

Review

# Evolution of Marital Property Distribution Laws in Contemporary Society

Hua Zhou <sup>1,\*</sup><sup>1</sup> Chizhou University, Chizhou, China

\* Correspondence: Hua Zhou, Chizhou University, Chizhou, China

**Abstract:** The phylogenesis of matrimonial property distribution laws excogitate the change social norm and values surrounding wedding and family kinetics. This review paper search the diachronic setting of these jurisprudence, line their growing from fabric to contemporaneous practices. Highlighting key themes such as gender equality, economical independence, and and the influence of cultural shifts, it test the shock of effectual reforms on property rights within wedlock. The paper delves into the complexities of property distribution during divorcement, the character of accord. And the challenge front by demographic in navigating these Pentateuch. In the lotion of married property laws, thereby by liken jurisdiction, the composition distinguish challenge and disagreement. Moreover, it discusses future position on likely reform and the ongoing evolution of these constabulary in response to changes. This analysis aspire to offer a comprehensive sympathy of how property distribution laws have adapt over metre and their implications for mortal and fellowship in contemporaneous companionship.

**Keywords:** marital property distribution; laws; historical overview; societal changes; legal reforms

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Context and Importance

Marital property distribution laws basically symbolise a fundamental crossway of family law, and economical jurist [1]. And societal insurance in effectual systems. The equitable or community property frameworks regulate asset division upon marriage dissolution cause import for spousal surety, gender equity, and family stability [2]. As order evolve in their discernment of wedding as an partnership and gender roles uphold to tilt, the effectual mechanisms for property distribution have undergone substantial transformation [3, 4]. Infer these evolutionary pattern is indispensable for policymakers. Sound practitioners, and bookman try to equilibrate contend interestingness of candor, efficiency. And social eudaemonia. This reappraisal analyze the diachronic ontogenesis, mutant [5]. And emerge trends in property distribution laws across divers jurisdiction. Cater vital psychoanalysis of how frameworks have conform to plow innovative family structures and world.

### 1.2. Scope of the Review

Encompass both common law and law jurisdictions [2, 6]. This review see the evolution of property distribution laws across and contemporaneous contexts. The background includes legal model that egress during the nineteenth and century, alongside statutory reform and version that have remold property rights within wedding. Aid is guide toward the transition from property regimes to community property systems, the outgrowth of distribution doctrines; and contemporaneous exploitation addressing asset division in family structures. The psychoanalysis later incorporates relative position from multiple systems to elucidate how social changes, gender equality movements, and evolving economical construction have determine and juridical attack to matrimonial property allocation [2, 7].

Received: 12 July 2025

Revised: 30 August 2025

Accepted: 14 September 2025

Published: 17 September 2025



**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

### *1.3. Methodology Overview*

This sketch employ a comprehensive legal analysis framework to analyze property distribution statutes across multiple jurisdictions and period [8]. Psychoanalyse statutory viands, case law precedents [9, 10]. And legislative spirit alongside empirical information on outcomes, hence the methodology incorporate enquiry with socio-sound inquiry [5, 11]. While generator cover encyclopedism and survey, chief origin admit legislative texts and discriminative decision. The analysis traces doctrinal development from traditional unwashed law principles through community property and distribution models, evaluating how fabric speculate and respond to alter family structures, thereby gender roles, hence and participation patterns. This incorporated approach enables designation of essential slip in property rights allocation mechanisms and appraisal of their pragmatic import for house.

## **2. Historical Overview**

### *2.1. Traditional Frameworks*

Traditional frameworks governing property distribution egress from deeply entrenched legal organisation that reflected the social hierarchy and economical construction of their historic menses. In Westerly effectual traditions, the philosophy of coverture demonstrate that upon wedding, a char's effectual identity became subsumed within that of her husband, rendering her of property ownership or ascendancy. This model prioritized the integration of family wealth under manful stewardship and reinforce patriarchal structure that predominate domestic and heavens.

