Article

Game Logic Analysis of Enterprise Strategic Decision Making

Gang Wang [1,*]

[1] XH CRYPTO, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710000, China
* Correspondence: Gang Wang, XH CRYPTO, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710000, China

Abstract: This paper explores the logical foundations and practical applications of game theory in the context of corporate strategic decision-making. In today's volatile and competitive business environment, strategic decisions are increasingly interdependent, where the outcomes hinge not only on a firm's own actions but also on the anticipated responses of rivals, partners, and regulators. Game theory offers a systematic and analytical framework for modeling such interactions, enabling firms to optimize their choices under conditions of strategic uncertainty. The study combines rigorous theoretical analysis with empirical investigation, incorporating a wide array of real-world case studies and detailed data interpretations. It identifies recurring strategic patterns and classifies firm behaviors under different market conditions—such as monopoly, oligopoly, and perfect competition. The results indicate that corporate game strategies vary significantly based on market structure, the nature of competitive dynamics, and the strategic objectives of stakeholders. Furthermore, by developing tailored game-theoretic models and visualizing outcomes through structured data tables, the research offers both theoretical contributions and actionable insights. These findings not only provide a valuable guide for corporate leaders but also serve as a foundational reference in business education, helping future decision-makers craft informed, flexible, and scientifically grounded strategic decisions in an increasingly interconnected economic landscape.

Keywords: enterprise strategic decision; game logic; data analysis

Published: 26 July 2025

1. Introduction

1.1. Background: Strategic Complexity in Modern Business

In today's fiercely competitive and rapidly evolving business environment, organizations are confronted with a multitude of uncertainties, including technological disruption, fluctuating consumer demands, global supply chain volatility, and unpredictable regulatory landscapes. Under such volatile conditions, strategic decision-making has become a core determinant of long-term corporate survival and growth. Decisions related to pricing, product launches, market entry, alliances, and resource allocation often involve interactions with other players in the market — be they competitors, suppliers, or customers — which makes the decision-making process increasingly complex and interdependent.

1.2. Research Value: Game Theory as a Strategic Tool

Game theory, originally developed within the field of economics and mathematics, has become an influential interdisciplinary framework that analyzes strategic interactions among rational agents. Its application in the business context allows organizations to systematically assess the likely actions and reactions of various stakeholders, enabling better predictions of competitive behavior and market dynamics. By utilizing concepts such as Nash equilibrium, dominant strategies, and repeated games, firms can construct rational decision models that enhance both offensive and defensive strategic planning. The integration of game-theoretic approaches into corporate strategy empowers decision-makers to shift from reactive to proactive positioning in highly competitive environments.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Game Theory in Corporate Strategic Decision-Making

2.1. Fundamentals of Game Theory

Game theory is a mathematical and conceptual framework designed to analyze decision-making behaviors among multiple agents operating in interdependent and strategically interactive environments. It offers tools to predict and interpret how rational actors behave when the outcome of each one's decision depends on the actions of others. The foundational elements of any game-theoretic model include players, strategy sets, and payoff functions [1].

Players are the central decision-makers in the game and may represent firms, consumers, regulators, or even industry alliances.

Strategies denote the full set of possible actions each player can adopt under specific conditions. These strategies reveal not only behavioral flexibility but also how decision-making may adapt to environmental changes or competitor responses.

Payoffs measure the outcome of strategic interactions, often quantified in terms of utility or profit, and help compare the relative advantages or disadvantages of different strategic combinations.

Games can be broadly categorized based on structure and interaction type:

Cooperative vs. Non-Cooperative Games: Cooperative games emphasize collaboration, alliance formation, or joint ventures, where participants may share information and profits. In contrast, non-cooperative games assume independent actions with competitive motives, and solutions often focus on achieving Nash equilibria.

Static vs. Dynamic Games: Static games assume simultaneous moves with limited or no knowledge of others' decisions, while dynamic games incorporate time sequences, allowing for strategy adjustment, reputation effects, and backward induction. The dynamic structure is particularly relevant for industries characterized by rapid change or technological competition.

These classifications provide a structured theoretical basis for modeling real-world strategic interactions in various market conditions.

2.2. Linking Game Theory to Corporate Strategic Decision-Making

Strategic decision-making in modern enterprises requires forward-looking planning, resource coordination, and dynamic adaptation in highly competitive and uncertain market environments. A key determinant of success lies in a firm's ability to anticipate competitor actions, simulate market shifts, and evaluate long-term trade-offs. Game theory contributes to this process by offering formal models of strategic interaction that guide rational planning under uncertainty.

