

Article

Innovations and Practical Approaches to Vocational Undergraduate Education in the Context of Artificial Intelligence

Qiu Jin Chen^{1,*}
¹ Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, China

* Correspondence: Qiu Jin Chen, Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, China

Abstract: Artificial intelligence technology is profoundly transforming global industrial structures and employment markets, imposing new demands on the cultivation of high-level technical professionals. As a critical component in training application-oriented talents, the innovative development of vocational undergraduate education is essential for adapting to the intelligent era. This paper thoroughly examines the novel requirements posed by the intelligent era for vocational undergraduate talent development and analyzes the fundamental challenges currently faced by vocational undergraduate education in areas such as curriculum design, practical teaching, assessment standards, and interdisciplinary integration. Specifically, the study identifies gaps in existing curricula that fail to align with rapidly evolving industry needs, insufficient integration of hands-on training with theoretical instruction, outdated evaluation mechanisms that do not adequately capture competency-based outcomes, and limited cross-disciplinary collaboration across academic departments. To address these issues, the study proposes a series of innovative approaches, including establishing dynamically adaptive curriculum models that respond to real-time technological shifts, enhancing industry-education collaboration through structured partnership frameworks, refining diversified evaluation systems that incorporate both formative and summative assessments, and fostering interdisciplinary integration to cultivate well-rounded professionals. The proposed strategies are grounded in a comprehensive review of current pedagogical practices and emerging trends in intelligent technology adoption. Ultimately, this research aims to provide robust theoretical support and actionable practical insights for advancing the high-quality development of vocational undergraduate education in China, contributing to the broader goal of building a skilled workforce capable of thriving in an increasingly intelligent and interconnected global economy.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; vocational education; talent development; curriculum innovation; industry-education integration

Received: 05 December 2025

Revised: 19 January 2026

Accepted: 29 January 2026

Published: 02 February 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

A profound global wave of technological revolution and industrial transformation is unfolding at an accelerated pace, driven by rapid advances in digital infrastructure, data analytics, and intelligent systems [1]. Artificial intelligence technologies are now deeply integrated across diverse domains—including advanced manufacturing, modern agriculture, financial services, healthcare delivery, and educational platforms—enabling unprecedented levels of automation, optimization, and decision support. Concurrently, traditional industry sectors are undergoing comprehensive digital upgrading and intelligent reconstruction, giving rise to novel service paradigms, platform-based ecosystems, and agile value chains. This systemic evolution has fundamentally reconfigured occupational requirements, placing greater emphasis on interdisciplinary knowledge integration, adaptive technical proficiency, systems thinking, digital fluency, and solution-oriented innovation capacity among practitioners. Within China's higher

education framework, vocational undergraduate education serves as a pivotal bridge between academic theory and applied practice, tasked not only with responding dynamically to evolving socioeconomic demands but also with proactively shaping future-ready talent standards through curriculum modernization, pedagogical innovation, and industry-academia co-development mechanisms. Addressing this dual mandate constitutes a strategic priority requiring rigorous, evidence-informed scholarly inquiry and institutional reform.

2. The Contemporary Needs of Vocational Undergraduate Education in the Context of Artificial Intelligence

2.1. New Requirements for Talent Structure in the Context of Industrial Intelligence Transformation

Currently, the global economy is undergoing profound transformations driven by artificial intelligence technology, which has reshaped structural demand for skilled personnel in employment sectors and thus established new directions for vocational undergraduate education. As machine automation increasingly assumes repetitive, rule-based, and routine operational tasks, there is a corresponding rise in demand for professionals equipped with advanced cognitive abilities—including critical thinking, adaptive reasoning, and ethical judgment—as well as interdisciplinary knowledge integration skills that bridge engineering, data science, domain-specific practice, and human-centered design. Data literacy, including the capacity to interpret, validate, and ethically deploy analytical outputs, has become indispensable across industries. Moreover, effective human-machine collaboration requires not only technical fluency but also communication agility, contextual awareness, and iterative problem-solving competencies [1, 2]. Emerging high-value domains—including smart manufacturing systems, AI-augmented healthcare delivery platforms, and next-generation digital service infrastructures—require technically grounded practitioners who possess foundational understanding of artificial intelligence principles and can translate theoretical concepts into context-sensitive, scalable, and socially responsible applications. Vocational undergraduate education must therefore recalibrate its curricular architecture, pedagogical strategies, and experiential learning frameworks to systematically cultivate complex problem-solving proficiency, creative adaptability, and future-ready occupational competencies—thereby contributing meaningfully to industrial modernization, technological self-reliance, and sustainable socioeconomic advancement.