The mutual law tradition. This regulate legion jurisdiction, work on precept of separate property ownership in hypothesis while maintaining substantial limitation on charwoman's property rights. Thereby polite law systems in Europe developed community property models that theoretically know nuptial share to wealthiness, though implementation prefer bureau in management and disposition decisions. On assumptions about gender roles, economical habituation; and the indissolubility of wedding that have since undergone substantive alteration, these traditional model were predicated [12]. The implications of these arrangements stretch beyond property allocation; they fundamentally determine charwoman's economic autonomy [7]. Inheritance rights, and and capability to prosecute in commercial transactions. To gender equivalence within union. The persistence of these traditional model into the twentieth century produce pregnant legal and barrier. Translate these foundational construction is for encompass the development toward more distribution models and the ongoing tensivity between principles and excogitation of married partnership and property rights.

### *2.2. Legal Reforms in the 20th Century*

The twentieth hundred find transformative legal reform that basically restructure married property distribution frameworks across jurisdictions. Betimes in this stop, most Western arrangement operated under principles of coverture or community property regimes that heavy favor male possession and control of matrimonial plus. Nonetheless [3]. Reformist motion gradually dismantle these patriarchal structures, precede distribution doctrines that recognized spousal contribution to matrimonial wealth accumulation [5, 6].

As jurisdiction commence dramatise no-fault divorce statutes. This take reform in property division mechanisms, a pivotal fault occur. These legislative alteration reflected full realization that wedding represent an economical partnership requiring bonnie asset allocation upon adjournment. The emergence of distribution laws act a difference from segmentation, underscore beauteousness ground on circumstances, admit length of wedding, gain capability, and non-donation such as homemaking and child-rearing.

To elucidate the assortment of versus community assets and to speak complexness grow from -source property, simultaneously, community property jurisdictions refined their statutory frameworks. Agnise that marital backup often enable career advancement, reform admit part to professional grade and business enterprises. These -century reforms

basically established foundational principles that keep shaping contemporary property law, though ongoing disputation remain view optimal distribution methodologies and the rating of married contribution.

### *2.3. Contemporary Practices*

Contemporary matrimonial property distribution practices speculate a underlying exit from diachronic common law traditions toward more equitable model. The bulk of jurisdictions have take just distribution models that prioritise loveliness kinda than rigid adherence to title ownership. Under these scheme, tribunal fundamentally evaluate factor when dividing asset, admit the continuance of the union, each mate's and non-contribution, gain capacity [7]. And next fiscal needs. This attack know that marriage institute an economical partnership in which both spouses give through respective way, whether through direct income generation or indirect support as homemaking and child-rearing.

Process matrimonial assets larn during the union as conjointly have property subject to section upon breakup, community property jurisdictions maintain a trenchant access [6]. On the presumption that both spouses own property learn during the wedlock, of which partner earn the income, this model function. Contemporaneous recitation progressively incorporate consideration of spousal wrongdoing, though jurisdiction have moved toward no-fault frameworks that denigrate thoughtfulness. Statute increasingly plow complex asset categories include retirement accounts, licence; and asset. Ponder acquire economical realism. The shifting toward dispersion stage a recognition of marriage as a partnership deserve proportional asset allocation ground on comprehensive contribution assessment instead than strictly ownership designations.

## **3. Core Theme a: Gender Equality in Property Rights**

### *3.1. Historical Gender Disparities*

Matrimonial property distribution systems reflect profoundly entrenched gender hierarchies that consistently disadvantaged women. Under law traditions in Western jurisdiction, the philosophy of give womanhood sound non-entity, with their property rights subsume entirely under bridal authority [8]. This sound fabric intrinsically signify that upon matrimony, a woman's and place transmit to her hubby, who keep ascendancy and welfare during the union and often beyond it. On paternalistic Assumption about women's rational and economical capacitance, the justification for transcription breathe, put them as everlasting dependant demand manly safekeeping. Far beyond property ownership itself, the deduction of these disparity extended. Woman's exclusion from property rights efficaciously barred them from independency, political engagement. And social autonomy [7]. The unfitness to own, hence superintend. Or dispose of place created addiction that reinforce woman's condition within families and guild. As sound reform issue; the conversion from coverture to modified property rights occur across jurisdiction and time periods [2]. Make point during which char engross sound positions. These unfairness give precedent and institutional inertia that persist easily into the modernistic era, necessitating sustained reform movements to found true gender equality in property frameworks. Understanding these foundational disparity remains essential for dig disputation ring property distribution [5].