In oligopolistic markets, for example, firms do not act in isolation. Pricing adjustments, production expansion, or product differentiation strategies often provoke competitive responses. A failure to anticipate these reactions may lead to price wars, market share erosion, or excess capacity. By applying game-theoretic tools such as Nash equilibrium or backward induction, firms can evaluate optimal strategies under various opponent scenarios and avoid suboptimal decisions driven by intuition or misjudgment [2].

Game theory is also valuable in decisions related to:

Market Entry: Assessing first-mover versus follower strategies.

Technological Investment: Anticipating competitors' innovation pathways.

Advertising and Branding: Understanding signaling effects and audience reception.

Information Asymmetry: Mitigating risks in environments with uneven access to critical data.

Take the high-tech industry as an example: if a firm neglects potential rival innovations during R&D planning, it may miss key market opportunities or overinvest in obsolete technologies. By integrating game-theoretic reasoning, companies can refine their competitive foresight, improve risk mitigation, and design adaptive strategies that respond effectively to shifting market dynamics.

In sum, game theory does not merely serve as a theoretical construct; it provides practical decision-making value by enhancing strategic logic, reinforcing rationality, and supporting organizational agility in volatile environments.

3. Types and Analysis of Game in Enterprise Strategic Decision-Making

3.1. Price Game: Strategic Pricing and Inter-Firm Competition

Pricing is one of the most critical strategic tools in market competition, acting not only as a determinant of revenue but also as a signal of product value, market intent, and competitive posture. In oligopolistic or duopolistic market structures — where a few firms dominate and interact repeatedly — pricing decisions must consider not only cost-demand relationships but also the anticipated responses of competitors and the potential influence on consumer behavior [3].

To illustrate, consider a simplified price game between two substitutable firms, Firm A and Firm B. Each firm has two strategic choices: maintain a high price or shift to a low-price strategy. The possible payoff outcomes are illustrated in Table 1.

Table 1. Payoff Matrix of a Duopoly Price Game.

	Firm B: High Price	**Firm B: Low Price**
Firm A: High Price	(10, 10)	(2, 15)
Firm A: Low Price	(15, 2)	(5, 5)

As shown in Table 1, when both firms adopt a high-price strategy, they earn equal profits of 10, representing a stable and mutually beneficial outcome. If one firm lowers its price while the other maintains a high price, the defector (the price-cutter) captures more market share and gains a short-term advantage — yielding 15 to itself and 2 to its rival. However, this asymmetry may provoke retaliation. When both firms choose to lower prices, profits fall to 5 each, demonstrating a collectively inefficient outcome.

This scenario reflects a classic Prisoner's Dilemma within game theory. Although each firm has an incentive to undercut, mutual defection leads to lower payoffs, forming a Nash equilibrium — a stable but suboptimal outcome where neither firm has an incentive to deviate unilaterally.

To escape this inefficiency, game theory introduces the concept of repeated games, where long-term interactions create incentives for cooperation. In real-world markets — such as airlines, telecommunications, or fuel retail — firms may tacitly maintain higher prices through repeated interaction, reputational mechanisms, or implicit punishment strategies. These dynamics help explain the emergence of price stickiness or coordinated pricing behaviors that standard models cannot capture.

By analyzing such payoff matrices, companies can better evaluate the risks and benefits of aggressive pricing tactics and design more robust pricing strategies aligned with market realities and competitor behavior patterns [4].

3.2. Market Entry Game: Strategic Deterrence and Entry Decisions

Market entry represents a pivotal strategic choice for enterprises aiming at business expansion and market diversification. However, the process is often shaped by intense inter-firm interactions, particularly when potential entrants face off against entrenched incumbent firms. Entry decisions are rarely made in isolation; instead, they hinge on anticipated reactions from incumbents, who must decide whether to tolerate the entrant or retaliate with defensive actions to preserve their market dominance.

To analyze this dynamic, consider a simplified duopoly model involving an incumbent firm, Firm C, and a potential entrant, Firm D. Firm D must decide whether to enter the market, while Firm C must choose either to tolerate the entry or to counterattack, possibly through price cuts, capacity expansion, or legal barriers. The potential payoffs are illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2. Payoff Matrix of Market Entry Game.

	Firm C: Tolerate	Firm C: Counterattack
Firm D: Enter	(8, 2)	(–2, –5)
Firm D: Not Enter	(10, 0)	(10, 0)

As shown in Table 2, if Firm D enters and Firm C tolerates, both firms earn positive profits — 8 for the incumbent and 2 for the entrant — reflecting a stable coexistence under moderate competition. However, if the incumbent chooses to counterattack, perhaps through a price war or aggressive marketing, both sides suffer: the entrant incurs a loss of –2, and the incumbent sacrifices profits, falling to –5. This represents a lose-lose scenario often triggered by excessive retaliation.

