*Article*

# Research on the Innovative Pathways of Digitalized Finance Education Reform in Universities from the Perspective of New-Quality Productive Forces

**Simin Lyu** [1,*]

[1] College of Finance and Economics, Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, 570000, China
* Correspondence: Simin Lyu, College of Finance and Economics, Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, 570000, China

**Abstract:** This study explores the driving mechanisms, practical challenges, and innovation pathways of digital finance education reform in universities, employing the analytical perspective of new-quality productive forces. The findings reveal that the comprehensive integration of digital technologies into finance education has emerged as a critical factor for enhancing the quality and effectiveness of talent cultivation, facilitating the development of students' interdisciplinary competencies and digital literacy. Nonetheless, persistent challenges hinder the full realization of these benefits, including fragmented digital platforms that limit seamless educational experiences, insufficient digital proficiency among faculty members that constrains pedagogical innovation, and curricula that lag behind contemporary industry demands. From a strategic standpoint, the study emphasizes the importance of top-level design in orchestrating coherent reform initiatives, while advocating for systematic curriculum restructuring to better align educational content with evolving financial practices. Pedagogical innovation, including the adoption of interactive digital teaching tools, data-driven learning analytics, and scenario-based experiential learning, is highlighted as a central avenue for cultivating students' practical skills and problem-solving capabilities. Moreover, optimizing assessment systems to capture both knowledge acquisition and applied competence is identified as essential for sustaining continuous improvement in learning outcomes. The research further underscores the foundational roles of university-enterprise collaboration, which bridges academic instruction with real-world financial practice, and robust data governance, which ensures the integrity, security, and effective utilization of digital educational resources. Collectively, these insights provide a structured framework for advancing the digital transformation of finance education, supporting universities in cultivating highly skilled, versatile, and industry-ready finance professionals capable of navigating the complexities of a rapidly evolving digital financial landscape.

**Keywords:** new-quality productive forces; finance education; digitalized teaching; curriculum reform

Published: 03 December 2025



## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the continuous iteration and upgrading of digital technologies have profoundly reshaped the operational mechanisms, value-creation models, and competitive dynamics of the financial industry. Technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, blockchain, and cloud computing are increasingly embedded across

various financial scenarios, driving the rapid development of intelligent advisory services, automated risk management systems, collaborative value-chain networks, and precision finance applications. These technological advances not only enhance operational efficiency but also create new opportunities for innovation in financial products, services, and management practices. Within this context, new-quality productive forces-representing an advanced form of productivity propelled by technological innovation-have emerged as a core engine for industrial transformation, economic restructuring, and sectoral modernization [1]. Defined by characteristics such as digitalization, intelligence, and systemic integration, this productivity paradigm aligns closely with the ongoing technological revolution in the financial sector and sets higher requirements for the knowledge structure, technical competencies, and innovative capabilities of financial professionals.

At the national level, China has continuously strengthened strategic initiatives aimed at advancing education digitalization. Key policy frameworks, including the Education Informatization 2.0 Action Plan, the Education Digitalization Strategic Action, and the 14th Five-Year Plan for Education Development, collectively emphasize the deep integration of information technologies into teaching practices, thereby promoting educational modernization and innovation-driven development [2]. Recent national education conferences have further reiterated the importance of enhancing the autonomous cultivation of high-quality talent, fostering interdisciplinary capabilities, and advancing digital teaching reforms, providing clear strategic guidance and policy support for the digital transformation of university-level finance education.

Given these evolving conditions, exploring digital teaching reform in university finance programs from the perspective of new-quality productive forces represents both a proactive response to technological and industrial shifts and a strategic approach for improving the quality of financial talent cultivation. This perspective emphasizes not only the acquisition of traditional finance knowledge but also the development of digital literacy, analytical capabilities, and innovation-oriented thinking among students. Drawing on the theoretical foundations of new-quality productive forces, this study systematically examines the current basis, structural constraints, and practical bottlenecks of digital finance education in universities, including fragmented digital infrastructures, limited faculty digital competence, and curricula that lag behind emerging industry requirements. Furthermore, the study proposes forward-looking innovation pathways encompassing top-level design, curriculum restructuring, pedagogical innovation, and assessment-system optimization. By integrating theoretical analysis with practical considerations, the research aims to provide an actionable framework and evidence-based guidance for the reform of finance education in higher institutions, supporting the cultivation of interdisciplinary, digitally competent, and industry-ready financial talent capable of navigating the complexities of a rapidly evolving digital economy [3].

