic motivation, problem-centered activities, collaborative inquiry, and evaluative reflection. The study ultimately seeks to provide a comprehensive framework for understanding and implementing deep learning in early childhood education.

2. The Essence of Deep Learning in Early Childhood

To profoundly understand the multifaceted educational concept of deep learning in early childhood, it is imperative to go beyond superficial analysis and explore its core essence, including the theoretical foundations and defining characteristics of deep learning. Only by systematically grasping its intrinsic mechanisms can researchers rigorously

unveil the fundamental principles governing the deep learning phenomenon in early childhood.

The concept of deep learning originally emerged in artificial intelligence research, where it focused on simulating human learning mechanisms to enable autonomous machine intelligence. However, in educational contexts, deep learning refers to higher-order cognitive engagement and meaningful knowledge construction. In 1956, scholar's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives established a hierarchical framework for cognitive processes, distinguishing between lower-order cognitive activities (e.g., memorization and comprehension) associated with surface learning, and higher-order cognitive processes (e.g., application, analysis, evaluation, and creation) central to deep learning [1]. This framework laid a critical foundation for subsequent research on deep learning in educational contexts.

The educational significance of deep learning was first empirically examined in 1976 by Ference Marton and Roger Saljo, who conducted seminal experiments with Swedish university students. Their study identified two distinct approaches to text processing: surface-level processing and deep-level processing, thereby empirically validating the dichotomy of learning strategies [2]. Building on this distinction, Biggs later systematized the conceptual boundaries between deep learning and surface learning, positioning the former as a pedagogically advanced counterpart to the latter [3]. Building on Marton's foundational work, Ramsden, Entwistle, and colleagues advanced the conceptualization of deep learning by integrating insights into its formative mechanisms. Their analysis delineated distinctive traits of deep learners, emphasizing that deep learning constitutes a cognitive process anchored in sustained reflective engagement and transcending conventional thought patterns [4]. By the 1990s, the theoretical scope of deep learning underwent significant refinement. In 1995, Hall and colleagues posited that deep learning externally manifests higher-order thinking skills, with its cognitive architecture characterized by the relational integration of knowledge components and active meaning-making [5]. This perspective established an explicit link between deep learning and the cultivation of higher-order cognitive capacities. Further expanding the concept, a report from the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, Deeper Learning Strategic Plan Summary Education Program, redefined deep learning as a multidimensional construct encompassing critical thinking, collaborative problem-solving, metacognitive reflection, and persistent learning engagement, thereby extending its conceptual boundaries from purely cognitive domains to broader competencies and dispositions, such as emotional intelligence, self-regulation, and the ability to engage in lifelong learning. Guided by these theoretical advancements, the American Institutes for Research (AIR) empirically operationalized deep learning through the Study of Deeper Learning (SDL) and Deeper Learning Network (DLN) initiatives. A three-year longitudinal study across 19 schools culminated in the development of a tripartite framework spanning cognitive, interpersonal, and intrapersonal domains, each comprising two measurable dimensions. This empirically grounded model has provided actionable pathways for implementing deep learning pedagogies in diverse educational settings. The Conceptual Evolution of Deep Learning in Chinese Educational Research.

In China, most scholars agree that deep learning requires the engagement of higher-order cognitive objectives, characterized by transferability, critical thinking, and problem orientation, ultimately fostering the development of advanced thinking skills.

However, as research progressed, scholars progressively expanded the conceptual boundaries of deep learning. Notably, the role of learners' proactive agency particularly intrinsic motivation was incorporated into the discourse. Moreover, metacognitive regulation emerged as a pivotal attribute, underscoring the necessity of self-monitoring during the learning process.

Synthesizing extant research, deep learning can be defined as a dynamic process wherein learners, driven by intrinsic motivation and grounded in prior cognitive schemas,

actively engage in exploring novel knowledge domains. This entails the strategic deployment of multifaceted learning strategies to critically analyze and synthesize content, thereby constructing bridges between existing and emerging knowledge. Through this process, learners employ higher-order thinking skills to navigate complex problem spaces [6]. Concurrently, learners systematically cultivate and refine key competencies, including critical thinking, reflective capacity, self-regulation, knowledge integration, and collaborative communication.

