Article

Research on the Development of a Digital Platform for Process-Oriented Teaching Evaluation in Vocational Colleges

Fang Lu [1] and Chuan Li [2,*]

[1] Tongliao Vocational College, Tongliao, Inner Mongolia, China
[2] Hubei Polytechnic University, Huangshi, Hubei, China
* Correspondence: Chuan Li, Hubei Polytechnic University, Huangshi, Hubei, China

Abstract: In the context of ongoing industrial transformation and the rapid development of the digital economy, vocational education in China faces the critical task of enhancing educational quality, fostering professional excellence, and promoting value-added outcomes in skills development. Despite its strategic importance, current teaching evaluation systems in vocational colleges are frequently constrained by subjective assessment methods, limited evaluation dimensions, and insufficient integration of intelligent technologies. These limitations reduce the effectiveness of evaluating teaching processes and hinder the cultivation of high-quality technical and skilled talent needed to meet the evolving demands of modern industries. This study investigates the development of a digital platform for process-oriented teaching evaluation, leveraging advanced artificial intelligence technologies to improve objectivity, comprehensiveness, and adaptability. The research begins with a detailed analysis of the current state and prevailing challenges in teaching evaluation within vocational institutions, highlighting gaps in methodology, assessment criteria, and technology application. It then introduces the concept of process-oriented teaching, emphasizing the importance of evaluating teaching as a dynamic, continuous process rather than relying solely on static outcomes. Building on this foundation, a comprehensive digital evaluation index system is constructed to encompass both online and offline teaching activities, covering aspects such as instructional delivery, student engagement, interactive feedback, and practical skill application. A central component of the study is the design of a technical framework employing advanced visual recognition models, such as YOLOv11, to enable intelligent perception, real-time monitoring, and quantitative analysis of classroom teaching behaviors. The paper further discusses the potential benefits of such a digital evaluation system, including improved accuracy, enhanced feedback for educators, and support for evidence-based educational decision-making, while also addressing practical challenges related to system implementation, data privacy, and technological integration. By combining theoretical insights with practical application strategies, this research aims to provide guidance for the reform and digital transformation of teaching evaluation in vocational education, ultimately contributing to the development of a more effective, transparent, and innovation-driven educational environment.

Keywords: vocational education; process evaluation; digital platform; YOLOv11; smart classroom; teaching reform

Published: 30 November 2025

1. Introduction

Teaching evaluation occupies a central position in assessing educational outcomes, informing instructional strategies, and promoting continuous pedagogical improvement

[1]. It not only reflects the effectiveness of teaching practices but also serves as a critical mechanism for enhancing the overall quality of vocational education, ensuring that graduates acquire the practical skills and competencies required by evolving industrial and economic demands [2]. Despite its strategic importance, many Chinese vocational colleges continue to rely on traditional evaluation systems that are insufficiently aligned with the distinctive characteristics and goals of vocational education. These systems often fall short in capturing the full complexity of teaching and learning processes, limiting their capacity to provide meaningful insights for educators and institutions.

1.1. Evaluation Methods: Reliance on Subjective and Summative Observations

Currently, dominant evaluation practices primarily involve leadership classroom observations and peer reviews. Such methods largely depend on the evaluators' personal experience, impressions, and brief snapshots of classroom interactions, which inevitably introduce elements of randomness and partiality [3]. A single observation cannot accurately reflect the sustained performance of teachers or the dynamic interactions between educators and students over time. Moreover, it fails to track the continuous development of student engagement, practical skill acquisition, and competency growth throughout the learning process. This summative, "one-off" approach often produces evaluation outcomes with limited reliability and validity, and consequently, offers minimal actionable guidance for improving instructional practices [4]. The heavy reliance on subjective judgment underscores the need for more systematic, data-informed, and continuous evaluation methods capable of capturing the multi-dimensional nature of vocational teaching.

1.2. Evaluation Subjects: Limited Participation and Superficial Student Involvement

Although student evaluations are increasingly incorporated into teaching assessment frameworks, they are generally administered as end-of-semester online surveys. These surveys tend to collect broad, generalized impressions of courses or teacher likability rather than detailed, actionable feedback regarding specific instructional components or students' own learning progression [5]. Students, as the primary recipients and participants in the educational process, are therefore underutilized as a source of rich, continuous evaluative information. The lack of active and ongoing student engagement in assessment processes results in a significant gap in the evaluation perspective, hindering the comprehensive understanding of both instructional effectiveness and student learning experiences [6].

