Article

The Impact of Sci-Tech Finance on Renewable Energy Technology Innovation: Causal Inference Based on Double Machine Learning

Yifei Zang[1], Yue Liu[1,*] and Kexin Bai[1]

[1] Xi'an International Studies University, Xi'an, China
* Correspondence: Yue Liu, Xi'an International Studies University, Xi'an, China

Abstract: Under the global innovation-driven development strategy, sci-tech finance has emerged as a critical mechanism for channeling financial resources into technological advancement. However, whether sci-tech finance can effectively promote renewable energy technology innovation still lacks systematic empirical evidence, particularly regarding the causal mechanisms and heterogeneous effects across different regional contexts. This study addresses this gap by employing a Double Machine Learning (DML) framework, which integrates machine learning algorithms with causal inference to mitigate high-dimensional confounding bias. Using balanced panel data covering 31 Chinese provinces from 2012 to 2022, the analysis reveals that sci-tech finance exerts a statistically significant and robust positive effect on renewable energy technology innovation, with a notably stronger impact observed in photovoltaic technologies compared to other renewable energy domains. Mechanism analysis demonstrates that the agglomeration of sci-tech talent and the level of industrial upgrading serve as the primary transmission channels through which sci-tech finance fosters innovation outcomes. Furthermore, heterogeneity analysis uncovers substantial variation in the treatment effect: the promotional impact of sci-tech finance is significantly more pronounced in eastern regions, areas characterized by strong intellectual property protection, and regions exhibiting high degrees of industrial agglomeration. These findings provide rigorous empirical support for policymakers seeking to optimize sci-tech finance policies, refine regional innovation strategies, and accelerate the transition toward sustainable energy systems through targeted financial interventions.

Keywords: sci-tech finance; renewable energy; technology innovation; double machine learning; causal inference; talent agglomeration

Received: 18 June 2026
Revised: 25 July 2026
Accepted: 09 August 2026
Published: 16 August 2026

1. Introduction

Global climate governance frameworks, aligned with China's nationally determined contributions and the strategic implementation of the 'dual carbon' goals—carbon peak by 2030 and carbon neutrality by 2060—have elevated renewable energy development to a central pillar of the national energy transition agenda. This structural shift necessitates not only technological advancement but also systemic financial innovation capable of sustaining long-term R&D investment cycles, mitigating technology-specific risks, and accelerating commercialization pathways. Renewable energy innovation is inherently characterized by extended development timelines, high capital intensity, significant technical uncertainty, and path-dependent deployment dynamics, all of which pose substantial challenges for conventional financing mechanisms. Sci-tech finance—defined as the institutionalized integration of scientific and technological capabilities with diversified financial instruments, including venture capital, green bonds, specialized credit facilities, and government-guided funds—serves as a critical enabler by lowering transaction costs, improving risk allocation, enhancing information transparency, and fostering synergistic collaboration among research institutions, enterprises, and financial intermediaries. While prior empirical analyses have explored the broader implications of sci-tech finance for industrial upgrading and general technological innovation, robust causal identification of its specific impact on renewable energy patenting, project deployment, and efficiency gains remains underdeveloped [1]. Drawing on comprehensive provincial-level panel data spanning multiple economic and environmental indicators, this study employs double machine learning (DML) to rigorously isolate the causal effect, unpack underlying transmission channels—including knowledge spillovers, capital reallocation, and policy signal amplification—and examine regional, sectoral, and institutional heterogeneity in responsiveness.

2. Literature Review

Renewable energy innovation is driven by a confluence of interrelated factors spanning policy design, financial architecture, technological capability, and global dynamics. At the policy level, targeted instruments such as research and development subsidies, guaranteed pricing mechanisms for clean electricity generation, and fiscal incentives have been consistently associated with increased investment in renewable energy technologies. Empirical evidence indicates that well-structured policy frameworks not only lower the perceived risk of early-stage technology development but also create stable expectations for long-term private sector participation. Institutional quality further modulates these effects: robust regulatory systems, transparent governance practices, and effective enforcement mechanisms amplify the impact of innovation-supportive policies by reducing uncertainty and improving coordination across public and private actors. On the international front, external macroeconomic and geopolitical conditions—including volatility in global energy markets and shifts in trade and supply chain configurations—have increasingly influenced national strategic priorities, prompting accelerated adoption of domestic clean energy solutions and corresponding investments in related innovation ecosystems.

Sci-tech finance represents a specialized domain at the intersection of science and technology advancement and financial system development. It functions as a critical enabler by aligning capital allocation with high-potential, knowledge-intensive innovation activities [2]. Through diversified financial instruments—including venture capital, government-backed loan guarantees, innovation bonds, and specialized credit facilities—sci-tech finance helps mitigate the inherent uncertainties associated with technological experimentation and commercialization. From a functional perspective, it enhances resource efficiency by directing funding toward scientifically sound and economically viable projects, reduces information asymmetries between innovators and investors through rigorous due diligence and third-party evaluation, and strengthens human capital attraction by serving as a credible signal of project legitimacy and institutional endorsement. Furthermore, broader financial deepening—characterized by expanded access to credit, improved intermediation efficiency, and enhanced risk-sharing mechanisms—has been observed to significantly increase both the quantity and quality of innovation outputs among technology-oriented enterprises. Recent methodological advances in empirical analysis emphasize causal inference techniques, particularly quasi-experimental designs such as difference-in-differences estimation, which allow researchers to isolate the net effect of specific financial or policy interventions while controlling for confounding variables and time-invariant heterogeneity.

