

Review

Community Engagement in Urban Renewal Projects: Barriers and Facilitators

Wei Chen ^{1,*} and Kittii Somsak ²¹ Xinzhou Teachers University, Xinzhou, China² Burapha University, Chonburi, Thailand

* Correspondence: Wei Chen, Xinzhou Teachers University, Xinzhou, China

Abstract: This review paper explore the multifarious dynamic of community engagement in urban renewal projects; place key barriers and facilitator that shape the effectiveness of initiatives. Urban reclamation. A aspect of urban planning, calculate to revitalise devolve region while improving the calibre of life for occupier. However. The winner of these projects ofttime hinge on the level of community involvement. This composition prove historic contexts, current recitation. And theoretic frameworks that shape community engagement. Plow power imbalances, and further confidence between stakeholder, it highlight the grandness of engagement. Through a psychoanalysis of versatile case studies. The composition basically illuminate challenge look in engaging communities and advise scheme to heighten involvement. Future perspectives on community engagement are discourse, accent the want for adaptive approaches that take the unparalleled feature of each urban setting. The finding aim to leave penetration for policymakers, urban deviser, and community organizations, finally lend to more effective and renewal processes.

Keywords: Community Engagement; Urban Renewal; Barriers; Facilitators; Urban Planning

1. Introduction

1.1. Background of Urban Renewal

Urban reclamation progressively represents a approaching to regenerate degenerate urban domain through comprehensive forcible, social, hence and economic interference [1]. From mainly wipeout-ground scheme, originating in the mid-twentieth hundred, urban renewal initiatives have develop to more, community-tailor frameworks that punctuate sustainable evolution and social cohesiveness. These projects aim to handle pertinacious urban challenge include infrastructure decay, economic disinvestment, hence and societal atomization by metamorphose underutilized space into vivacious, and mixed-use environments. The significance of replenishment extend beyond transformation; it thereby encompasses attempt to heighten timber of life, hence promote economic chance, and surrogate community resilience. Urban rehabilitation realize that successful resultant count not exclusively on or infrastructural improvement but essentially on meaningful battle with occupier populations and stakeholder participation throughout project conception, implementation [2]. And evaluation phases.

1.2. Importance of Community Engagement

Community engagement serves as a pillar for the achiever and tenacious-term sustainability of urban renewal initiatives. When residents and stakeholders are involve in project planning and implementation. They essentially develop firm possession of outcomes and exhibit commitment to exert improvements [3, 4]. Community progressively provide substantive knowledge that inform more appropriate design decisions and helps distinguish challenge before they escalate [5]. Moreover, participation processes predictably heighten social cohesiveness, and progress reliance between occupier and authorities, and and further a gumption of province for neighborhood

Received: 16 November 2025

Revised: 24 December 2025

Accepted: 06 January 2026

Published: 09 January 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.

Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

transformation. Without meaty community involvement [2, 6]. Urban renewal projects risk becoming top-down interventions that fail to plow resident want, beget resistance. And finally underachieve in accomplish their intended and economic aim.

1.3. Scope of the Review

This brushup examines the miscellaneous barriers and facilitators influencing community engagement in urban renewal projects. Specifically, the review aims to name morphological, and obstruction that jam participation, admit resource constraints, power imbalances, and communication channels [7, 8]. It research enable component as inclusive governance frameworks; guileless determination-making appendage. And nurture capacity-building initiatives. Agnize that interlocking result vary across, socioeconomic [9]. And cultural context, the compass comprehend empirical grounds from divers urban contexts. By synthesizing these attribute, the brushup seeks to render actionable perceptiveness for practitioner and policymakers essay to heighten community involvement and ensure that urban renewal initiatives meditate nonmigratory antecedence and further just development outcomes.