### *3.2. Impact of Legal Reforms*

Across jurisdiction, reform addressing matrimonial property distribution have remold gender equality frameworks [8]. The modulation from vulgar law systems, and this focus property rights in the paw of husband, to community property and equitable distribution models represents a significant institutional shift. These reforms have operationalized the rule that wedding institute an economical partnership, greet non-pecuniary contributions such as homemaking and child-rearing as valuable to marital asset accumulation.

Calculate on implementation mechanisms and discriminative rendering, the effectivity of these sound reforms varies. Jurisdictions adopting expressed community property statutes have demonstrated more consistent effect in attain equal distribution. Whereas those rely on equitable distribution principles show unevenness in judicial prudence. Enquiry try -outcome bespeak that clarity correlate positively with dilute litigation costs and more predictable property settlements.

Nonetheless. Empiric grounds course disclose interruption between purpose and practical outcomes [2]. Disparity in property valuation methodologies, regarding nonphysical plus and professional permission. Remain to disfavour sure party [6]. With some jurisdictions demonstrating stronger deference with distribution orders than others, additionally, enforcement mechanisms remain discrepant. The effectiveness of reform is farther mediated by socioeconomic factors, admit admittance to representation and literacy. This mold company' ability to swan their rightfield [12]. On institutional and educational interventions. Consequently, while reform have ground foundational equality principles, their transformative impact bet well.

### *3.3. Current Challenges*

Despite pregnant legislative progression, contemporary marital property distribution systems continue to face substantial challenge in accomplish true gender equality. One difficulty basically take the evaluation and sectionalisation of non-asset, impalpable prop as professional licence, pension benefits, and property rights. Judicature shin to base methodology for measure these plus, result in result across jurisdiction and cause. Thereby the discourse of bridal contribution to career advancement remains; while scheme now recognise non-contributions; measure their economical value presents ongoing difficulties [5, 8].

A challenge fundamentally worry the enforcement of property division orders, in cross-border marriage and international contexts. Dispute and varying effectual framework rarify the execution of resolution, and potentially disadvantage vulnerable mate. The cozy saving and unrevealed plus present enforcement obstacles that feign charwoman in certain regions. The overlap of marital property law with demesne [2]. Admit tax law and failure minutes, creates complexness that current frameworks inadequately address. Finally, acquire family structures. Include -sex partnerships and non-traditional cohabitation arrangements, have exposed crack in exist legislation contrive primarily for established wedlock. Ascertain that property distribution frameworks remain to contemporary societal reality while maintaining essential gender equality protections, these miscellaneous gainsay necessitate comprehensive reform that balances tractability with protective mechanism.

## **4. Core Theme B: Economic Independence and Prenuptial Agreements**

### *4.1. Role of Economic Independence*

As a element in property distribution frameworks, independence has issue. The content of partner to maintain resources and earning potentiality remold how court and legislator near asset division upon married dissipation. This switching reflects spacious social shift wherein -income households have become, challenging traditional paradigm that dare economical dependance within union.

The meaning of economical independence manifests across multiple dimension of family law. As courts view each party's content for self-sufficiency than perpetuate dependency relationships. Foremost, it mold the finding of support obligations [2, 9]. As or freestanding, second, it dissemble the delineation of property, specially view assets gather through professional attempt. In distribution jurisdictions, and where justice count each spouse's liberty when allocate community property, thirdly. It informs discreetness. Furthermore, the credit of economic independence has catalyze legislative reform prioritise transparence in financial disclosures and further parties to negotiate property settlements. This evolution intrinsically ruminates an rudimentary presumptuousness that empowered individuals can engage in more just organisation. Thereby necessitating

comparable alteration in property distribution methodologies and frameworks governing married looseness [3]. The evolution toward acknowledge economical independency represents a rudimentary reconceptualization of marital relationship from hierarchic economical units toward partnership between sovereign broker.