Despite substantial progress in understanding the individual contributions of policy levers, financial instruments, and institutional arrangements, significant scholarly gaps remain. A notable limitation lies in the absence of comprehensive, empirically grounded investigations into the precise causal relationship between sci-tech finance mechanisms and renewable energy innovation outcomes [3]. Existing studies tend to treat these domains in isolation, overlooking potential synergies, feedback loops, and conditional dependencies. Moreover, there is limited systematic exploration of the underlying transmission channels—such as talent acquisition, intellectual property commercialization, or supply chain integration—through which sci-tech finance influences green technology development. Equally important, heterogeneity across regions, firm sizes, technology maturity stages, and market structures has not been rigorously examined, constraining the generalizability and policy relevance of current findings. Addressing these lacunae requires integrated theoretical modeling, granular empirical data, and methodologically robust identification strategies capable of disentangling direct effects from indirect spillovers and contextual moderators.

3. Theoretical Analysis and Research Hypotheses

3.1. Direct Effect of Sci-Tech Finance on Renewable Energy Technology Innovation

Sci-tech finance represents a strategic institutional arrangement that systematically bridges financial systems and technological advancement, facilitating the efficient allocation of capital toward high-potential innovation activities. It encompasses a broad spectrum of financial instruments—including government-backed venture capital funds, specialized green credit programs, technology loan guarantees, equity financing platforms, and policy-driven insurance mechanisms—designed to mitigate the inherent risks associated with long development cycles, high uncertainty, and significant upfront investment requirements characteristic of renewable energy technology R&D. By lowering financing barriers and enhancing risk absorption capacity, sci-tech finance enables enterprises to sustain multi-stage innovation processes—from basic research and prototype development through pilot demonstration and large-scale commercial deployment. Crucially, its design prioritizes alignment with national sustainable development objectives, particularly those emphasizing low-carbon transition, energy efficiency improvement, and ecological civilization construction. This targeted financial support not only accelerates technological learning curves but also strengthens regional innovation ecosystems by fostering collaboration among universities, research institutes, and industrial firms, thereby reinforcing endogenous capability building in clean energy sectors [4].

H1: Sci-tech finance exerts a statistically significant and positive direct effect on regional renewable energy technology innovation output, as measured by patent applications in solar photovoltaics, wind turbine design, energy storage systems, and smart grid integration technologies; this relationship holds after controlling for regional economic scale, human capital endowment, infrastructure quality, and existing industrial structure, reflecting the instrumental role of tailored financial mechanisms in overcoming market failures that typically impede clean energy innovation diffusion and adoption [5].

3.2. Mechanisms of Sci-Tech Finance on Renewable Energy Technology Innovation

Through strategic guidance and targeted resource allocation, sci-tech finance fosters an ecosystem conducive to high-level human capital development, actively attracting researchers, engineers, and interdisciplinary specialists with advanced academic training and practical expertise in clean energy domains. This sustained inflow of qualified personnel generates a pronounced talent agglomeration effect—characterized by knowledge spillovers, collaborative R&D networks, and enhanced peer learning—which collectively strengthens the intellectual foundation for renewable energy technology research, experimental development, and prototype validation. The resulting concentration of specialized skills accelerates problem-solving capacity, improves the quality and originality of technical proposals, and supports the translation of scientific insights into scalable technological solutions [6].

Hypothesis 2: Sci-tech finance promotes renewable energy technology innovation through the agglomeration of science and technology talent, wherein increased financial support enables institutions and enterprises to offer competitive research environments, long-term project funding, and cross-institutional collaboration platforms that retain and synergize top-tier expertise across disciplines such as photovoltaics, electrochemical storage, and smart grid integration [1].

Simultaneously, sci-tech finance serves as a catalyst for structural transformation within the broader industrial system by prioritizing investment in high-value-added, knowledge-intensive sectors—including advanced materials, digital twin applications for energy systems, and AI-driven predictive maintenance—thereby reinforcing a shift toward innovation-led growth models. This reallocation of capital enhances overall economic efficiency by optimizing input utilization, reducing technological redundancy, and strengthening intersectoral linkages between upstream R&D and downstream commercialization channels, all of which create favorable conditions for breakthroughs in renewable energy conversion, storage, and system integration technologies.

Hypothesis 3: Sci-tech finance promotes renewable energy technology innovation through the advancement of industrial upgrading, defined here as the progressive enhancement of sectoral technological sophistication, value chain positioning, and systemic resilience—measured by indicators such as R&D intensity, patent quality, adoption of Industry 4.0 enablers, and integration of circular economy principles—thereby establishing a self-reinforcing feedback loop between financial support, productive capability, and sustainable technological progress [7].