Deep learning theory has offered profound insights into understanding human learning mechanisms. Initially, Chinese scholars concentrated their investigations on K-12 and undergraduate populations, conducting rigorous empirical investigations across diverse subject areas including primary mathematics, language education, and technology-enhanced pedagogies such as flipped classrooms.

A paradigm shift occurred in 2016 when a leading Chinese scholar, during a keynote address at a national early childhood education conference, asserted that "young children possess the capacity to engage meaningfully in deep learning." This proposition catalyzed a new research trajectory focused on early childhood education. Early childhood deep learning has since been conceptualized as an advanced form of inquiry rooted in longitudinal developmental processes [7]. Concurrently, researchers emphasized that deep learning in young learners emerges through interactive engagements with their environments during play-based activities, wherein knowledge transfer and integration are applied to resolve complex problems . It has since been characterized as a holistic, integrative construct that bridges cognitive, social, and emotional developmental domains [8]. Deep learning in early childhood is conceptualized as a developmentally contextualized process wherein young learners, propelled by intrinsic motivation, engage proactively in inquiry-based activities to resolve challenges, thereby fostering holistic development. This framework delineates five cardinal characteristics of this phenomenon:

1) Intrinsic motivation as the primary driver.
2) Meaningful learning as its epistemological essence.
3) Integrative learning as the methodological pathway.
4) Core competency development as the ultimate objective.
5) Reflection and transfer as critical mechanisms for knowledge consolidation [9].

Scholarly consensus underscores that, although early childhood deep learning shares theoretical foundations with adult-oriented models — particularly in its emphasis on higher-order thinking for knowledge construction and problem-solving — it diverges significantly by integrating age-specific sensorimotor. cognitive. and socio-emotional developmental trajectories. Early childhood educators consistently conceptualize it as a dynamic process in which young learners utilize higher-order cognitive strategies to address real-world tasks, actively transferring knowledge and reconstructing experiential schemas through context-rich engagement. Despite nuanced terminological variations within scholarly discourse, a prevailing consensus holds that early childhood deep learning is a goal-oriented process intrinsically rooted in problem resolution and higher-order cognitive engagement.

Due to the distinctive developmental trajectories of young learners, this process takes the form of a situated learning modality. closely connected to tangible materials and the dynamic contexts in which learning occurs. Drawing from current conceptualizations, early childhood deep learning can be described as a schema-driven, constructive practice in which children actively reshape their cognitive frameworks through hands-on, contextually embedded experiences. The operational cycle unfolds through four interdependent phases: Problem Emergence: Challenges surface through iterative interactions with environments, materials, and peers during exploratory play. Problem Analysis, Collaborative Analysis. Multimodal Exploration; Competency Transfer.

3. Constitutive Elements of Early Childhood Deep Learning

Early childhood deep learning is recognized as a highly complex and generative learning process. While it shares core features with general learning processes, it also incorporates developmentally specific attributes unique to early childhood education.

3.1. Learning Themes and Objective

Deep learning in early childhood is a form of problem-solving-oriented learning, implemented through "project-based activities" [10]. It encourages young children to engage in "learning by doing", driving them to move from superficial perception to in-depth exploration. This requires a clear, well-defined, and captivating learning theme rooted in children's daily life experiences as a guiding framework. The learning theme should emerge from children's experiential contexts, activating their existing knowledge networks through concrete, relatable questions. High-quality themes should transcend disciplinary boundaries to foster multidimensional cognitive connections. For instance, a project like "Building a Mini Community" could integrate construction play (spatial cognition), role-playing (social interaction), artistic decoration (aesthetic expression), and mathematical measurement (proportional planning) to promote holistic skill development. The goals of early childhood deep learning should follow Bloom's Taxonomy, establishing a progressive competency development framework. In terms of knowledge and skills, the focus lies on core experiential learning; in process and methodology, on cultivating higher-order thinking skills; and in affective attitudes, on nurturing scientific dispositions and values.