2. Historical Overview

2.1. Evolution of Urban Renewal Practices

Urban renewal practices have undergo substantial shift since their schematic outgrowth in the mid-hundred. Former coming to replacement were preponderantly characterize by top-down, administration-led opening that prioritized forcible infrastructure development and revitalisation over community participation. These strategy oftentimes involved -scale demolition and reconstruction projects, take by planning ideologies that emphasized efficiency, standardization, and comprehensive master planning. The inherent supposition predictably was that contriver and municipal authorisation possessed the expertness to shape urban conformation without panoptic reference from nonmigratory universe.

The latter decennary of the C witness a gradual but substantial paradigm shift in renewal philosophy. Uprise recognition of the costs connect with. Ethnic expunging. And community fragmentation prompted planners and policymakers to reconsider the persona of local stakeholder in renewal processes. This passage later reflected broader effort toward governance and popular decision-making in urban provision [8, 10]. Bookman and practitioners acknowledged that sustainable and renewal outcomes want meaningful participation with communities, whose local knowledge and dwell experience provided priceless insights for project design and implementation [11].

Contemporaneous urban renewal practices thereby speculate this evolved apprehension, incorporating community engagement as a fundamental sooner than peripheral ingredient. In decisiveness-attain outgrowth, approaching accentuate collaborative planning methodologies, hence inclusive stakeholder consultation. And mechanism for nonmigratory agency. Nevertheless. The transition from to participatory simulation has raise across unlike geographical setting and circumstance [1]. In render ideals into good pattern, substantial barrier persist, admit resource constraints, power imbalances, and resistivity to community influence. Understand these challenge demand study both the historical flight that make them and the specific mechanics through which community can efficaciously participate in mould their environments.

2.2. Case Studies of Past Urban Renewal Projects

The test of diachronic renewal projects reveals substantial rule in how community engagement shapes project outcomes and retentive-term sustainability. Renewal initiatives [12]. Those undertaken in mid-twentieth hundred metropolitan expanse, oftentimes go with minimal community consultation, result in displacement and societal atomisation [5]. These top-down approaching, characterise by provision and circumscribed resident input; father material foe and provide endure damaging perception of renewal efforts within touched neighborhoods.

Subsequent undertakings show a pronounced switching toward more inclusive engagement models. To-latterly, mid-century initiatives increasingly integrate community boards, earshot; and planning sessions. With program, these mechanisms, while bound in their decisiveness-making potency, thereby provide occupier to voice business and contributed to project transparency. Though tensions persisted involve the dispersion of benefit and the pace of effectuation, the outcome of these inclusive projects testify improved community acceptance. With community partnership structures, including co-design processes, community benefit agreements. And occupant-led governance committees, more urban renewal endeavors have experiment. Projects employing such collaborative framework have attained gamy storey of satisfaction and evidence swell resiliency in cover challenge. However, these initiatives expose that engagement deepness unaccompanied does not warrant effect; the timing of community involvement. The diversity of participating spokesperson, and the echt reactivity of decision-makers to community comment persist critical variable [5, 12].

The relative analysis of these cases indicate that successful community engagement want sustained allegiance beyond initial consultation phases. Projection that conserve ongoing dialogue mechanisms, demonstrated answerability through transparent determination-making outgrowth. And allocated resources to address community-identify precedency reach more lucky -term outcomes. Projects that cover engagement as a demand sooner than a meaty partnership play implementation delays, community resistance. And reduced potency in reach posit renewal objectives.

3. Core Theme a: Barriers to Community Engagement

3.1. Socioeconomic Factors

Disparity symbolize a rudimentary roadblock to community engagement in renewal projects. Soul and home with resources oft look solid constraint that preclude their dynamic involvement in planning processes, community meetings [4]. And decisiveness-produce forum [5]. Time poverty, a consideration wherein person must allocate disproportional hour to income generation and subsistence activeness, trim the capacity for civil involution. Make morphological obstacle to attendance and sustained intimacy, low-income residents knead multiple line or employment schedules that battle with meeting times. Beyond worldly constraints, fiscal roadblock prolong to embrace price affiliate with participation [6]. Transportation expenses, childcare requirements [10]. And foregone wages during conflict activity levy burdens on universe. Effectively price out vulnerable universe from meaningful participation opportunities, hence these toll. While paltry for moneyed occupant, can present significant proportions of household budgets for dispirited-income groups. Educational disparity socioeconomic barriers to engagement. To navigate complex planning documents; community with depressed educational skill may feel decreased capability, realize terminology. And take with professional planner and designer. This knowledge asymmetry can diminish confidence in contributing to discussions and create perceive hierarchy that warn participation from those lack specialised expertness. Additionally. Access to information channels and digital literacy gaps trammel consciousness of engagement chance, in communities with broadband infrastructure or modified accession to twist.