2.2. The Necessity of Lifelong Learning for Adapting to Future Career Development

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence and related digital technologies has dramatically compressed knowledge renewal cycles across disciplines, rendering static skill sets obsolete within increasingly shorter timeframes. Concurrently, occupational structures are undergoing profound reconfiguration: traditional job categories are dissolving, interdisciplinary roles are proliferating, and entirely novel professions—such as AI ethics auditors, quantum computing technicians, and human-machine collaboration specialists—are emerging with growing frequency. At the same time, routine cognitive and procedural tasks previously performed by humans are being systematically automated, necessitating workforce adaptation at both individual and institutional levels. This evolving professional ecosystem introduces significant dynamism and complexity into career pathways, demanding not only technical agility but also meta-cognitive competencies—including learning-to-learn strategies, reflective practice, and adaptive mindset development. Consequently, lifelong learning is no longer a supplementary enrichment activity but an essential, non-negotiable dimension of professional identity formation and sustainability. Vocational undergraduate education must therefore embed self-regulated learning frameworks throughout curricula, cultivate intrinsic motivation for continuous growth, and strengthen learners' capacity to navigate ambiguity and transition. Institutions should systematically integrate scaffolded opportunities for experiential learning, micro-credentialing, industry-aligned upskilling modules, and

metacognitive reflection practices. Such pedagogical approaches empower students to independently identify knowledge gaps, critically evaluate information sources, apply emerging tools, and iteratively refine their capabilities—ensuring sustained relevance, resilience, and progressive advancement within the modern employment landscape [3, 4].

2.3. The Urgency of Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship Capabilities as Well as Cultivating Interdisciplinary Talents

Artificial intelligence technology has emerged as a transformative force in modern economic development, significantly enhancing labor productivity, optimizing resource allocation, and accelerating industrial upgrading. Its integration across manufacturing, services, agriculture, and emerging sectors has catalyzed the formation of novel industries, innovative business models, and diversified economic formats—such as intelligent logistics, precision healthcare, adaptive education platforms, and AI-augmented creative industries. Within this dynamic landscape, vocational undergraduate education assumes a pivotal responsibility: to systematically cultivate innovation capacity, entrepreneurial mindset, and interdisciplinary competence among students. This entails moving beyond narrow technical training to foster holistic capabilities—including critical analysis of market gaps, agile problem-solving under uncertainty, ethical deployment of intelligent tools, and effective communication across domain boundaries. Students must be equipped not only with robust disciplinary foundations but also with cognitive flexibility to synthesize AI methodologies with domain-specific knowledge—for instance, combining computer vision techniques with agricultural science to develop smart pest-monitoring systems, or integrating natural language processing with legal studies to support intelligent contract review [2, 5]. Curricular design should emphasize project-based learning, cross-departmental capstone initiatives, and real-world incubation pathways that nurture resilience, iterative prototyping skills, and value-creation orientation. Such pedagogical strategies collectively strengthen the talent pipeline essential for sustaining high-quality growth in the intelligent economy.