1.3. Evaluation Content: Disconnect from Vocational Education Objectives

Vocational education aims to cultivate skilled practitioners equipped with strong hands-on abilities, professional ethics, and adaptive problem-solving capabilities [7]. However, prevailing evaluation criteria frequently overemphasize theoretical knowledge dissemination while neglecting essential vocational competencies, including operational proficiency, teamwork, creative thinking, and innovation [8]. As a result, the evaluation process often prioritizes teacher performance rather than assessing the ultimate goal of vocational education: student learning outcomes and competency development [9]. Such a misalignment between evaluation content and educational objectives can compromise the relevance and utility of assessment results, limiting their role in supporting evidence-based teaching improvements and the cultivation of industry-ready graduates.

1.4. Technological Integration: Underutilization of Data and Intelligent Solutions

Despite advancements in digital campus infrastructure and "smart education" initiatives, the application of intelligent technologies in teaching evaluation remains limited and underdeveloped [10]. Most existing evaluation practices continue to rely on manually collected quantitative data, such as scores and questionnaires, which fail to

capture the rich, unstructured behavioral information generated during classroom interactions, hands-on practice sessions, or group activities [11]. Current digital platforms primarily function as data entry and reporting tools, lacking advanced analytical, diagnostic, and predictive capabilities necessary to generate deep insights into teaching effectiveness [12]. The underutilization of data and artificial intelligence constrains the potential to transform evaluation results into actionable strategies for instructional optimization, resource allocation, and institutional decision-making.

2. The Imperative for a Digital Platform for Process-Oriented Teaching Evaluation

2.1. The Concept and Characteristics of Process-Oriented Teaching

Process-oriented teaching embodies an educational philosophy that contrasts sharply with traditional summative evaluation approaches, emphasizing continuous monitoring, systematic documentation, comprehensive analysis, and dynamic assessment of the entire student learning journey. In the context of vocational education, process-oriented teaching is particularly significant due to its ability to reflect the practical, hands-on, and competency-based nature of skill development. Its key characteristics can be summarized as follows:

1) **Longitudinal Perspective:** Evaluation is conducted throughout the entire learning cycle, encompassing pre-class preparation, in-class engagement, post-class consolidation, and practical training sessions. This perspective ensures that assessment captures the full trajectory of student development rather than isolated moments of performance.
2) **Developmental Focus:** Emphasis is placed on observing and supporting students' progressive acquisition of knowledge, technical skills, and professional attitudes. The focus shifts from merely judging final outcomes to understanding growth patterns, learning curves, and the evolution of competencies over time.
3) **Multidimensional Assessment:** Evaluation spans multiple domains, including cognitive understanding, operational proficiency, professional ethics, teamwork capabilities, problem-solving skills, and overall competency development. By integrating these dimensions, the assessment framework aligns more closely with the goals of vocational education.
4) **Interactive Dynamics:** The platform recognizes and evaluates the educational significance of interactions between teachers and students, as well as among students themselves. Collaborative problem-solving, peer learning, and communication skills are all integral components of a comprehensive assessment system.
5) **Authentic Contextualization:** Assessment tasks and evaluation criteria are grounded in real-world scenarios, enabling students to demonstrate their ability to apply theoretical knowledge to practical challenges and workplace-relevant situations.

Overall, process-oriented teaching evaluation provides a holistic, dynamic, and contextually meaningful reflection of the educational process. By focusing on continuous improvement and feedback, it serves as a powerful mechanism for enhancing both student learning and teaching quality, ultimately supporting the development of vocational graduates who are adaptable, skilled, and workplace-ready [13].

2.2. Rationale for a Digital Platform

The establishment of a digital platform for process-oriented teaching evaluation is essential for transforming traditional assessment practices and maximizing the effectiveness of vocational education. The primary rationales include:

1) **Enabling Authentic Process Evaluation:** Traditional evaluation methods often struggle to systematically and continuously capture detailed information on

teaching and learning activities. By leveraging technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, and artificial intelligence (AI), a digital platform can automatically collect, process, and quantify data from classroom interactions, online learning environments, and hands-on practical sessions. This allows the concept of process evaluation to move from theoretical discussion to practical implementation.