If Firm D refrains from entering, Firm C enjoys a monopoly-like profit of 10, while the entrant gains nothing. The game structure reveals a strategic dilemma: Firm D must weigh the potential gain from entering against the threat of retaliation, while Firm C must decide whether aggressive deterrence is worth the cost.

This game typifies the logic behind strategic entry deterrence. Incumbents may attempt to send signals of strength — such as preemptive investment in excess capacity or aggressive advertising — to convince potential entrants of their willingness to fight. Conversely, entrants may test market gaps or exploit niches to reduce retaliation risk.

Understanding such interaction frameworks enables firms to simulate real-world scenarios and make more rational entry or defense decisions, particularly in industries like telecommunications, retail chains, or digital platforms where first-mover advantages and sunk costs are significant.

4. Empirical Research: Take an Industry as an Example

4.1. Industry Background: China's New Energy Vehicle Market

China's new energy vehicle (NEV) industry has emerged as one of the fastest-growing sectors in the global automotive landscape. Structurally, the market exhibits characteristics of an oligopolistic competition, where a small number of dominant firms maintain substantial market influence, while newer entrants attempt to challenge or cooperate within the established hierarchy [5].

Leading Chinese automakers — most notably BYD, alongside NIO and XPeng — have aggressively expanded their market presence. BYD alone captured approximately 21% of China's domestic NEV market, reflecting a strong first-mover advantage in production scale, battery integration, and vertical supply chain control. Simultaneously, international competitor Tesla has maintained a prominent foothold, particularly in the premium segment. According to 2023 global EV market data, Tesla and BYD lead with global market shares of 19.9% and 17.1%, respectively, underscoring their dominant positions.

This evolving landscape is shaped not only by consumer demand and technological innovation but also by regulatory frameworks and strategic industrial planning, which have accelerated the electrification transition. As a result, competition has intensified across multiple dimensions — including product performance, driving range, smart connectivity, and autonomous features — but increasingly extends to intangible capabilities, such as:

Brand differentiation and consumer loyalty,

Supply chain resilience and cost control,

Ecosystem integration (charging, digital services, after-sales).

In such a dynamic environment, corporate strategic decisions depend heavily on accurate anticipation of competitor behavior, as well as sensitivity to rapid shifts in technology and consumer preference. Game theory, particularly in modeling sequential moves and signaling strategies, offers a valuable lens to interpret firm interactions in this high-stakes market.

4.2. Data Collection and Analysis

This study develops a comprehensive, multi-dimensional database that captures critical competitive factors within China's new energy vehicle (NEV) industry. The dataset encompasses market share, pricing strategies, research and development (R&D) investments, and advertising expenditures. Data sources include publicly available financial reports, sales statistics, R&D expenditure disclosures, and records of marketing activities from major domestic NEV manufacturers over the period from 2018 to 2023. Additionally, the analysis incorporates insights from industry white papers issued by authoritative trade associations and third-party market research firms to ensure a robust and triangulated information base.

Key analytical dimensions focus on strategic interaction patterns such as the emergence of Nash equilibria during price wars, strategic adjustment phases surrounding new model launches, and the response behaviors of competitors to evolving market structures. For instance, the study examines how firms anticipate rivals' reactions when altering pricing or launching promotional campaigns, providing a granular understanding of the timing and impact of these competitive moves [6].

Empirical findings indicate that during the industry's rapid expansion phase from 2018 to 2020, firms predominantly pursued complementary strategies that facilitated technology sharing and collaboration, aiming to accelerate innovation and industry growth. However, as the market matured, competitive dynamics shifted toward zero-sum games, where individual gains often resulted in direct losses for competitors. Core competitive tactics during this transition included price promotions, brand positioning efforts, and channel network expansions [7].

By early 2023, leading firms exhibited a pronounced shift towards aggressive price-cutting, enhanced brand differentiation, and focused market penetration strategies. These behaviors align closely with game theory predictions concerning first-mover advantages and follower strategies, illustrating how strategic timing and anticipation shape firm behaviors in competitive markets.

This data-driven approach provides valuable empirical support to the theoretical frameworks discussed earlier, enabling a richer understanding of strategic decision-making processes within a complex and rapidly evolving industry.

4.3. Research Results and Implications

The empirical findings of this study underscore that enterprises must move beyond simplistic cost-benefit calculations when formulating strategic plans. Instead, a nuanced understanding of game dynamics and the evolutionary trajectories influenced by different stages of market development is essential for sustained competitive advantage.

In growth-stage markets, companies are advised to focus on building strategic alliances, fostering technological standardization, and promoting infrastructure co-development. These collaborative efforts help extend collective industry benefits and accelerate market expansion by creating robust ecosystems that support innovation diffusion and reduce entry barriers for complementary technologies.