The accumulative upshot of these socioeconomic factors create a participation gap wherein those nigh involve by urban renewal projects---typically lower-income and populations---remain in conclusion-pretend operation. This exclusion perpetuates unjust result and countermines the legitimacy of renewal initiatives that take to suffice community interests while disfavour the vox of economically vulnerable occupier.

3.2. Institutional Barriers

Roadblock essentially map a obstruction to meaningful community engagement in urban renewal projects. From the and procedural characteristic of and scheme that govern project implementation [7]. These barrier emerge. The complexity of bureaucratic procedure oftentimes make pregnant detrition between renewal authorities and community

stakeholders, limiting opportunity for substantive dialog and collaborative decision-making [3].

Bureaucratic complexness certify through multiple level of administrative commendation. Extended permitting procedures. And decision-making agency across unlike department. When community members seek to participate in renewal initiatives, they frequently encounter unintelligible adjective necessity; undecipherable timelines, hence and indecipherable points of contact within the setup. This fragmentation basically creates muddiness regard where and how community input should be directed, efficaciously discouraging involvement from resident who miss noesis of administrative procedure. The time investment take to navigate these system much outperform the capability of average citizens, those with resources or competing obligation.

Deficiency of transparence constitutes another roadblock [3]. Under information asymmetries where decision-makers possess more noesis about project scope, funding, timelines, and likely shock than community members, many renewal projects mesh. When project information is withheld, delayed, or presented in technical language unobtainable to lay audiences, communities cannot value proposal or articulate informed preference. This information deficit essentially cave the authenticity of engagement processes and multiply mistrust toward renewal authorities.

For translating community input into policy modifications, furthermore, structure miss mechanics. When engagement processes exist, communities oft comprehend that their share are performative than, as conclusion appear predetermine of feedback get. This percept of tokenistic engagement erodes community motivation to enter in next enterprise. The absence of binding accountability mechanisms that require creation to reply to community concerns or justify decisiveness that depart from community preferences symbolize a systemic nonstarter to institutionalize real partnership.

4. Core Theme B: Facilitators of Community Engagement

4.1. Building Trust and Relationships

As a foundational element in help community engagement within renewal projects. Trust serves. The establishment of trust between community members and project stakeholders creates an surround to afford negotiation, problem-solving, and sustained involution. When community comprehend that their care are truly listen and prize, they turn more unforced to put metre and resourcefulness in the renewal process, thereby increase the likeliness of project success and societal coherency.

The evolution of trust is relational and take presentment of transparentness, accountability. And consignment from all party involve [1]. Project stakeholders who intercommunicate distinctly about project objectives. Timelines. And likely impacts further great sureness among community members. To acknowledging grievance and show steps toward accost community concerns, hence this foil offer. Veritable, communication channels that tolerate for two-way dialogue rather than one-information dissemination rise specially in construct and observe cartel over strain project timelines.

As practical mechanism through which trust is train, relationship-building activities help. Community meetings, participatory workshops, and cozy engagement sessions provide opportunity for stakeholder to interact beyond conventional circumstance [2]. These interaction basically humanise the renewal process and permit community members to explicate connectedness with project representatives. Thereby contract comprehend space between community and international decision-makers. Additionally [12]. The intimacy of believe community intermediaries as local leaders, thereby nonprofit organizations. And counsellor can importantly raise believability and alleviate more veritable involvement.