2) **Enhancing Objectivity and Precision:** AI-powered analysis of multimodal data-including classroom video, assignments, group discussions, and interactive behaviors-reduces the influence of subjective bias, providing evidence-based insights that are more reliable and credible. Such analysis enables precise feedback that can guide both teachers and students in improving instructional quality and learning outcomes.
3) **Catalyzing Pedagogical Innovation and Personalized Learning:** Granular data analytics generated by the platform empower educators to identify strengths and weaknesses in teaching practices, understand individual student learning profiles, and make informed decisions about instructional strategies. This data-informed approach supports the shift from traditional experience-based teaching to personalized, adaptive learning pathways, offering targeted resources and tailored guidance to students based on their unique progress and needs.
4) **Realizing the Full Potential of Smart Classrooms:** Many vocational colleges have invested in advanced smart classroom infrastructure, including high-definition video recording systems, interactive sensors, and networked learning devices. However, without intelligent data processing, these resources often remain underutilized. A process-oriented teaching evaluation platform functions as the "intelligent core," converting raw data from hardware into actionable insights. This completes the value chain from a smart learning environment to a fully integrated smart assessment system, enhancing teaching management, curriculum adjustment, and instructional decision-making in real time.

3. Constructing a Digital Evaluation Index System for Process-Oriented Teaching

Developing a scientifically rigorous and comprehensive evaluation index system is a critical foundation for a digital platform aimed at process-oriented teaching evaluation. Such a system must holistically encompass both offline and online teaching contexts, integrating multidimensional data to accurately reflect teaching quality, student engagement, and learning outcomes. By systematically capturing behavioral, cognitive, and interactive indicators, the evaluation framework supports informed decision-making and continuous instructional improvement.

3.1. Offline Classroom Teaching Evaluation Index System

The physical classroom remains the primary venue for delivering vocational knowledge and practical skills, making its effective evaluation essential. Assessment should focus on both teacher and student behaviors, as well as the broader dynamics of classroom interactions.

3.1.1. Student-Focused Metrics:

Engagement Level: Using computer vision and motion analysis, the system can measure the duration and frequency of behaviors that indicate student attention, such as maintaining eye contact with the instructor or screens, compared with distraction behaviors like checking phones or resting the head on the desk. Continuous monitoring allows the platform to identify patterns of sustained attention or disengagement throughout the session.

Participation Level: Active participation is quantified through observable actions such as raising hands, demonstrating skills in front of the class, and contributing to group discussions. In practical sessions, posture and motion tracking can further evaluate students' hands-on engagement and practical skill development.

Interaction and Collaboration: Group dynamics are analyzed by identifying individual roles, communication patterns, and contribution levels. This provides insight into teamwork effectiveness, cooperative problem-solving, and peer-to-peer learning quality.

3.1.2. Teacher-Focused Metrics:

Instructional Activity Distribution: The system tracks the time teachers allocate to various instructional modalities, including lecturing, circulating to provide guidance, assisting individual students, and demonstrating operational skills. This analysis informs whether teaching methods are balanced and aligned with course objectives.

Classroom Management and Interaction: Spatial coverage, movement patterns, and time spent with different student groups are analyzed to assess attentiveness, responsiveness, and classroom management effectiveness.

Teaching Demeanor and Enthusiasm: Through speech emotion analysis and posture tracking, the platform evaluates teaching passion, professional presence, and overall classroom energy, providing objective measures of teacher engagement.

3.2. Online Teaching and Practice Evaluation Index System

Online learning serves as a crucial extension of classroom instruction, facilitating preview, review, and virtual practical training. The digital evaluation system should capture the entire online learning process, assess learning outcomes, and collect timely student feedback.

3.2.1. Learning Process Evaluation:

Task Completion: Metrics such as platform logins, completion rates of instructional videos, and downloads of learning materials are automatically tracked to ensure student engagement with assigned resources.

Learning Engagement: Time spent on each learning resource and repetition rates for specific content segments are analyzed to understand attention depth and study habits.

Interactive Participation: Activity in discussion forums, responses to instructor queries, and participation in online polls, quizzes, or collaborative exercises are measured to evaluate interaction quality and knowledge construction in virtual environments.

3.2.2. Learning Outcome Evaluation:

Assignment Quality and Timeliness: Objective questions are automatically graded, while subjective assignments and practical reports are assessed using similarity checks and key point detection algorithms. This approach ensures both content accuracy and timely submission.

Knowledge Mastery: Personalized knowledge maps are generated by analyzing performance on embedded quizzes, unit tests, and practical exercises. Weaknesses and learning gaps are identified, supporting targeted remediation and adaptive learning strategies.

3.2.3. Teaching Satisfaction Feedback:

Lightweight, real-time feedback mechanisms, such as quick polls on lesson difficulty or comprehension, are embedded directly into the learning process. These tools provide immediate and authentic student perceptions, replacing traditional end-of-term surveys and enabling prompt instructional adjustments.