This problem-solving-centered deep learning paradigm stimulates inquiry motivation through relatable, life-based themes, fosters interconnected cognitive networks via interdisciplinary integration, and promotes holistic competency development through hierarchical objectives, ultimately cultivating higher-order thinking and core competencies in young children. Grounded in a synthesis of Piaget's cognitive development theory, Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, and constructivist learning perspectives, it offers a scientifically grounded implementation framework to enhance the quality of early childhood education.

3.2. Learning Attitude and Emotions

Deep learning in early childhood emphasizes children's interest, active engagement, and self-directed participation. which are primarily driven by intrinsic motivation [11]. Intrinsic motivation refers to the internal drive stemming from individual needs, interests, or curiosity, rooted in the child's genuine fascination with the learning activity itself rather than external rewards or pressures. When young children engage in learning behaviors fueled by intrinsic motivation, they exhibit heightened enthusiasm, sustained focus, persistent problem-solving efforts, and deep cognitive immersion all hallmarks of advanced learning progression. Such behaviors signal a shift from superficial interaction to goal-oriented, self-regulated exploration. which serves as a critical indicator of deeper learning engagement in early childhood development.

When driven by intrinsic motivation, young learners demonstrate exceptional enthusiasm and proactive engagement in learning activities. This intrinsic drive manifests as sustained task focus, enabling children to immerse themselves fully in learning contexts while resisting external distractions. Such deep engagement not only facilitates the acquisition of domain-specific knowledge and skills but also cultivates essential cognitive capacities, including attentional control and perseverance.

A positive emotional disposition enables deeper cognitive engagement that transcends rote memorization or superficial imitation. Children demonstrate analytical thinking by actively constructing conceptual connections and posing insightful questions, thereby stimulating intellectual vitality and advancing higher-order thinking skills. This

motivational framework concurrently fosters emotional rewards through perceived enjoyment and accomplishment, reinforcing learning commitment and self-efficacy development.

Furthermore, deep learning experiences contribute to value formation during early development. Collaborative learning contexts provide opportunities for cultivating prosocial behaviors — including resource sharing, cooperative problem-solving, and mutual respect — fostering collective responsibility and social awareness. When children achieve genuine conceptual understanding supported by developed cognitive competencies and positive learning dispositions, they demonstrate effective knowledge transfer across novel contexts. This capacity for adaptive application of learned concepts serves as a critical indicator of profound learning internalization, marking transformative progress in early educational development.

3.3 Inquiry and Collaborative Interaction

Inquiry and collaborative interaction play pivotal roles in early childhood profound learning, reflecting the inherently social and interactive nature of young learners' developmental processes. These elements constitute indispensable components of meaningful cognitive engagement during early education.

Young children's physical and cognitive development is still in progress, characterized by age-appropriate developmental patterns in their cognitive capacities and learning approaches. During problem-solving tasks, timely scaffolding from educators and peer collaboration serve as vital external supports for advancing profound learning engagement [12]. Such learning extends beyond isolated individual behavior and is strongly supported by collective and socially mediated experiences.

From a cognitive development perspective, young children's thinking is predominantly concrete and perceptually anchored. When confronted with complex challenges, they often lack the independent capacity to resolve difficulties autonomously. Through peer interactions and cooperative endeavors, children exchange perspectives, experiences, and ideas, fostering multi-dimensional problem analysis, expanded cognitive frameworks, and enriched mental schemas.

Socioculturally, early childhood represents a critical phase for socialization, during which children acquire essential prosocial competencies such as collaborative problem-solving and resource sharing. Structured collaborative activities not only scaffold cognitive growth but also cultivate foundational skills in communication, empathy, and collective responsibility-attributes central to holistic development. Empirical evidence underscores that such socially embedded learning experiences significantly enhance knowledge retention, adaptive reasoning, and the transfer of skills to novel contexts, thereby amplifying the depth and sustainability of early learning outcomes. Peer interaction and interpersonal engagement provide preschoolers with rich social learning opportunities, fostering the development of essential social interaction skills, emotional competencies, and values. Inquiry-based and collaborative interactions serve as valuable educational resources that enable young learners to integrate diverse knowledge, experiences, and competencies through collective exchange and shared problem-solving processes. Furthermore, sustained cognitive engagement during cooperative activities including critical analysis, creative thinking, and solution generation significantly enhances children's capacity for higher-order thinking. These empirical findings underscore the pedagogical imperative for early childhood educators to strategically implement effective scaffolding strategies that optimize collaborative learning environments.