#### *4.2. Prenuptial Agreements: A Tool for Protection*

Prenuptial understanding have emerge as a significant institutional mechanics for baffle property distribution in fabric. These contract, action prior to union, provide couple to ground customized arranging reckon asset division, spousal support, and inheritance rights, thereby duck nonremittal statutory provision. Specially among soul with substantial pre-matrimonial plus or complex financial portfolio. The proliferation of accord reflects spacious societal displacement toward contractual liberty within intimate relationships. The protective function of antenuptial concord manoeuvre on multiple property. First, and they allow lucidity and predictability by instal terminus before and fiscal web occurs, abridge litigation costs and dispute resolution burdens [8, 9]. Second, these instruments progressively enable soul to conserve family wealth [6, 12]. Business interests, or heritage designated for specific ancestry, speak headache specially acute in mix kin or eminent-net-deserving contexts. Tertiary. Prenuptial agreements alleviate asymmetric wealth protection, and admit economically predominant mate to harbour assets from equitable distribution claims that might differently uprise under community property or just distribution regimes. The enforceability and genuineness of antenuptial correspondence rest contested within legal learnedness and pattern. Courts typically enforce rigorous essential admit wide fiscal revealing; sound representation. And absence of duress or unconscionability. These safeguards reflect underlying tensions between contractual exemption and protective insurance contrive to foreclose exploitation of economically vulnerable partner. As protective instruments, therefore. Correspondence officiate not but as negotiate frameworks ask fairness considerations, plant just principle within transcription [6].

#### *4.3. Societal Perceptions and Challenges*

In decennary, sensing of prenuptial agreement have undergo significant translation, meditate unobtrusive shifting in posture toward wedlock, property ownership. And liberty. Historically [11]. Antenuptial concord were brand as index of distrust or deficiency of allegiance, and yet discourse recognize them as pragmatic planning tools. This normalization has been companion by turn sufferance among demographics and someone with substantive plus or anterior matrimonial experience.. Challenges thereby prevail in the talks and implementation of prenuptial agreements [12]. Power imbalances between spouses frequently rarify discussions, specially when one company possesses financial resourcefulness or bargaining power. As initiate the study may be comprehend as unromantic or significative of matrimonial failure, the sensitivity environ talks frequently creates interpersonal rubbing. Somebody bump obstacle include limited access to main legal direction, literacy affect plus rating and tax implications, hence and dubiety affect the enforceability of specific contractual supply across dissimilar jurisdiction.

Ethnic and frameworks farther influence receptivity to prenuptial concord [1, 4]. Sealed community observe perspectives accent integrity and collective property ownership, view asset protection as incompatible with marriage principles.. Community with mellow pace of economical diverseness and anterior divorce experience march realism toward such agreements. These divergent perspective emphasize the tension between evolving legal model advertize private autonomy and unyielding narratives prioritize matrimonial solidarity and apportion interest.

## **5. Comparison & Challenges**

### *5.1. Comparative Analysis of Jurisdictions*

Property distribution laws afterward demo real variant across jurisdiction, shine tradition. Value; and economic doctrine [10]. Law jurisdictions, predominantly ground in

-American system, typically employ just distribution frameworks that allocate marital plus ground on cistron as duration of wedlock, contribution of each spouse. And earning capacity. Wherein asset acquired during union; in demarcation, civil law jurisdictions. In Europe and Latin America. Often adopt community property regimes are presumed jointly own, with distribution occurring upon dissolution accord to predetermined statutory expression. The eminence between these attack generates significant implications. Potentially accommodating private fate but acquaint capriciousness in issue. Distribution systems give courts discretion in specify allocations. Through part. Community property systems ply gravid certainty, allocate fifty pct to each partner, yet may accost donation of housewife or disparity in clear potentiality. Jurisdictions discern non-monetary contributions, including childcare and household management. Though the valuation methodologies remain contested [9].

Challenges egress from the crossroad of these framework with evolving family structures, international mobility, and asset complexity. -border wedding battle-of-police analysis regarding which jurisdiction's essential law implement. Digital assets, cryptocurrency holdings, thereby and deferred compensation arrangements portray fresh valuation difficulties that traditional statutory framework inadequately address. With some jurisdictions implement contracts liberally while others levy heightened examination regarding equity and revelation prerequisite, mull variance about exemption within inner kinship, moreover, the intervention of antenuptial concord change considerably.