By integrating offline and online evaluation metrics, this digital index system offers a multidimensional, data-driven approach to teaching assessment. It enables real-time monitoring of student engagement, teacher performance, and learning outcomes, supporting informed pedagogical decisions and fostering continuous improvement in vocational education.

4. Developing the Digital Platform: A YOLOv11-Based Approach

The development of a digital platform capable of supporting process-oriented teaching evaluation requires a robust technical foundation. In this framework, a classroom behavior analysis module built upon the YOLOv11 model forms the core of real-time perception and data extraction. Through intelligent detection, tracking, and behavior inference, the platform is able to transform dynamic classroom interactions into structured information suitable for further evaluation and analysis. By embedding such technology within the teaching environment, the platform ensures that data collection is continuous, unobtrusive, and aligned with the natural rhythm of instructional activities.

4.1. Technical Merits of YOLOv11

YOLOv11, as one of the most advanced models in the YOLO series, demonstrates considerable advantages in speed, accuracy, and robustness, making it highly suitable for classroom scenarios where dense populations, rapid interactions, and diverse behaviors are commonplace. Its capacity to process high-definition video streams in real time enables the system to accurately identify the position and movement of teachers, students, and key classroom objects such as computers, boards, and practical equipment. Compared with previous iterations, YOLOv11 shows enhanced performance in detecting small-scale targets, allowing the model to recognize subtle indicators of classroom engagement such as head direction, eye-focus tendencies, and simple gestures, even under long-distance shooting conditions.

The model integrates improvements in both backbone and neck architectures, strengthening feature extraction capabilities and ensuring stable performance despite challenges such as fluctuating lighting, partial occlusion among students, and frequent posture changes. These architectural refinements contribute to more reliable detection results and facilitate more accurate downstream analysis including behavior classification and multi-frame inference. As a result, YOLOv11 provides a high-quality data foundation for subsequent teaching evaluation tasks within the platform.

4.2. Platform Architecture and Workflow

The proposed digital platform adopts a multi-layered architecture designed to seamlessly connect raw data collection with higher-level evaluation and visualization functions. The overall workflow progresses from information acquisition to analysis, integration, and final presentation, ensuring that evaluation results are systematic, traceable, and actionable.

The Data Collection Layer forms the foundation of the platform, gathering real-time video streams from classroom cameras, collecting environmental information from IoT devices, and retrieving learning records from online systems. These diverse data sources provide a multi-dimensional understanding of both teaching and learning processes.

At the core lies the Algorithmic Analysis Layer, where YOLOv11 operates as the main perception engine. The model performs object detection and tracking to maintain continuous identification of teachers and students and assigns unique identifiers to ensure stable tracking across frames. Pose estimation models are then integrated to obtain skeletal keypoints, enabling the system to infer behaviors from keypoint trajectories over time. Through this mechanism, the platform can distinguish various states of engagement, such as whether learners are focusing on instructional materials or being distracted, based on their head and body orientation. It can also recognize participation behaviors,

including raising hands, standing to respond, and taking part in discussions. Furthermore, teacher activities such as lecturing, guiding, demonstrating operations, or circulating among groups can be automatically classified, providing a more detailed picture of teaching dynamics.

The Application Service Layer merges the outputs of the algorithmic modules with online learning data and predefined evaluation indicators. It computes multidimensional evaluation scores, generates structured analytical results, and produces a variety of reports, including class-level overviews, individual student profiles, and teacher feedback summaries. These analytical products support teaching refinement by offering timely and evidence-based insights.

The Presentation Layer transforms analytical outputs into intuitive visualizations. Dashboards, heatmaps, and trend charts enable teachers and administrators to understand classroom patterns at a glance. The interface also offers timely alerts and improvement suggestions, assisting instructors in making targeted adjustments to instructional strategies, classroom management, or student support measures.

4.3. Ethical and Privacy Considerations

The construction of such an intelligent evaluation platform must be grounded in rigorous ethical and privacy principles. Classroom behavior data, particularly those derived from video sources, require careful handling to ensure the protection of all participants. To address this, data anonymization procedures are applied as early as possible in the processing pipeline. Where feasible, preliminary analysis is performed on edge devices, allowing the system to convert raw video into anonymized behavioral metrics before storage. The platform retains only aggregated or non-identifiable information, preventing the long-term preservation of sensitive visual data.