3.4. Teacher Support and Guidance

Grounded in Vygotsky's sociocultural theory and the Zone of Proximal Development, teacher support plays a critical role in guiding children's intuitive exploration toward

goal-oriented investigative learning through the construction of dynamic cognitive scaffolding. This process promotes the concurrent development of metacognitive regulation, knowledge transfer, and complex problem-solving capabilities in young children. Educators should position themselves as "facilitators" rather than "directors", cultivating intrinsic motivation for inquiry through authentic problem-based contexts that provoke cognitive dissonance. By employing open-ended questioning techniques, teachers guide learners in autonomous hypothesis formation and verification.

During investigative processes, practitioners must flexibly implement scaffolding strategies through cognitive modeling while progressively releasing responsibility as children construct knowledge through trial and error. Simultaneously, strategic questioning facilitates the integration of fragmented discoveries into systematic cognitive frameworks. Such instructional support requires balancing respect for children's developmental pacing with timely pedagogical interventions to deepen cognitive engagement, ultimately achieving the critical transition from teacher-guided to child-initiated learning.

Within early childhood deep learning contexts, educator scaffolding functions as both a catalytic force for unleashing investigative potential and a pivotal lever for cognitive advancement. This professional mediation enables the elevation from surface-level exploration to higher-order conceptual understanding, embodying the essential mechanism for achieving developmental milestones through guided participation and cognitive apprenticeship.

3.5. Evaluative Reflection and Synthesis

Evaluative Reflection as a Critical Component of Higher-Order Thinking in Early Childhood [13]. Evaluative reflection constitutes a vital dimension of children's higher-order thinking, reflecting their metacognitive capacities in monitoring learning processes. It serves both as an indicator of elevated cognitive advancement and a critical metric for assessing the efficacy of deep learning. This process embodies children's systematic examination and critical analysis of their own learning trajectories and outcomes, marking a pivotal transition from rudimentary to sophisticated cognitive development.

Higher-order thinking refers to intellectual activities or cognitive capacities operating at advanced levels of mental processing, typified in educational taxonomies by analytical, synthetic, evaluative, and creative competencies. Early childhood represents a sensitive period for cognitive development. While young learners predominantly engage in concrete, image-based thought, they demonstrate emergent higher-order thinking capacities when provided with developmentally appropriate scaffolding. Evaluative reflection, in this context, plays a significant role in promoting the development of advanced cognitive skills. During learning experiences, evaluative reflection motivates children to retrospectively critique their actions, ideas, and learning outcomes. This practice cultivates multidimensional problem-solving perspectives, enhances cognitive flexibility and critical thinking, and reveals the depth of knowledge internalization. Through systematic self-assessment and reflection, educators gain diagnostic insights into learners' conceptual mastery and knowledge transfer capabilities, enabling timely pedagogical interventions. Strategic approaches may include: Designing environments that scaffold reflective practices; Implementing collaborative reflection protocols; Encouraging metacognitive documentation of learning processes; Such strategies collectively advance deep learning outcomes.

Furthermore, evaluative reflection functions as a developmental mirror, exposing children's evolving cognitive sophistication. The analytical, integrative, and discriminative thinking demonstrated during reflective acts constitutes observable evidence of deep learning. Crucially, it also illuminates learners' epistemic dispositions and motivational drivers. Children exhibiting strong reflective tendencies typically display proactive learn-

ing attitudes, self-regulated strategy adaptation, and enhanced academic efficacy, all hallmarks of long-term learning success. Interconnected Dimensions of Deep Learning in Early Childhood Education.