### *5.2. Common Challenges Faced*

Individuals pilot property distribution laws bump challenge staunch from jurisdictional fragmentation and develop frameworks. A obstacle involves the inconsistency between community property and distribution regimes. This make important uncertainty for span relocate across region with statutory provisions. Particularly involve asset produce during marriage through inheritance, gifts, or stock. Where courts practice discrepant banner, the finding of what name married versus separate dimension rest [9]. Specially worry non-liquid assets as professional praxis, prop. And business interests, thereby valuation complexities introduce another challenge [5]. The absence of appraisal methodologies across jurisdictions demand expert evaluations, affecting miserable-income individuals. Necessitate specialized cognition to ensure equitable sectionalization, additionally, the discussion of retirement accounts, stock options. And table compensation alter [7]. Worldly ambiguity further refine property distribution, as the accurate minute of termination regard asset classification [7]. Reckon property acquired after breakup but before divorce finalization, disputes oftentimes rise. And the increase prevalence of premarital and postnuptial agreements enclose contractual dimensions that may contravene with protections, concerning waiver validity and enforceability standards.

Across jurisdiction, enforcement mechanisms remain poor, leaving party with redress when onetime spouse hide assets or fail to follow with distribution orders. These unified challenges inherently underscore the necessity for legal touchstone and enhance adjective clarity.

### *5.3. Impact of Cultural Factors*

Component fundamentally shape how marital property distribution laws are interpreted and utilise across jurisdiction. The conceptuality of prop itself variegate importantly establish on custom. Religious model, and precedent embedded within society. In jurisdiction mold by coarse law traditions, property ownership tend to emphasize individual right and stately documentation [3]. Whereas law systems reverberate communal or transmissible property concepts rootle in community structures. Spiritual and custom further refine this landscape; fellowship with Moslem traditions may incorporate principles of dower and systems, while those influenced by Christian or framework may prioritize division models. The valuation of asset types also influences distribution outcomes [8]. In company where land and agrarian property hold

signification, courts may employ dissimilar standard than in saving centre on fiscal tool or rational property. Attitude toward gender roles and spousal contributions shape judicial reading of what constitutes property and how donation are valued. As share to marital accretion; in some contexts, unpaid domesticated labor and child-rearing are officially recognized, while in others, contribution remain or invisible in model. These ethnic variations create satisfying challenges for accord external family law standards and for somebody navigate crabbed-border disputes. The tenseness between continue ethnical liberty and ensuring equitable treatment remains a unyielding challenge in family law reform.

## **6. Future Perspectives**

### *6.1. Trends in Legal Reforms*

Effectual systems are adopting distribution models that prioritise fairness over stiff asset division formulas [9]. Recognizing their value in marital partnerships, egress reforms accent judgment of non-pecuniary donation, admit childcare and homemaking. Jurisdictions are progressively implementing gender-indifferent frameworks that pass presumption favoring either mate, excogitate evolve social value affect spousal roles.. Style demonstrate arise internalisation of dispute resolution mechanisms, thereby such as intermediation and collaborative law, to trim litigation costs and advertise consensual upshot [6]. Digital asset valuation and cryptocurrency holdings present fresh challenge actuate adjustment [1]. Reforms are expected to plow intergenerational wealth transfer, premarital agreement enforceability standards. And enhanced transparency requirements for asset disclosure, ultimately progress more and reactive married property distribution frameworks.

### *6.2. Societal Shifts and Legal Adaptation*

Property distribution frameworks front bestride insistence to accommodate evolving family structures and realities. The emanation of non-partnership, -income households [10]. And delayed marriage patterns ask sound recalibration beyond poser [1]. Emerge considerations admit realisation of non-married cohabitation, thereby intervention of digital asset and noetic place, hence and fitting of gig economy earnings that refuse income categorization. Moreover, increase mobility and crabbed-border relationship demand harmonization of infringe overture. With protection of company, sound organisation must balance preservation of single liberty, particularly in circumstance of economical disparity. Future adaptation involve interdisciplinary duologue integrating family sociology [6]. Economics, and gender studies to ensure property distribution mechanisms rest substantively and procedurally approachable across demographic cohort.

### *6.3. Recommendations for Future Research*

Next research should prioritize survey examine how marital property distribution outcomes evolve across grouping and jurisdictional contexts [1, 4]. Investigation into the carrefour of digital asset and property division continue critically. Especially affect cryptocurrency and property valuation methodologies [2]. Comparative analysis across law and civic law systems would illuminate how institutional model mold just distribution principles [11]. Enquiry test the psychological and encroachment of distribution models on -divorce financial stability guarantee question [12]. Last, sketch evaluating the effectiveness of dispute resolution mechanisms in reach bonny outcome would tone grounds-base policy recommendations in this evolving sphere.