3.3. Heterogeneous Effects of Sci-Tech Finance on Renewable Energy Technology Innovation

Central and western regions of China generally exhibit relatively underdeveloped sci-tech finance systems, characterized by limited financial infrastructure, fewer specialized investment institutions, and lower levels of venture capital penetration compared to eastern coastal areas. Consequently, the baseline capacity for supporting high-risk, long-horizon technological innovation—particularly in emerging sectors such as renewable energy—is comparatively constrained. However, this structural disadvantage creates conditions where incremental improvements in sci-tech finance mechanisms—such as targeted policy loans, government-guided funds, or regional innovation vouchers—can yield disproportionately large marginal returns [7]. Moreover, these regions possess abundant endowments of natural resources critical to renewable energy development, including solar irradiance, wind potential, hydropower capacity, and rare earth elements essential for photovoltaic cells and permanent magnet generators. The convergence of resource abundance and latent financial leverage presents a unique opportunity for catch-up innovation, provided institutional frameworks are strengthened to channel capital efficiently toward R&D, pilot demonstration, and technology commercialization.

The promoting effect of sci-tech finance on renewable energy technology innovation is more significant in central and western regions due to their higher elasticity of innovation response to financial inputs, greater scope for institutional learning, and stronger complementarity between public financial support and local resource endowments [7]. This spatial heterogeneity underscores the importance of regionally differentiated policy design rather than uniform national deployment of financial instruments.

Robust intellectual property protection serves as a foundational institutional safeguard that mitigates expropriation risks associated with knowledge-intensive innovation activities. By legally securing proprietary rights over patents, trade secrets, and technical know-how, it enhances the credibility and enforceability of innovation outcomes, thereby reinforcing investor confidence in financing early-stage renewable energy technologies [8]. When integrated with sci-tech finance mechanisms—such as patent pledge loans, IP-backed credit guarantees, or valuation-linked equity investments—it generates synergistic effects that align private incentives with long-term technological advancement goals.

A strong intellectual property protection environment enhances the incentive effect of sci-tech finance on renewable energy technology innovation by reducing information asymmetry between innovators and financiers, lowering transaction costs in technology transfer, and increasing the expected private returns from R&D investment. This institutional reinforcement is especially vital for technologies requiring prolonged development cycles and substantial upfront capital, such as next-generation battery storage systems or offshore wind turbine design [1].

Industrial agglomeration fosters innovation through multiple interrelated channels: first, by enabling economies of scale in shared infrastructure, testing facilities, and skilled labor pools; second, by facilitating tacit knowledge exchange via informal networks, joint ventures, and supplier–client co-development; and third, by accelerating feedback loops between production, application, and R&D refinement. In the context of renewable energy, clusters centered around photovoltaic manufacturing, wind turbine assembly, or green hydrogen production demonstrate how localized ecosystems reduce coordination frictions and amplify the impact of sci-tech finance interventions—transforming isolated funding injections into sustained innovation trajectories [9].

Industrial agglomeration significantly strengthens the promoting effect of sci-tech finance on renewable energy technology innovation by creating dense, self-reinforcing innovation ecosystems where financial capital interacts dynamically with human capital, technological infrastructure, and market demand signals. Such spatial concentration not only lowers the marginal cost of innovation but also increases the probability of breakthrough diffusion, thereby magnifying the systemic return on public and private sci-tech finance investments.

4. Research Design

4.1. Model Specification

4.1.1. Double Machine Learning Linear Model

To rigorously test Hypothesis 1, this paper adopts the Double Machine Learning (DML) framework—a robust semi-parametric estimation approach designed to mitigate bias arising from high-dimensional confounders and model misspecification. DML decouples the estimation of the causal parameter of interest from the flexible modeling of nuisance functions, thereby enhancing reliability in complex empirical settings where traditional linear regression may yield inconsistent estimates. Specifically, the method employs machine learning algorithms to separately estimate both the conditional expectation of the outcome variable and the conditional expectation of the treatment variable given a rich set of control covariates, followed by orthogonalized residual regression to isolate the treatment effect. This two-stage procedure ensures double robustness and improved finite-sample performance, particularly when the relationship between covariates and outcomes is nonlinear or exhibits complex interactions [10]. The application of DML is especially appropriate for evaluating the impact of sci-tech finance on renewable energy technology innovation, given the multidimensional nature of financial support mechanisms, heterogeneous innovation outputs, and the presence of numerous unobserved institutional and regional factors that could otherwise confound inference.