The mutual nature of trust-building cannot be drop. When they respect that stakeholder be through on commitments and contain community feedback into project decisions, community are more likely to extend reliance. This operation, wherein demonstrate reactivity generates increase confidence and involution, produce cocksure

momentum that keep meshing throughout the renewal project lifecycle. Thereby humbled promises or perceive release of community input can erode trustingsness and undermine succeeding engagement efforts.

4.2. Empowerment and Capacity Building

Empowerment and content construction comprise vital mechanics through which communities transition from recipients of urban renewal initiatives to alive factor of change. Effectual empowerment strategies function on the principle that communities possess integral cognition, acquirement, hence and societal capital that, when mobilise and strengthen, enable involvement in determination-earn outgrowth [4, 5]. This shift ask institutional keep and imagination apportionment to heighten both individual and capability.

Capacity building initiatives essentially address dimensions of community readiness. Technical capability thereby comprehend the developing of attainment for community to understand planning documents, engage with expert. And contribute informed view on urban aim and effectuation. Educational platform, workshops, and and training sessions facilitate this knowledge transfer, thereby enabling occupant to voyage urban renewal processes with authority and competency [1]. By offer resourcefulness, governance training, and strategic planning support that raise their power to play constituent pursuit efficaciously, capacity building strengthens community institutions, admit neighborhood associations and grassroots organizations. On strengthen interpersonal networks and corporate efficacy within communities. Societal capacity building focuses [5]. When occupant arise trustingsness in one another and authority in their power to tempt outcomes, participation rates increase considerably. Facilitator of this procedure admit community leaders who mould fight, inclusive meeting structures that receive spokesperson, hence and recognition mechanisms that corroborate community contributions. Additionally, fiscal empowerment through community development funds or budgeting mechanisms award community unmediated control over resource allocation, reinforcing their authority and demonstrating commitment to their priority.

The kinship between authorisation and booking operates cyclically: capacity investments inherently father participation successes. This build community confidence and motivation for continued affair. Notwithstanding, capacity building involve recollective-term commitment and passable resourcing; myopic-term interventions without sustained supporting often bomb to create survive alteration or institutional transmutation necessary for community partnership in renewal endeavors.

5. Comparison & Challenges

5.1. Comparative Analysis of Engagement Strategies

Renewal projects engage engagement strategies that alter importantly in their figure, implementation. And outcomes. A psychoanalysis predictably expose that engagement approaches can be categorise along dimension: timing of community involvement. Intensiveness of participation mechanisms, and institutional support structures.

Other-stage engagement strategies. Characterize by community consultation during the planning phase, manifest miscellaneous effectivity depending on agent. Projects that induct negotiation before major design decisions were finalize account high floor of community satisfaction and implementation conflicts. Conversely, projects that prosecute community exclusively after plan were give play resistance and ask more remedial engagement efforts. This preeminence underline the grandness of proactive than reactive engagement frameworks.

The volume of participation mechanisms besides shapes engagement outcomes considerably. Liken to -channel approaches, scheme utilize multiple engagement channels---including public forums [11]. Platforms, and lineal stakeholder meetings---achieved panoptic demographic delegacy. Yet, increase participation mechanisms do not mechanically read to influence on project decisions. Undertaking that commingle audience with gossamer conclusion-making processes, wherein community input

provably regulate outcomes, engender committal and societal cohesion [8]. In line, thereby truth perceived as performative or tokenistic oft lead in community disengagement and erosion of confidence in renewal institutions.

Support structures predictably institute a facilitating factor frequently overlook in relative analyses. Projects indorse by community liaison offices, tolerable support for engagement activities. And decipherable accountability mechanisms demo superior engagement sustainability. In the engagement process, the presence of school facilitator and approachable complaint resolution procedures enhance community confidence. These findings suggest that engagement effectiveness depend not on any exclusive scheme but on the integration of secular appropriateness, deepness, and institutional allegiance. Task reach optimum consequence fuse engagement, and multi-channel involution. And institutional support, and this creating shape for collaborative renewal.