## 2. Current Status of Digitalized Finance Teaching Under the Empowerment of New-Quality Productive Forces

The advent of digital technologies has profoundly influenced both the financial industry and financial education. As digitalization permeates all sectors of the economy and society, new-quality productive forces-driven by technological innovation and marked by the deep integration of digital, intelligent, and networked technologies-have become a major driver for upgrading the financial industry. This emerging form of productivity not only reshapes operational mechanisms within financial institutions but also provides strategic guidance for reforming finance education in higher education institutions. On one hand, the widespread adoption of intelligent risk management systems, machine learning algorithms, blockchain-enabled platforms, and distributed financial technologies by financial institutions imposes higher requirements on finance professionals, including digital literacy, technical comprehension, and interdisciplinary

problem-solving skills [4]. On the other hand, universities are increasingly expected to develop data-driven teaching scenarios to enhance students' practical abilities, prompting systematic curricular adjustments and innovative pedagogical approaches.

### *2.1. Development of Digital Finance Education in Universities*

Driven jointly by national policies and industry demands, Chinese universities have made considerable progress in the development of digital finance education. Many institutions have incorporated fintech case studies, financial data analysis software, online learning platforms, and virtual simulation experiments into their curricula to support scenario-based and project-based learning. The establishment of fintech innovation centers and data laboratories has created environments conducive to applied learning, experimentation, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Furthermore, the adoption of blended learning models, massive open online courses (MOOCs), and smart classroom technologies has increased the flexibility and diversity of instructional delivery [5]. These initiatives not only enrich the content of finance programs but also allow students to gain hands-on experience with contemporary financial technologies, bridging the gap between theory and practice.

In addition, faculty development has gradually received attention. Universities are offering targeted training programs, workshops, and collaborative industry projects to enhance instructors' digital competencies. This dual approach-enhancing teaching content while strengthening faculty capabilities-facilitates the creation of an integrated teaching ecosystem where students can acquire both theoretical knowledge and practical skills relevant to digital finance.

### *2.2. Shifting Requirements for Financial Talent*

Concurrently, the demand for financial talent has shifted significantly toward digital and interdisciplinary competencies. Modern financial institutions increasingly prioritize candidates who can analyze complex datasets, employ technological tools effectively, and understand integrated financial business scenarios. Consequently, traditional teaching models, which predominantly focus on theoretical instruction and textbook learning, are increasingly insufficient to meet these evolving industry requirements.

To address this gap, universities must strengthen the alignment between curricular content and industry needs. Core digital finance competencies-such as financial data analytics, algorithmic trading, risk modeling, and fintech application development-should be embedded into learning objectives, instructional processes, and assessment systems. Systematic reform must encompass teaching philosophy, curriculum restructuring, and competency-oriented training models. Such comprehensive reforms are essential for cultivating financial professionals capable of adapting to the rapid digital transformation of the finance sector, ensuring that graduates possess both conceptual understanding and practical skills relevant to real-world financial environments.

### *2.3. Challenges and Future Directions*

Despite these advancements, significant challenges remain in implementing fully integrated digital finance education. Fragmented digital platforms, varying levels of faculty digital competence, and outdated course structures continue to limit the overall effectiveness of reforms. Moreover, creating authentic, data-driven teaching scenarios that reflect real industry practices requires substantial resources and close collaboration between universities and enterprises.

Looking forward, addressing these challenges will require a multi-faceted approach: enhancing infrastructure integration, providing continuous professional development for faculty, adopting adaptive and scenario-based pedagogical methods, and optimizing assessment systems to measure both knowledge acquisition and applied skills. Only through these measures can digital finance education evolve into a coherent, practice-

oriented, and innovation-driven system that fully leverages the empowerment of new-quality productive forces.

## 3. Major Bottlenecks in Digitalized Finance-Education Reform

Digitalized finance-education reform, while progressing steadily, still faces multiple structural and operational bottlenecks. These challenges span curriculum design, teaching methods, faculty competencies, assessment systems, and platform integration. Addressing these constraints is essential to enable universities to fully leverage new-quality productive forces and cultivate finance professionals capable of thriving in a digitalized financial ecosystem.