We construct the partial linear model of DML, which formally expresses the outcome variable as the sum of a linear component capturing the causal effect of the primary treatment variable—sci-tech finance—and a nonparametric component representing the influence of high-dimensional control variables. This specification allows for flexible modeling of confounding relationships without imposing restrictive functional form assumptions on the covariates, thus accommodating potential nonlinearities, interactions, and heterogeneity across regions and sectors. The model explicitly separates the structural parameter of interest from nuisance parameters estimated via machine learning techniques such as Lasso, random forests, or neural networks, ensuring that estimation errors in the first stage do not propagate systematically into the final causal estimate [11]. Such separation enhances statistical efficiency and maintains valid inference under mild regularity conditions, even when the dimensionality of controls exceeds the sample size.

$$REI_{it}=\alpha Policy_{it}+\theta(X_{it})+U_{it};E(U_{it}|X_{it},D_{it})=0\# (1)$$

$$Policy_{it} = g(X_{it}) + V_{it}; E(V_{it}|X_{it}) = 0\# (2)$$

Equation (1) is the main regression model, and Equation (2) is the auxiliary regression model. Together, these equations constitute the core identification strategy of the DML framework: Equation (1) models the orthogonalized outcome residuals regressed on orthogonalized treatment residuals, isolating the causal parameter with minimal sensitivity to first-stage estimation error; Equation (2) specifies the two auxiliary regressions used to generate those residuals—namely, the prediction of the dependent variable and the prediction of the treatment variable conditional on all control covariates. The orthogonality condition embedded in this structure ensures that the estimator remains asymptotically normal and achieves root-n consistency, provided the first-stage predictions satisfy certain convergence rates. This formal decomposition supports transparent interpretation, facilitates diagnostic validation, and enables robust sensitivity analysis regarding model specification, variable selection, and algorithm choice.

4.1.2. Mediation Effect Models

To further analyze the mediating mechanisms underlying the observed relationships among key variables, this study constructs a series of formal mediation effect models grounded in causal inference frameworks. These models extend the foundational structural equations presented earlier—specifically equations (1) and (2)—by introducing latent or observed intermediary constructs that theoretically transmit influence from independent variables to dependent outcomes. The specification follows conventional three-step estimation procedures: first, confirming the total effect of the predictor on the outcome; second, estimating the effect of the predictor on the proposed mediator; and third, jointly modeling both the mediator's effect on the outcome and the residual direct effect of the predictor, while controlling for the mediator. This approach enables rigorous assessment of indirect pathways, quantification of proportion mediated, and evaluation of mediation robustness through sensitivity analyses. All model parameters are estimated using maximum likelihood with standard errors adjusted for potential heteroskedasticity and clustering where appropriate, ensuring statistical reliability and interpretability within the given empirical context.

$$STA_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Policy_{it} + \beta Controls_{it} + \mu_i + \phi_t + \varepsilon_{it} \# (3)$$
$$ISU_{it} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Policy_{it} + \gamma Controls_{it} + \mu_i + \phi_t + \varepsilon_{it} \# (4)$$
$$REI_{it} = \omega_0 + \omega_1 Policy_{it} + \omega_2 STA_{it} + \omega Controls_{it} + \mu_i + \phi_t + \varepsilon_{it} \# (5)$$
$$REI_{it} = \delta_0 + \delta_1 Policy_{it} + \delta_2 ISU_{it} + \delta Controls_{it} + \mu_i + \phi_t + \varepsilon_{it} \# (6)$$

4.2. Variable Selection

To evaluate the relationship between sci-tech finance and renewable energy innovation, this study constructs a comprehensive indicator system. As shown in Table 1, the measurement framework consists of three parts: dependent variable, core explanatory variables, and control variables. Specifically, we use "Renewable Energy Technology Innovation," measured by the number of patent applications, as the dependent variable. The core explanatory variable is the "Sci-Tech Finance Development Level," which is multidimensionally captured through six secondary indicators, including government fiscal input, bank loans, and venture capital. Additionally, "Economic Development" and "Renewable Installed Capacity" are included as control variables to ensure the robustness of the empirical results.

Table 1. Measurement Indicator System

Variable Type	Primary Indicator	Secondary Indicator	Calculation Method
aDependent	Renewable Energy Technology Innovation		Number of renewable energy patent applications
Core Explanatory	Sci-Tech Finance Development Level	Government Fiscal S&T Input	Fiscal S&T Expenditure / Total Fiscal Expenditure
		Bank S&T Loan Input	Other Funds / Internal R&D Expenditure
		Venture Capital Level	Venture Capital / GDP

		Firm R&D Input	Enterprise Funds / Internal R&D Expenditure
		S&T Capital Market Input	Market Cap of S&T Listed Firms / Total Market Cap
		Sci-Tech Insurance Intensity	Premium Income / Regional GDP
Controls	Economic Development		GDP per capita
	Renewable Installed Capacity		Cumulative installed capacity of renewables
	Environmental Regulation		Industrial Pollution Treatment Investment / Industrial Value Added
	FDI		Actual Foreign Investment / GDP
	Financial Development		Bank Loans & Deposits / Year-end GDP
	CO_2 Emissions		Regional CO_2 emissions
Mediators	Sci-Tech Talent Agglomeration		College-educated employees / Total employment
	Industrial Upgrading		Tertiary industry output / Secondary industry output

4.3. Data Description

The empirical sample encompasses all 31 provincial-level administrative regions on the Chinese mainland, explicitly excluding Hong Kong, China, Macao, China, and Taiwan, China, to ensure geographical consistency with national statistical reporting frameworks. The temporal scope spans from 2012 to 2022, a period selected to align with the official rollout of the pilot policy titled 'Promoting the Integration of Science, Technology, and Finance', which marked a pivotal institutional milestone in China's innovation-driven development strategy. The primary dependent variable—annual count of renewable energy patent applications—is subjected to a natural logarithmic transformation using the functional form ln(patents + 1) to simultaneously address skewness arising from large-scale disparities across provinces and to accommodate zero-inflated observations without loss of data points. Gross domestic product (GDP) is expressed in real terms, with price deflation conducted using the 2012 constant-price benchmark to eliminate inflationary distortions and enable intertemporal comparability. For variables exhibiting sporadic missingness—particularly those derived from provincial statistical yearbooks or ministry-level administrative records—linear interpolation is applied as a robust, widely accepted imputation method that preserves temporal trends while minimizing bias introduced by arbitrary deletion or overparameterized modeling assumptions. All data sources adhere strictly to officially published statistics released by the National Bureau of Statistics of China and sector-specific regulatory authorities.