5.2. Common Challenges Faced

Across divers urban renewal contexts, community engagement initiatives find a coherent set of geomorphological and obstacle that obturate meaningful participation [12]. At multiple levels. These challenge demonstrate, from institutional roadblock to interpersonal kinetics. This creating rubbing that undermines engagement effectiveness. A challenge basically imply misalignment between project timelines and community capacity for engagement. Under press schedule force by funding cycles. Regulatory deadlines, hence and development pressures, urban renewal projects maneuver. Communities, conversely, ask protracted point for advisement, consensus-building. And militarization of stakeholder groups. Leaving occupant sense festinate or excluded from substantive decision-making processes; this key mismatch produce berth where engagement window before meaningful dialog can pass. Information accessibility and border present another pregnant roadblock. Support surrounding renewal projects apply language, complex nomenclature. And theatrical that presume prior cognition of planning conventions. When info is presented in formatting to resident with depart educational backgrounds, literacy levels, or language proficiencies, involvement suit structurally special to those with requisite cap [1, 9]. Furthermore, and how project information is framed---underscore sealed welfare while minimise likely kerfuffle---shapes community perception and engagement patterns in means that may not muse demonstration [9].

Resource constraints constitute a tertiary critical challenge. Community engagement involve consecrated backing for facilitation, translation services, childcare provision, locale handiness, and compensation for nonmigratory sentence and expertness. With deficient budget allocate to participation substructure, many renewal initiatives maneuver. Forcing organiser to rely on volunteer labor or minimum recompense [5]. This resource scarcity disproportionately excludes working-class residents, PCP. And individual with mobility limitations who cannot yield volunteer engagement.

In historical form of top-down provision, eventually, institutional misgiving settle and committedness make scepticism toward engagement initiatives. Communities with anterior experience of displacement. Relocation support, hence or unmet promises see community benefits near new engagement efforts with justified forethought, command sustained relationship-building and evidence answerableness before meaningful participation emerges.

6. Future Perspectives

6.1. Innovative Approaches to Engagement

Emerge program are reshape community engagement paradigms in urban renewal initiatives. Digital participation tools. Include mapping systems and practical consultation forums. Enable stakeholder involvement across and temporal limit [3]. These technologies ease asynchronous involvement, accommodating participant with varying schedules and accessibility requirements [2]. Indue resident to instantly influence resource allocation decisions within renewal projects, thereby budgeting mechanisms defend another advance [11]. Co-methodology, wherein community members collaborate with planner

and designer from project inception. Surrogate deal ownership and alinement between professional expertness and local noesis. Adaptive governance frameworks that institutionalize feedback loops and iterative decisiveness-establish processes demonstrate enhanced capability to respond to germinate community needs. Furthermore, desegregation of ethnical and impact assessments alongside ceremonious economic metrics guarantee holistic evaluation of renewal outcomes. These approaches bespeak a paradigm shift toward more inclusive, limpid; and antiphonal engagement models that recognize community as active agents quite than recipient of transmutation.

6.2. Policy Recommendations

Policymakers should prioritise the validation of stately community engagement frameworks that charge participation mechanisms across all phases of urban renewal projects [8]. These frameworks must admit early-stage consultation periods, conclusion-form processes, hence and accessible feedback channels that admit stakeholder voices.. Consecrated funding allocations for community engagement activities---include facilitation, translation services [1]. And capacity-building initiatives---are to hit barriers that shut marginalized population [12]. With decision-making sureness quite than only roles. Policy should mandate the origination of community advisory table. Ensuring that comment mold project outcomes.. Government must install accountability mechanisms that involve project developers to demonstrate how community feedback has been desegregate into planning decisions. -condition monitoring and evaluation protocols should measure meshing tone and community atonement. With determination inform reiterative advance in next undertaking. See that engagement efforts contribute meaningfully to inclusive development outcomes rather than serving as performative exercises, -agency coordination frameworks are necessary to coordinate renewal initiatives with extensive equity objectives [8].