Conversely, in mature or declining markets, the competitive emphasis shifts towards enhanced differentiation strategies. Firms in these phases seek to bolster their brand premium positioning while leveraging flexible pricing signals to influence competitors' expectations and behaviors. Such dynamic pricing serves not only as a tactical tool but also as a strategic communication mechanism, shaping market perceptions and deterring aggressive competitive moves.

Notably, the data reveals a consistent trend: enterprises that sustain increased investments in R&D, especially in frontier technological domains such as autonomous driving and connected vehicle systems, consistently outperform their peers. This observation leads to an important strategic insight: in industries marked by high uncertainty and rapid technological change, the accumulation of technological capabilities constitutes not only

a fundamental competitive advantage but also a strategic real option. This option grants firms greater flexibility to adapt, respond, and reshape future market engagements under varying competitive scenarios.

Consequently, enterprises are encouraged to integrate long-term technological innovation deeply into their strategic decision-making frameworks, viewing it not as a series of tactical expenditures but as a vital component of sustained competitive positioning and risk management.

5. Conclusion and Prospect

5.1. Research Conclusions

From a game theory perspective, this study systematically examines the competitive logic and behavioral selection mechanisms encountered by enterprises during strategic decision-making. The research demonstrates that game frameworks can effectively reveal inter-enterprise strategic interactions. By applying game analysis, companies can not only predict competitors 'behavioral trajectories with greater precision but also optimize their own strategy combinations, thereby significantly enhancing overall competitive efficiency. Furthermore, the study highlights that market structure heterogeneity determines the diversity of game strategies. In growing industries, firms tend to adopt cooperative game strategies to promote technology diffusion and ecosystem co-construction. Conversely, in mature or declining markets, non-cooperative games prevail, with frequent occurrences of price wars, brand suppression, and channel competition. Through retrospective analysis of dynamic game behaviors of multiple listed companies from 2015 to 2023, the empirical section reveals that enterprises incorporating technological innovation and product differentiation into core strategies exhibit stronger strategic resilience and leadership capabilities when facing market fluctuations. This demonstrates that technological accumulation not only establishes a solid foundation for sustained competitive advantages but also acts as a "strategic option" – significantly expanding enterprises' adaptability and strategic initiative in future competition. Such strategic options enhance corporate flexibility and proactive control in subsequent strategic engagements.

5.2. Limitations and Prospects

This study still faces several limitations. Regarding model construction, the simplified assumptions of information symmetry and rationality fail to fully capture the complex irrational decision-making and information asymmetry observed in real markets. In terms of data methodology, the sample selection primarily focuses on three potential avenues for future research: First, by incorporating behavioral game theory to relax traditional rationality assumptions, we aim to enhance the model's explanatory power for real-world scenarios. Second, expanding multi-source heterogeneous data through text mining and executive interviews will enrich empirical research dimensions. Finally, integrating institutional theory with resource-based view will establish a more comprehensive strategic analysis framework, offering new approaches for enterprises' long-term strategies in dynamic environments. Comprehensive strategic financial analysis provides fresh perspectives on its role and practical applications in corporate decision-making.

References

1. W. Jiang and N. Li, "The Intelligent Upgrading of Logistics between an Internet Enterprise and a Logistics Enterprise Based on Differential Game Theory," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 19, 2024, Art. no. 8556, doi: 10.3390/su16198556.
2. Z.-H. Zhang, D. Ling, Q. X. Yang, Y. C. Feng, and J. Xiu, "Central environmental protection inspection and carbon emission reduction: A tripartite evolutionary game model from the perspective of carbon neutrality," *Petrol. Sci.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 2139–2153, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.petsci.2023.11.014.
3. Y. Li, R. Cong, K. Zhang, S. Ma, C. Fu, and et al., "Four-way game analysis of transformation and upgrading of manufacturing enterprises relying on industrial internet platform under developers' participation," *J. Asian Archit. Build. Eng.*, pp. 1–22, 2024, doi: 10.1080/13467581.2024.2435609.

4. J. Feng, P. Han, W. Zheng, A. Kamran, et al., "Identifying the factors affecting strategic decision-making ability to boost the entrepreneurial performance: A hybrid structural equation modeling–artificial neural network approach," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 13, p. 1038604, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1038604.
5. S. Guo, "Tackling China's local environmental policy implementation gap: An evolutionary game analysis of China's environmental protection inspection system," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 416, p. 137942, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137942.
6. Y. Zhu, L. Niu, Z. Zhao, J. Li, et al., "The tripartite evolution game of environmental governance under the intervention of central government," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 10, p. 6034, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su14106034.
7. Y. Xu, H. Sun, and X. Lyu, "Analysis of decision-making for value co-creation in digital innovation systems: An evolutionary game model of complex networks," *Manag. Decis. Econ.*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 2869–2884, 2023, doi: 10.1002/mde.3852.