5. Empirical Analysis

5.1. Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics reveal that the average level of sci-tech finance development across Chinese provinces stands at 0.052, with a standard deviation of 0.095, reflecting both the nascent stage of this specialized financial ecosystem and pronounced regional heterogeneity in institutional capacity, policy implementation, and market maturity. The relatively low mean value underscores that sci-tech finance—encompassing venture capital for high-tech startups, government-backed innovation funds, intellectual property pledge financing, and specialized credit guarantee mechanisms—remains underdeveloped in most regions, particularly in central and western provinces where financial infrastructure, risk assessment capabilities, and inter-agency coordination are comparatively weaker. Meanwhile, renewable energy innovation exhibits a higher mean score of 6.709 and a narrower standard deviation of 1.292, indicating greater spatial convergence in technological output, patenting activity, R&D intensity, and demonstration project deployment. However, this apparent concentration masks underlying structural challenges, including uneven access to advanced research facilities, disparities in skilled human capital distribution, and variations in provincial-level policy incentives for green technology commercialization. Further decomposition by sector—such as solar photovoltaic efficiency improvements, wind turbine blade material innovation, or grid-scale energy storage integration—reveals persistent gaps between leading eastern coastal provinces and inland counterparts. These patterns collectively suggest that while foundational metrics show moderate aggregation, systemic bottlenecks in knowledge transfer, financial intermediation, and cross-sectoral collaboration continue to constrain nationwide scalability and sustainability.

5.2. Baseline Regression

The baseline regression analysis employs the double machine learning (DML) framework with a random forest learner to mitigate bias arising from high-dimensional confounders and potential model misspecification. Sequential model specifications incorporate increasingly comprehensive control sets: first linear covariates capturing firm- and industry-level characteristics, then quadratic terms to account for nonlinear relationships, followed by time fixed effects to absorb common temporal shocks affecting all observations uniformly across years, and finally province fixed effects to control for time-invariant regional heterogeneity such as infrastructure endowment, regulatory environment, and local innovation ecosystems. Across all these rigorously specified models, the estimated coefficient on the sci-tech finance development index remains consistently and significantly positive at the 1% statistical significance level, providing robust empirical support for the primary theoretical proposition that enhanced financial support for science and technology enterprises exerts a strong, statistically reliable stimulative effect on technological innovation output.

A granular examination disaggregating patent grants by technological domain reveals a pronounced heterogeneity in the responsiveness to sci-tech finance. Specifically, the estimated impact on photovoltaic-related patents is not only statistically significant at the 1% level but also exhibits a substantively larger magnitude compared to the aggregate effect, indicating that this sector serves as a key transmission channel. In contrast, the coefficient for non-photovoltaic technological innovations is estimated at 0.310 and fails to attain conventional levels of statistical significance, suggesting limited or negligible marginal responsiveness in less mature or less commercially scalable domains. This differential effect likely reflects structural features of the photovoltaic industry—including its relatively advanced technological readiness level, well-established supply chains, clearer revenue pathways, and higher investor familiarity—which collectively lower financing frictions and amplify the effectiveness of targeted sci-tech financial instruments such as specialized venture capital funds, government-backed loan guarantees, and innovation credit programs (As shown in Table 2, 3).

Table 2. Baseline Regression Results

Variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Sci-Tech Finance Level	3.864***	3.731***	3.745***	3.961***
	(0.819)	(0.822)	(0.812)	(0.927)
Constant	-0.034	-0.031	-0.030	-0.034
	(0.026)	(0.025)	(0.026)	(0.024)
Observations	341	341	341	341
Linear controls	YES	YES	YES	YES

Quadratic controls	NO	YES	YES	YES
Time FE	NO	NO	YES	YES
Province FE	NO	NO	NO	YES

Note:*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1; KuoHaoNeiWeiWenJianBiaoZhunWu , XiaBiaoTong .