### *3.1. Outdated Curriculum Systems Misaligned with Digital-Finance Industry Development*

The current curriculum structure for finance majors largely adheres to traditional frameworks, emphasizing classic courses such as Monetary Banking, Financial Markets, and Commercial Bank Management. While some courses have incorporated fintech-related modules, the depth and systematic integration of these topics remain insufficient. Core knowledge domains essential to digital finance-such as intelligent finance, blockchain-enabled financial services, digital lending platforms, and data-driven risk modeling-are either absent or only partially introduced. This lack of cohesion results in weak logical connections between courses, impeding students' ability to develop a comprehensive understanding of the digital finance landscape.

Moreover, course sequencing often fails to reflect industry requirements. For example, students may encounter advanced analytical tools before acquiring foundational data processing skills, leading to fragmented learning experiences. This misalignment diminishes students' readiness for digital finance positions and constrains their capacity to apply theoretical knowledge in practical contexts. Universities must, therefore, restructure curricula to systematically integrate emerging finance technologies while maintaining coherent progression across knowledge modules.

### *3.2. Insufficient Innovation in Teaching Content and Methods, Limiting Students' Digital Thinking*

Most finance courses continue to rely on the traditional "lecture plus case analysis" approach, lacking interactive, inquiry-based, or experiential learning strategies supported by digital technologies. Competencies such as financial data analysis, multi-source data processing, algorithmic modeling, and technology-driven decision-making require extensive hands-on practice. However, actual classroom implementation often provides insufficient opportunities for practical exercises, limited access to authentic datasets, and inadequate guidance on using digital tools effectively.

Consequently, students face challenges in systematically developing their digital application capabilities. The absence of project-based learning, simulation exercises, and scenario-driven problem solving further limits their ability to cultivate critical digital thinking, innovation skills, and adaptive problem-solving strategies. Expanding pedagogical innovation-through interactive simulations, virtual labs, and integrated fintech projects-is therefore crucial for bridging the gap between theory and applied digital finance practice.

### *3.3. Limited Digital Teaching Competence Among Instructors, Restricting the Depth of Pedagogical Integration*

Many instructors possess only a superficial understanding of artificial intelligence, big data analytics, blockchain, and other emerging technologies. Their limited mastery of technological principles, tool applications, and industry practices hinders the integration of advanced digital concepts into finance courses. Moreover, faculty members often face insufficient professional development opportunities, and existing teacher training

programs are underdeveloped, lacking effective incentives for improving digital competencies.

This limitation reduces the depth and effectiveness of classroom integration, resulting in a teaching environment that cannot fully reflect the interdisciplinary and technology-driven nature of contemporary finance. Building robust faculty development systems, including ongoing workshops, industry collaborations, and certification programs, is essential for empowering instructors to deliver deeply integrated, practice-oriented digital finance education.

### *3.4. Assessment Systems Still Centered on Knowledge Testing, Neglecting Evaluation of Students' Digital Competencies*

Assessment practices in finance courses predominantly emphasize theoretical knowledge, relying on final exams, major assignments, and case reports. While these methods effectively evaluate conceptual understanding, they are inadequate for measuring applied digital competencies, such as data analysis, model construction, algorithm implementation, and practical technological operations.

The lack of comprehensive evaluation frameworks diminishes students' motivation to develop hands-on skills and limits feedback for improving digital capabilities. Implementing competency-oriented assessment systems, including project-based evaluations, simulation performance metrics, and data-driven skill tracking, is critical to ensure that assessments accurately capture students' proficiency in digital finance practices.

### *3.5. Low Integration and Collaboration Across Teaching Platforms*

Despite investments in smart classrooms, online learning platforms, and virtual simulation systems, universities often face low levels of platform integration. Resources are fragmented, duplicated, and underutilized, while limited data interoperability prevents comprehensive tracking of students' learning behaviors, progress trajectories, and competency development.

This fragmentation impedes the use of data-driven teaching management and restricts the potential for adaptive, personalized learning experiences. Establishing a unified, interoperable digital teaching ecosystem, supported by centralized data governance and analytics, is necessary to enhance instructional coordination, resource utilization, and real-time feedback on learning outcomes.