Clear communication with teachers and students regarding data collection purposes, scope of usage, and processing methods is essential. Informed consent should be obtained through transparent protocols to ensure that participants understand how their data contribute to teaching improvement. Additionally, robust security measures-including encryption during transmission and storage, strict access controls, and audit mechanisms-are implemented to prevent unauthorized access or misuse. These measures collectively ensure that the platform not only provides accurate and valuable teaching evaluation insights but also adheres to responsible data governance practices appropriate for educational environments.

5. Conclusion and Future Directions

This research presents a structured framework for developing a digital platform for process-oriented teaching evaluation in vocational colleges. Transitioning beyond the constraints of traditional evaluation necessitates a new paradigm underpinned by modern IT-one characterized by intelligent perception, multimodal data integration, in-depth analytics, and precise feedback.

The proposed platform holds significant promise: it empowers students with a detailed portrait of their growth, fostering self-directed learning; equips teachers with evidence-based insights for professional development; provides administrators with fine-grained, continuous quality monitoring to inform decision-making; and propels vocational education toward a more competency-based, student-centered model.

Nevertheless, several challenges warrant attention: Technically, behavioral recognition accuracy in diverse settings requires further enhancement, and algorithms for fusing multimodal data need refinement. Administratively, clear policies must govern the use of evaluation outcomes to prevent the platform from becoming a surveillance tool or an undue source of pressure. Culturally, fostering acceptance and constructive use among educators and students is crucial to cultivating a data-informed, improvement-oriented educational culture.

Looking ahead, advancements in AI, including large language models, could enable deeper cognitive analysis-such as understanding dialogue semantics and assessing higher-order thinking skills. The journey toward effective digital evaluation in vocational education is promising yet demands careful navigation. It is poised to be a pivotal driver in building a high-quality, modern vocational education system.

Funding: Supported by the Key Project of Inner Mongolia Education Science Planning Program [NO. NGHZD202412].

References

1. C. K. Carruthers, and C. Jepsen, "Vocational Education: An international perspective 1," *The Routledge handbook of the economics of education*, pp. 343-380, 2021.
2. Z. Sun, "The Role of '1+ X'Certification in Curriculum Reform and Competency-Based Education in China's Vocational Colleges," *Journal of Advanced Research in Education*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 49-55, 2025.
3. S. Vincent-Lancrin, "Frontiers of smart education technology: Opportunities and challenges," 2021.
4. Y. Lu, and T. Wang, "Quality Evaluation Model of Vocational Education in China: A Qualitative Study Based on Grounded Theory," *Education Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 8, p. 819, 2023. doi: 10.3390/educsci13080819
5. I. Iqbal, "Academics' resistance to summative peer review of teaching: questionable rewards and the importance of student evaluations," *Teaching in Higher Education*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 557-569, 2013. doi: 10.1080/13562517.2013.764863
6. Z. Lu, "Investigation on Student Evaluation of Higher Vocational Evaluation in the Context of Digital Transformation in Educational," *Frontiers in Educational Research*, vol. 7, no. 7, 2024.
7. F. Hammonds, G. J. Mariano, G. Ammons, and S. Chambers, "Student evaluations of teaching: improving teaching quality in higher education," *Perspectives: Policy and Practice in Higher Education*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 26-33, 2017. doi: 10.1080/13603108.2016.1227388
8. K. Thamizhiniyan, R. Vijaykumar, and S. Naseema, "Emerging trends and knowledge domain in vocational education: A global perspective," *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, pp. 85-94, 2022.
9. L. S. Lai, W. M. To, J. W. Lung, and T. M. Lai, "The perceived value of higher education: The voice of Chinese students," *Higher Education*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 271-287, 2012.
10. W. Tang, J. Zhang, S. Wu, and Q. Zhao, "Research on the Development strategy of Vocational Undergraduate Education in the Context of Big Data and Internet of Things," In *2022 International Conference on Computer Network, Electronic and Automation (ICCNEA)*, September, 2022, pp. 11-15. doi: 10.1109/iccnea57056.2022.00014
11. K. C. Tsao, and K. Lin, "Rethink Student Evaluation of Teaching," *World Journal of Education*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 17-22, 2012.
12. C. H. I. N. A. National Academy of Educational Sciences copyright@ esph. com. cn, "Practical Cases of Smart Education in China: Data-Driven Curriculum and Research Innovation in Higher Education and Vocational Education," In *Report on China Smart Education 2023: Towards a Higher Level of Digital Education*, 2024, pp. 69-86.
13. S. Liu, and I. Hardy, "Understanding Chinese national vocational education reform: a critical policy analysis," *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, vol. 75, no. 5, pp. 1055-1077, 2023.