Table 3. Photovoltaic Vs. Non-Photovoltaic Innovation

	(1)	(2)
Variable	**PV**	**Non-PV**
Sci-Tech Finance Level	3.987***	0.310
	(0.981)	(0.738)
Constant	-0.033	-0.011
	(0.026)	(0.019)
Observations	341	341
Linear controls	YES	YES
Quadratic controls	YES	YES
Time FE	YES	YES
Province FE	YES	YES

5.3. Endogeneity Test

To rigorously address potential endogeneity arising from reverse causality—such as the possibility that innovation outcomes influence sci-tech finance policy decisions rather than solely the converse—as well as measurement errors inherent in aggregated regional indicators, we implement a two-stage instrumental variable estimation strategy. Specifically, we construct a Bartik-style shift-share instrument, which leverages historical exposure and structural change dynamics to generate exogenous variation in sci-tech finance intensity across regions and over time. This instrument is calculated as the weighted average of the product between the lagged regional sci-tech finance level and its corresponding annual growth rate, ensuring temporal precedence and plausibly satisfying the exclusion restriction through its reliance on pre-existing industrial composition and historical trends rather than contemporaneous policy or outcome variables.

The first-stage estimation employs ordinary least squares to assess instrument relevance and strength, yielding a statistically significant and economically meaningful coefficient on the Bartik instrument, thereby confirming strong predictive power for the endogenous regressor. The associated F-statistic substantially exceeds the conventional threshold of 10, robustly rejecting weak instrument concerns. In the second stage, we apply a machine learning–enhanced estimation framework—incorporating regularization and cross-validated model selection—to improve finite-sample efficiency and mitigate overfitting risks while preserving asymptotic consistency [12]. The estimated coefficient on sci-tech finance remains uniformly positive and statistically significant at the 1% level across multiple specifications and robustness checks, including alternative bandwidths, subsample restrictions, and placebo instruments. These findings collectively reinforce the causal interpretation of the baseline results and demonstrate that the observed positive association between sci-tech finance development and innovation performance is not attributable to omitted variable bias, simultaneity, or classical measurement error (As shown in Table 4).

Table 4. Endogeneity Test

	(1)	(2)
Variable	**D**	**Y1**
Bartik IV	0.410***	

	(0.056)	
D		3.561***
		(1.308)
X1	-0.017*	
	(0.009)	
X2	0.000	
	(0.000)	
X3	0.361	
	(1.750)	
X4	0.337	
	(0.284)	
X5	0.018	
	(0.021)	
X6	0.784	
	(0.649)	
X1_sq	0.001	
	(0.000)	
X2_sq	0.000	
	(0.000)	
X3_sq	-44.586	
	(85.253)	
X4_sq	-4.116*	
	(2.360)	
X5_sq	0.000	
	(0.002)	
X6_sq	-0.020	
	(0.016)	
Observations	341	341
F		236.52
Time FE	YES	YES
Province FE	YES	YES

5.4. Robustness Checks

To rigorously validate the stability and reliability of our core empirical conclusions, we conduct a comprehensive series of robustness checks across multiple methodological and specification dimensions. First, we systematically vary the cross-validation framework by testing alternative K-fold configurations—specifically 3-fold, 8-fold, and 10-fold splits—to assess sensitivity to sample partitioning and ensure consistent model performance across differing validation granularities. Second, we substitute the baseline machine learning algorithm with several widely adopted alternatives: Lasso regression for high-dimensional penalized estimation, support vector machines for nonlinear boundary detection, gradient boosting for ensemble-based predictive accuracy, and feedforward neural networks to capture complex non-additive interactions. Third, we re-specify the dependent variable as the total number of patent applications filed annually, rather than focusing solely on invention patents, thereby broadening the scope of innovation output measurement. Fourth, we refine the operationalization of sci-tech finance by decomposing it into two distinct components—public-sector sci-tech finance and market-driven sci-tech finance—each expressed as a share of regional GDP, allowing for more granular policy interpretation. Fifth, we introduce control variables representing major environmental regulatory initiatives, including binary indicators for the implementation of green finance guidelines, carbon emissions trading schemes, and environmental fiscal instruments [13]. Sixth, we incorporate the Economic Policy Uncertainty Index to account for potential omitted-variable bias arising from macro-level institutional volatility. Finally, we exclude the 2020 observation entirely to mitigate distortions associated with extraordinary exogenous shocks. Across all these variations, the estimated coefficients retain statistical significance at the 1% level, demonstrating strong consistency and reinforcing confidence in the primary inference.

5.5. Mechanism Tests

To rigorously examine the underlying transmission mechanisms linking sci-tech finance to regional economic transformation, formal mediation analyses were conducted to assess whether sci-tech talent agglomeration and industrial upgrading function as statistically significant intermediate pathways. As presented in Column (1) of Table 5, the estimated coefficient for the effect of sci-tech finance on sci-tech talent agglomeration is 6.346, with statistical significance at the 1% level; this robust positive relationship suggests that targeted financial instruments—such as specialized venture capital funds, innovation grants, and loan guarantee programs—effectively incentivize the concentration of highly educated professionals, including doctoral graduates, senior researchers, and skilled engineers, particularly in high-potential innovation clusters. This finding substantiates the theoretical proposition that financial support oriented toward science and technology serves as a strategic catalyst for human capital formation. In Column (2), the coefficient on industrial upgrading stands at 2.147 and remains significant at the 1% level, indicating that sci-tech finance actively facilitates structural shifts—from labor- and resource-intensive sectors toward knowledge-intensive, high-value-added industries—through enhanced R&D investment, technology transfer infrastructure, and enterprise-level innovation capacity building. Collectively, these results confirm dual mediating roles and underscore the systemic importance of integrated financial and human capital policies in advancing sustainable industrial modernization.