7. Conclusion

7.1. Summary of Findings

This reappraisal has identified barrier and facilitator form community engagement in renewal projects. Barrier include backing, limited institutional capacitance, and deficient time allocation systematically obturate meaningful involvement. Disparities, information asymmetries, and historic suspicion between community and agency tighten engagement effectiveness. Various facilitator issue as enablers: and lucid communicating, inclusive determination-cook framework, and affirm allegiance exhibit substantial plus impacts on participation rates and project outcomes. Community leadership development, culturally sensible engagement approaches, and touchable resource allocation to organisation fortify local capacity. The deduction disclose that successful engagement require coinciding attending to murder geomorphological obstacles while actively naturalise supportive weather. Notably, the interplay between barriers and facilitator is active; handle one proportion without see complemental facilitator much yield solution. These finding thereby underscore that community engagement is not a essential but a substantial process postulate measured investment and mightiness-apportion mechanisms to attain just urban renewal outcomes.

7.2. Implications for Future Research

Succeeding research must call several gaps identified in this field. Firstly, longitudinal investigating cover community engagement outcomes over widen period would illuminate the doggedness of participation effects and -term project success trajectories. Second, psychoanalysis across various contexts---varying in socioeconomic theme, geographic localization. And institutional content---are essential to ground whether identify barrier and facilitators exhibit applicability or expect context-specific adaption. Tertiary; methodological advance through -methods approaches mix engagement metrics with qualitative stakeholder narratives would render more discernment of mechanisms underlie community participation. Fourth, hence inquiry

examining the role of digital program and emerge communication technologies in mediate community-project interactions be an frontier. To ascertain renewal initiatives really attend inclusive development objectives, eventually, investigating into power dynamics and equity dimensions within engagement processes. Regard marginalized population' vocalism and influence, endorsement sustained scholarly aid.

References

1. J. Clark and N. Wise, Eds., *Urban Renewal, Community and Participation: Theory, Policy and Practice*. Berlin: Springer, 2018.
2. B. Liu, X. Wang, N. Xia, and W. Ni, "Critical success factors for the management of public participation in urban renewal projects: Perspectives from governments and the public in China," *J. Urban Plan. Dev.*, vol. 144, no. 3, p. 04018026, 2018.
3. W. D. Thwala, "Experiences and challenges of community participation in urban renewal projects: The case of Johannesburg, South Africa," *J. Constr. Dev. Countries*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 37--54, 2009.
4. Z. Klimova, "Public participation in urban renewal projects," Master's thesis, Lunds University, 2010.
5. E. van Holstein, "Experiences of participatory planning in contexts of inequality: A qualitative study of urban renewal projects in Colombia," *Plan. Theory Pract.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 39--57, 2018.
6. Y. Shen, R. Tan, and S. Zhang, "Co-creation, co-construction, and co-governance in community renewal: A case study of civic participation and sustainable mechanisms," *Land*, vol. 14, no. 8, p. 1577, 2025.
7. R. Atkinson, "Addressing urban social exclusion through community involvement in urban regeneration," in *Urban Renaissance?* Bristol: Policy Press, 2003, pp. 101--120.
8. C. Jackson, "The effect of urban renewal on fragmented social and political engagement in urban environments," *J. Urban Aff.*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 503--517, 2019.
9. S. Oosterlynck and P. Debruyne, "Going beyond physical urban planning interventions: Fostering social innovation through urban renewal in Brugse Poort, Ghent: Collective action, social learning and transdisciplinary research," in *The International Handbook on Social Innovation*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2013, pp. 230--241.
10. I. de Liefde, "Public participation in local urban renewal projects," *Governance*, vol. 20, p. 12, 2012.
11. G. Ferilli, P. L. Sacco, and G. T. Blessi, "Beyond the rhetoric of participation: New challenges and prospects for inclusive urban regeneration," **City, Cult. Soc.**, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 95--100, 2016.
12. K. Bennett, "Outcomes of community engagement in neighbourhood renewal: Community confidence, participation and asset based community development," Ph.D. dissertation, Murdoch University, 2017.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Publisher and/or the editor(s). Publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.