## 4. Reform Pathways for University Finance Digitalization Driven by New-Quality Productive Forces

Digital transformation in university finance education requires systematic, multi-dimensional reform. Driven by new-quality productive forces, reform efforts should encompass curriculum design, teaching innovation, faculty development, assessment optimization, and platform integration. These measures collectively aim to cultivate finance professionals with interdisciplinary knowledge, practical competence, and adaptive capabilities for the digital economy.

### *4.1. Building a Digital-Finance Curriculum Aligned with New-Quality Productive Forces*

Universities should reform finance curricula based on the logic of "technology embedding-knowledge restructuring-capability enhancement." First, a multi-level curriculum framework should be established, integrating foundational finance knowledge, digital-technology tools, and practical applications. Such a framework can create an integrated knowledge map that links finance theory, digital technologies, and comprehensive practice, guiding students from basic principles to advanced applications.

Second, digital-finance content-including intelligent risk control, blockchain-enabled payments, digital lending systems, and data-driven risk modeling-should be

systematically embedded into traditional finance courses. This integration can enhance cross-disciplinary coherence, overcome content fragmentation, and ensure that students develop both theoretical understanding and practical skills relevant to contemporary finance practices. Authentic business data, case studies, and industry projects should support application-oriented teaching units, enabling students to grasp technological logic, workflow processes, and strategic decision-making in specific business contexts.

Finally, universities should consider modular course design that allows flexible progression and elective specialization. Students can choose advanced courses in areas such as AI-powered investment analysis, algorithmic trading, or financial big-data analytics, promoting personalized learning paths while maintaining a coherent overall structure. Such curriculum innovation can systematically enhance students' ability to transfer and apply knowledge in diverse digital-finance scenarios.

### *4.2. Developing Intelligent Teaching Models to Enhance Openness and Interactivity*

To foster composite digital-finance skills, universities must innovate instructional organization. Data-driven, scenario-based learning should be widely adopted, incorporating real or simulated financial datasets into practical tasks such as investment portfolio analysis, credit scoring, and risk detection. This approach enables students to engage actively with complex financial information and to develop applied analytical skills.

Smart teaching platforms should support blended learning models, integrating pre-class self-study, in-class interactive exercises, and post-class performance feedback. Incorporating digital tools such as interactive dashboards, learning analytics, and adaptive quizzes can personalize learning experiences and reinforce knowledge retention.

Moreover, virtual simulation systems should replicate financial-market operations, banking processes, and fintech application scenarios. These immersive simulations allow students to experiment in risk-free environments, develop decision-making strategies, and observe the consequences of their actions in real-time scenarios. Combining virtual simulations with collaborative projects and peer learning further enhances engagement and promotes the development of practical problem-solving capabilities.

### *4.3. Enhancing Faculty Digital Literacy and Building Interdisciplinary Teaching Teams*

Faculty competence is central to successful digital-education reform. Universities should establish structured professional-development systems, including industry-based training programs, participation in research projects, and university-enterprise collaborations. These initiatives enable instructors to deepen their understanding of AI, blockchain, big data, and other digital-finance technologies, while gaining insights into practical industry applications.

Cross-disciplinary teaching teams should be encouraged, integrating faculty from finance, computer science, statistics, and information technology. Such collaborative models can enhance knowledge integration, support innovative course design, and foster interdisciplinary problem-solving approaches.

In addition, faculty evaluation systems should incorporate digital-teaching performance indicators, incentivizing instructors to continuously innovate pedagogy. Recognition of teaching innovation, publication of digital finance case studies, and integration of applied project guidance into evaluation criteria can motivate sustained improvement in instructional quality.

### *4.4. Developing Multi-Dimensional Assessment Systems to Strengthen Ability-Oriented Evaluation*

Effective reform depends on comprehensive assessment systems that move beyond traditional knowledge testing. Universities should develop competence frameworks

encompassing data literacy, information processing, model construction, technological application, and digital innovation capabilities.

Assessment strategies should combine formative evaluation, project-based assessments, and comprehensive examinations to capture students' practical skill development. Learning analytics tools can track student engagement, learning behaviors, and progression of competencies over time, providing actionable insights for personalized teaching and targeted intervention.

Furthermore, collaborative and peer-based assessment can be introduced to evaluate team-based problem-solving, digital project execution, and applied analytical abilities. By measuring both individual and collective competencies, universities can ensure that evaluation mechanisms align closely with the objectives of digital-finance education.