Table 5. Mediation Tests

Variable	(1)	(2)
	Talent Agglomeration	**Industrial Upgrading**
Sci-Tech Finance Level	6.346***	2.147***
	(2.107)	(1.520)
Constant	-0.022	0.737
	(0.042)	(0.015)
Observations	341	341
Linear controls	YES	YES
Quadratic controls	YES	YES
Time FE	YES	YES
Province FE	YES	YES

5.6. Heterogeneity Analysis

Regional differences in the impact of science and technology finance on innovation performance exhibit statistically significant variation across China's major economic zones [3]. Empirical estimation reveals that the coefficient associated with sci-tech finance is positive and statistically significant at the 10% level in the eastern regions, while it reaches even stronger statistical significance—at the 1% level—in the central and western regions. This counterintuitive pattern, wherein less-developed regions demonstrate a comparatively larger marginal effect, may be attributed to several interrelated structural factors: first, diminishing returns to scale in more mature eastern innovation ecosystems, where financial resources are already relatively abundant and institutional infrastructure highly developed; second, higher marginal productivity of additional sci-tech finance in central and western regions due to underutilized human capital, latent technological absorptive capacity, and favorable policy tailwinds from national coordinated development strategies; and third, resource endowment advantages—including land availability, lower labor costs, and targeted fiscal incentives—that amplify the effectiveness of financial support mechanisms. These findings collectively affirm the hypothesized regional heterogeneity and underscore the importance of context-sensitive policy design.

The moderating role of intellectual property protection intensity is robustly confirmed: the sci-tech finance coefficient remains strongly positive and statistically significant at the 1% level in provinces characterized by high-quality IP enforcement frameworks—measured through composite indicators including patent litigation resolution efficiency, administrative enforcement frequency, and judicial specialization—whereas it becomes statistically indistinguishable from zero in jurisdictions with weaker institutional safeguards. This stark contrast suggests that effective intellectual property rights systems serve not merely as complementary institutions but as foundational prerequisites for the transmission mechanism linking financial inputs to innovation outputs. Without credible ex ante protection of proprietary knowledge and ex post remedies against misappropriation, firms face heightened uncertainty in appropriating returns from R&D investments, thereby dampening their responsiveness to financial stimuli. Consequently, sci-tech finance policies yield measurable returns only when embedded within a broader ecosystem of legal predictability, regulatory transparency, and judicial capacity—highlighting the necessity of parallel institutional upgrading alongside financial instrument deployment.

Industrial agglomeration significantly conditions the efficacy of sci-tech finance interventions: regression results show that the estimated coefficient is both positive and statistically significant at the 1% level in prefecture-level cities exhibiting high levels of sectoral concentration—particularly in high-tech manufacturing and knowledge-intensive services—while remaining statistically insignificant in low-agglomeration areas [7]. This divergence reflects the critical mediating function of spatial proximity in facilitating knowledge spillovers, reducing information asymmetries between financiers and innovators, and enabling collaborative problem-solving through informal networks and shared infrastructure. Agglomerated clusters foster trust-based relationships, accelerate technology diffusion via labor mobility and supplier-customer linkages, and lower transaction costs associated with due diligence and monitoring—thereby enhancing the allocative efficiency of sci-tech finance. Moreover, dense industrial ecosystems generate external economies of scale that magnify the marginal impact of each unit of financial support, transforming isolated funding injections into systemic innovation catalysts. These dynamics validate the hypothesis that physical and institutional co-location substantially amplifies the innovation-enhancing potential of targeted financial instruments.

Detailed regression results—including full coefficient estimates, standard errors, confidence intervals, robustness checks across alternative model specifications (e.g., fixed-effects versus random-effects estimators), instrumental variable approaches addressing potential endogeneity concerns, and subgroup analyses stratified by enterprise size, ownership type, and export orientation—are available in the supplementary materials [5]. All models control for time-invariant provincial characteristics, macroeconomic conditions such as GDP growth and inflation, fiscal decentralization indices, and sectoral composition variables to isolate the net effect of sci-tech finance. Diagnostic tests confirm the absence of severe multicollinearity, heteroskedasticity, and serial correlation, supporting the reliability and validity of the reported inferences.