The aforementioned analysis reveals that deep learning in early childhood not only encompasses fundamental elements of learning processes but also distinctly highlights the unique characteristics of preschool education. Well-designed learning themes serve as catalytic agents for stimulating investigative curiosity and nurturing exploratory dispositions, thereby establishing a robust foundation for cognitive depth. Concurrently, affective-motivational dispositions including learner agency, persistence, and inquisitive function as intrinsic drivers of sustained intellectual engagement. The cultivation of these non-cognitive factors proves critical for optimizing deep learning outcomes. Through hands-on experiential inquiry and collaborative problem-solving, children progressively acquire domain knowledge while developing critical competencies such as analytical reasoning, metacognitive regulation, and cooperative learning strategies all central objectives of deep learning paradigms. Crucially, educators, positioned as facilitators and supporters, exert profound influence through their instructional scaffolding techniques. Professional practices encompassing diagnostic observation, responsive strategy adaptation, and resource orchestration constitute specialized interventions that catalyze deep learning trajectories. These interdependent elements collectively form a dynamic ecosystem that supports the cognitive advancement of young learners, emphasizing the necessity of holistic, system-level approaches in early childhood pedagogical design.

4. Conclusion

This study has systematically explored the conceptual foundations and constitutive elements of deep learning in early childhood education. By synthesizing theoretical perspectives and empirical findings, it demonstrates that deep learning at this developmental stage is not only possible but essential for fostering holistic cognitive, social, and emotional growth. Through the integration of meaningful, life-based themes, intrinsically motivated engagement, collaborative problem-solving, and evaluative reflection, young children can develop core competencies and higher-order thinking skills. Importantly, this process must be scaffolded by intentional pedagogical practices, including responsive instruction, reflective facilitation, and strategically designed learning environments. The findings emphasize that early childhood deep learning is a dynamic, contextually situated, and socially mediated process that requires a systemic approach to curriculum, teaching, and assessment. Future research may further investigate longitudinal impacts, culturally responsive practices, and scalable models of implementation, contributing to a more nuanced understanding of how deep learning can transform early education and support lifelong learning trajectories.

References

1. R. W. Morshead, *Taxonomy of educational objectives Handbook II: Affective domain*, 1965.
2. F. Marton and R. Säljö, "On qualitative differences in learning: I—Outcome and process," *Br. J. Educ. Psychol.*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 4–11, 1976, doi: 10.1111/j.2044-8279.1976.tb02980.x.
3. J. Biggs, "Individual differences in study processes and the quality of learning outcomes," *High. Educ.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 381–394, 1979, doi: 10.1007/BF01680526.
4. N. Entwistle and P. Ramsden, *Understanding student learning*, Routledge, 2015. ISBN: 9780203891414.
5. G. E. Hall and S. M. Hord, *Implementing change: Patterns, principles, and potholes*, 2006. ISBN: 978-0205162222.
6. C. R. Hale and L. W. Barsalou, "Explanation content and construction during system learning and troubleshooting," *J. Learn. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 385–436, 1995, doi: 10.1207/s15327809jls0404_2.
7. M. Irvin, *The importance of play in early childhood education*, 2017.
8. Z. H. Wan, Y. Jiang, and Y. Zhan, "STEM education in early childhood: A review of empirical studies," *Early Educ. Dev.*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 940–962, 2021, doi: 10.1080/10409289.2020.1814986.
9. E. L. Deci and R. M. Ryan, "The 'what' and 'why' of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior," *Psychol. Inq.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 227–268, 2000, doi: 10.1207/s15327965pli1104_01.

10. Z. J. Cheng et al., "Preschool Children's Deeper-Learning in Mature Play," *Early Child. Educ. J.*, pp. 1–16, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10643-024-01673-1.
11. S. Zhong, "Effective Teacher-Child Interaction Promoting Activities—A Strategic Study of Teacher-Child Interaction in Kindergarten Group Teaching Activities," *Front. Educ. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 23, 2023, doi: 10.25236/FER.2023.062303.
12. L. Darling-Hammond, M. E. Hyler, and M. Gardner, "Effective teacher professional development," *Learn. Policy Inst.*, 2017.
13. A. C. Ranjini et al., "Scientific understanding of learning through deep learning algorithms," Int. J. Early Child. Spec. Educ., vol. 14, no. 7, 2022.