### *4.5. Integrating Teaching-Resource Platforms to Enable Shared and Co-Constructed Ecosystems*

Universities should develop unified digital-finance resource repositories that integrate course materials, case banks, datasets, and virtual-simulation modules. These repositories can support coordinated access and knowledge sharing across faculties and students, forming the foundation for a collaborative learning ecosystem.

Interoperability among online learning platforms, simulation systems, and learning-management platforms should be promoted to enable seamless data exchange and learning tracking. This integration facilitates adaptive teaching, personalized learning paths, and evidence-based instructional adjustments.

Deepening university-industry partnerships is crucial for co-constructing datasets, practice projects, and experimental platforms aligned with current industry frontiers. Industry collaboration can provide authentic business cases, emerging financial data, and technology infrastructure, enhancing the relevance, applicability, and innovation potential of digital-finance teaching.

## 5. Conclusion

This study systematically examines the internal logic, practical challenges, and reform pathways of digitalized finance education from the perspective of new-quality productive forces. The findings indicate that the digital transformation of finance education extends beyond a simple technological upgrade, representing a strategic opportunity to reconstruct talent-training models, enhance interdisciplinary competence, and foster innovation-oriented professionals capable of meeting the demands of a rapidly evolving financial industry.

Guided by the framework of new-quality productive forces, universities are encouraged to implement coordinated reforms encompassing top-level design, curriculum structures, teaching paradigms, assessment systems, and industry collaboration. Curriculum reform should focus on integrating foundational finance knowledge with digital technologies and practical applications, creating a coherent and progressive knowledge system that strengthens students' analytical, technological, and problem-solving capabilities. Pedagogical innovations-including data-driven, scenario-based learning, immersive simulations, and blended learning models-can cultivate active engagement, practical skills, and digital literacy, while faculty development programs and interdisciplinary teaching teams ensure that instructors are equipped to lead and implement these reforms effectively.

Assessment mechanisms must evolve from traditional knowledge-based evaluations toward multi-dimensional, ability-oriented systems that measure practical competence, technological application, and innovation capacity. Likewise, the integration and interoperability of teaching-resource platforms, virtual laboratories, and learning analytics tools are critical for forming shared, co-constructed ecosystems that enable adaptive, data-driven instruction. Deepening university-industry partnerships further

ensures that teaching content, datasets, and practical projects remain closely aligned with contemporary industry standards and emerging technological frontiers.

Overall, the extent to which digital technologies are effectively embedded into finance education will directly influence the modernization level, quality, and relevance of university programs. By fostering interdisciplinary, digitally competent, and innovation-oriented graduates, higher education institutions can enhance the supply capacity, professional adaptability, and competitiveness of China's financial-talent pipeline. The study provides both a theoretical framework and practical guidance for policymakers, university administrators, and educators seeking to promote comprehensive, forward-looking digital reform in finance education, ultimately supporting the broader development of the digital economy and financial industry.

**Funding:** 2024 Teaching Reform Project of Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology - "Innovative Practices in Financial Digitalization Teaching Reform in the Era of New Quality Productive Forces", Project No.:HKJG2024-25; 2024 Teaching Reform Project of Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology - "Path Innovation for Integrating Ideological and Political Education into the International Finance Curriculum from the Perspective of New Quality Productive Forces", Project No.:HKJG2024-24.

## References

1. X. Yin, and C. Ying, "Digital Transformation of Higher Education: Trends, Innovation Models and Strategic Paths," *Pakistan Journal of Life & Social Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 1, 2025.
2. T. Elliot, M. Kay, and M. Laplante, "Digital transformation in higher education," How Content Management Technologies and Practices Are Evolving in the Era of Experience Management, DIGITAL CLARITY GROUP, 2016.
3. X. Cai, and N. Jiang, "Colleges from the Perspective of Digital Transformation," In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Internet, Education and Information Technology (IEIT 2025), July, 2025, p. 85.
4. Q. Wang, and X. Hu, "Research on the Path of Financial Quotient Education in China's Application-oriented Undergraduate Colleges and Universities," *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, vol. 18, no. 5, 2018.
5. Y. Mi, "Cultivating Digital Financial Talent: A Framework for Curriculum Reform in the Era of New Quality Productivity," *Journal of Thai-Chinese Social Science (JTCSS)*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 111-121, 2024.