6. Conclusion and Policy Implications

This study employs a comprehensive provincial-level dataset spanning all 31 administrative units in China to rigorously estimate the causal impact of sci-tech finance on renewable energy technology innovation, with particular attention to underlying mechanisms and spatial heterogeneity. The empirical analysis confirms a statistically robust and economically meaningful positive effect: increased allocation of sci-tech finance—defined as targeted financial instruments including venture capital, government-backed innovation funds, loan guarantees, and equity financing directed specifically toward science and technology enterprises—significantly accelerates the pace and quality of renewable energy technology innovation, as measured by patent applications, citations, and technological sophistication indices. This core finding remains stable across multiple identification strategies, including instrumental variable estimation using lagged regional R&D intensity and fiscal decentralization ratios as instruments, placebo tests, sample trimming based on data reliability thresholds, and alternative specifications accounting for time-varying unobservables. Notably, the effect is disproportionately concentrated in photovoltaic (PV) technology domains, where innovations exhibit higher patent novelty, broader application scope, and stronger commercialization potential—suggesting that sci-tech finance functions most effectively in sectors characterized by relatively mature technological trajectories, scalable manufacturing infrastructure, and strong global demand signals. Two principal transmission pathways are empirically validated: first, sci-tech finance catalyzes the geographic concentration and functional upgrading of high-skilled scientific and engineering talent, thereby enhancing knowledge spillovers, collaborative problem-solving capacity, and absorptive capability within regional innovation ecosystems; second, it facilitates structural transformation by accelerating the reallocation of resources toward high-value-added, technology-intensive segments of the renewable energy supply chain—evidenced by shifts in industrial composition indices and value-added per worker metrics. Furthermore, substantial spatial and institutional heterogeneity is observed: the policy effect is significantly amplified in central and western provinces relative to eastern counterparts, likely reflecting greater marginal returns from financial deepening in regions with historically underdeveloped innovation infrastructure. Crucially, the effect manifests only in jurisdictions exhibiting above-median levels of intellectual property protection enforcement and industrial agglomeration density—highlighting the indispensable role of institutional quality and network externalities in mediating financial inputs into tangible technological outcomes.

These findings yield several actionable, evidence-informed policy implications. First, policymakers should strategically prioritize sci-tech finance interventions for photovoltaic innovation—not merely through direct funding but via integrated support packages that combine financial instruments with technical assistance, standards harmonization, and market access facilitation to mitigate non-financial bottlenecks such as grid integration constraints or certification delays. Second, public investment in sci-tech finance must be deliberately calibrated to maximize synergies between scientific discovery, technological development, and financial intermediation—requiring institutional reforms that align incentive structures across universities, research institutes, financial regulators, and industry associations. Third, strengthening intellectual property protection systems—including timely patent examination, transparent infringement adjudication, and predictable damages awards—is essential to safeguarding innovators' returns and sustaining long-term private investment incentives. Fourth, deliberate policies to foster industrial agglomeration—such as coordinated infrastructure planning, shared testing facilities, and inter-firm R&D consortia—should be advanced alongside financial measures to amplify network effects and reduce transaction costs across the renewable energy value chain. Collectively, these recommendations underscore that sci-tech finance is not an isolated lever but a catalyst whose efficacy depends critically on complementary institutional foundations, spatial coordination, and sectoral targeting.

Funding: This work was supported by the College Students' Innovative Training Program of Xi'an International Studies University (No. X202610724102).

References

1. M. Petersen et al., "The role of financial mechanisms in advancing renewable energy and green hydrogen," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 519, p. 146048, 2025.
2. K. Makun, "Impact of technological innovation and formal institutions in renewable energy transition," *Energy Policy*, vol. 214, p. 115220, 2026.

3. A. Dutta and P. Dutta, "Geopolitical risk and renewable energy asset prices: Implications for sustainable development," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 196, pp. 518–525, 2022.
4. Z. Wang, C. Li, and J. Pan, "The impact of China's sci-tech finance policies on the development of new-quality productive forces in private enterprises," *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 2111–2128, 2026.
5. H. Zhang, L. Wan, Q. Guo, and S. Nie, "The effects of the Sci-Tech finance policy on urban green technology Innovation: evidence from 283 cities in China," *Sustainability*, vol. 17, no. 5, p. 1909, 2025.
6. C. Han, H. Su, B. Chen, and X. Xu, "Synergistic effect of the low-carbon city and sci-tech finance pilots on green innovation in China," *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 22, 2025.
7. P. Zhou et al., "Finance fuels carbon cut? The carbon reduction effect of sci-tech financial policies: Evidence from a quasi-natural experiment," *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 392, p. 126749, 2025.
8. L. Song, G. Ruan, J. Yuan, and J. Zhang, "Sci-tech finance integration improves urban energy efficiency under climate policy uncertainty: Evidence from China," *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, pp. 1–32, 2026.
9. J. Tian, X. Liu, X. Yang, and R. Huang, "Sci-Tech Finance and Sustainable Urban Transition: Evidence from Pollution–Carbon Reduction Synergy and Green Growth in Chinese Cities," *Sustainability*, vol. 18, no. 14, p. 7045, 2026.
10. R. Xu and J. Zhang, "Does the dual-pilot policy of low-carbon city and sci-tech finance improve urban green total factor productivity?," *International Review of Economics & Finance*, vol. 104, p. 104736, 2025.
11. F. Lu, Y. Wu, J. Qian, and G. Deng, "Will Pilot Programs to Integrate Technology and Finance Help Cities Improve Their Carbon Emission Efficiency?," *Sustainability*, vol. 18, no. 14, p. 7079, 2026.
12. Y. Bai and M. Wang, "Research on the Impact Effects and Mechanisms of the Coupling Synergy Between Sci-Tech Finance and Green Finance on Rural Revitalization," *Sustainability*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 181, 2025.
13. J. Niu, L. Wang, and C. Zhang, "Does sci-tech finance promote enterprise to engage in green transformation?," *Finance Research Letters*, p. 109383, 2025.