## **7. Conclusion**

### *7.1. Summary of Findings*

This critique has show that married property distribution laws have undergo transformation across jurisdiction over the preceding various tenner. The transformation from law principles. This favour property regimes, toward equitable distribution and

community property frameworks reverberate evolving acknowledgement of union as a partnership. Contemporaneous systems know non-pecuniary donation, admit homemaking and child-rearing, and as components of matrimonial wealth accumulation. Moreover, the egression of manakin and modifications indicates ongoing juridical and legislative efforts to poise blondness, liberty, and pragmatic enforceability. In response to shift family structures, gender roles. And realness within mod order, these developments conjointly underscore the active nature of family law.

### 7.2. Implications for Society

The evolution of marital property distribution laws mull a central teddy toward realise nuptial part and advertize just result in family dissolution. Contemporaneous model progressively acknowledge that union institute an partnership. Wherein both and non-contributions meritoriousness realization. These developments carry significance for house fiscal planning, certificate, thereby and intergenerational wealth transmission. By plant exonerated property division standards, modernistic laws reduce litigation uncertainty and ease more predictable settlements. By protecting vulnerable spouses, especially those who prioritise caregiving responsibilities, moreover. Distribution principles tone stableness. The convergency toward sexuality-statutory terminology reflects broad consignment to equality, thereby though implementation disparities persist across jurisdiction. These translation ultimately reshape kinsfolk relationship, further cobwebby asset management and decision-making within family.

### 7.3. Final Thoughts

The development of property distribution laws speculate society's ongoing committedness to equity, equation. And autonomy within family structures. As exhibit throughout this psychoanalysis, contemporaneous model stay to grapple with poise compete interests: protecting contributions, discern economical interdependence, and hold family configurations. Succeeding reform efforts must prioritize negotiation among legislators. Effectual bookman, practician. And sham community to ensure that property distribution mechanisms remain responsive to exchange social world. The course fore demand keep examen of exist philosophy, receptiveness to comparative penetration, and realisation that equitable property law suffice as a foundation of judge. Ultimately, the authenticity of these arrangement count upon their content to evolve thoughtfully while keep principled commitments to fairness and human lordliness.

## References

1. E. A. Cheadle, "The development of sharing principles in common law marital property states," *UCLA L. Rev.*, vol. 28, pp. 1269, 1980.
2. J. S. Gray, "Divorce-law changes, household bargaining, and married women's labor supply," *The American Economic Review*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 628–642, 1998.
3. M. Garrison, "Good intentions gone awry: The impact of New York's equitable distribution law on divorce outcomes," *Brook. L. Rev.*, vol. 57, pp. 621, 1991.
4. M. L. Fineman, "Societal factors affecting the creation of legal rules for distribution of property at divorce," *Fam. LQ*, vol. 23, pp. 279, 1989.
5. S. Lluís and Y. Pan, "Marital property laws and women's labour supply," *Canadian Public Policy*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 340–368, 2020.
6. H. Hitchcock, "Modern legislation touching marital property rights," *SL Rev. ns*, vol. 6, pp. 633, 1880.
7. M. E. Karowe, "Marital property: A new look at old inequities," *Alb. L. Rev.*, vol. 39, pp. 52, 1974.
8. S. W. Prager, "Sharing principles and the future of marital property law," *UCLA L. Rev.*, vol. 25, pp. 1, 1977.
9. L. W. Waggoner, "Marital property rights in transition," *Mo. L. Rev.*, vol. 59, pp. 21, 1994.
10. W. A. Reppy, "Major events in the evolution of American community property law and their import to equitable distribution states," *Family Law Quarterly*, pp. 163–192, 1989.
11. J. T. Oldham, "Is the concept of marital property outdated," *J. Fam. L.*, vol. 22, pp. 263, 1983.
12. K. T. Graham, "The uniform marital property act: A solution for common law property systems," *SDL Rev.*, vol. 48, pp. 455, 2003.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Publisher and/or the editor(s). Publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.