3. Deep Challenges Facing Vocational Undergraduate Education in the Context of Artificial Intelligence

3.1. Insufficient Adaptability of the Curriculum System and Teaching Content Updates

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence technology has profoundly transformed industrial landscapes, reshaping occupational structures, skill requirements, and production paradigms across manufacturing, services, and emerging digital sectors. Yet the current curriculum models and teaching content in vocational undergraduate education exhibit significant shortcomings in adaptability, responsiveness, and forward-looking design. Traditional course designs primarily focus on imparting established knowledge frameworks and standardized technical procedures, with lengthy institutional review cycles—often spanning multiple academic years—that hinder timely integration of cutting-edge developments in fields such as AI-driven automation, large-scale data analytics, edge computing, and intelligent systems integration. This structural lag results in certain specialized courses failing to reflect real-time industry evolution, leaving graduates' acquired knowledge and skills frequently misaligned with corporate expectations regarding innovation capabilities, cross-domain problem-solving agility, and proficiency in deploying AI-augmented tools within authentic workplace contexts. Furthermore, the depth and breadth of instructional content often inadequately address the systemic, interdisciplinary nature of AI adoption—such as ethical deployment frameworks, human-AI collaboration protocols, and domain-specific model interpretation—thereby limiting students' capacity to navigate complex, evolving intelligent application scenarios. Consequently, graduates may lack both a robust foundational knowledge base and the adaptive meta-competencies required for sustained professional growth in rapidly digitizing labor markets.

3.2. The Integration of Practical Teaching with Industry-Education Collaboration Requires Further Enhancement

The focus of vocational undergraduate education lies in cultivating practical skills, particularly those aligned with the evolving demands of intelligent manufacturing and digital transformation; however, in the context of rapid advancements in artificial intelligence, the integration of practical teaching with industry-education collaboration still requires systematic enhancement. A persistent challenge is the misalignment between on-campus training infrastructure and the dynamic, high-fidelity technological application scenarios encountered in modern enterprises—such as AI-driven quality control systems, digital twin-enabled production lines, or autonomous logistics platforms. Consequently, the simulated practical environments students experience during coursework often lack the complexity, scale, and real-time decision-making pressures characteristic of actual industrial intelligent production processes. Although school-enterprise cooperation models have been widely implemented across institutions, many partnerships remain operationally fragmented: joint curriculum design is frequently limited to advisory roles rather than co-development, enterprise mentors are underutilized in day-to-day instruction, and authentic project-based learning opportunities—especially those involving live data, proprietary software stacks, or cross-functional team workflows—are insufficiently embedded into core academic programs. Furthermore, structural constraints—including differing institutional timelines, intellectual property considerations, and resource allocation priorities—continue to limit the depth and sustainability of corporate engagement in talent development pathways. As a result, students often receive fragmented exposure to industry practices, which compromises the development of robust problem-solving competencies, contextual understanding of end-to-end intelligent production systems, and adaptive innovation capacity—key attributes essential for seamless transition into professional roles and long-term career advancement.

3.3. Insufficient Alignment between the Evaluation System and the Quality of Talent Cultivation

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence has fundamentally reshaped labor market expectations, yet prevailing frameworks for evaluating talent cultivation quality remain misaligned with the dynamic competencies required in practice. Conventional assessment approaches continue to prioritize rote knowledge acquisition and uniform skill verification through standardized tests, thereby underrepresenting critical dimensions essential for intelligent-era professionals. These include adaptive problem-solving, cross-domain integration of knowledge, contextual interpretation of complex data sets, ethically grounded decision-making under uncertainty, and effective co-design and interaction with AI systems across diverse application environments. This structural imbalance exerts a strong influence on pedagogical priorities: educational institutions, operating within evaluation-driven accountability systems, often allocate disproportionate curricular emphasis to easily quantifiable outcomes while underinvesting in experiential learning, open-ended project work, reflective practice, and collaborative innovation labs. Consequently, students' capacity to critically engage with emerging technologies, translate theoretical understanding into context-sensitive solutions, and demonstrate responsible technological agency remains inadequately nurtured and measured. Without robust, multidimensional assessment instruments that capture cognitive flexibility, socio-technical literacy, and real-world adaptive performance, teaching methodologies risk stagnation, and employers lack reliable, holistic indicators to identify candidates whose capabilities extend beyond narrow technical proficiency to encompass integrative judgment, ethical discernment, and sustainable innovation capacity.

3.4. There Is a Lag in the Development of Interdisciplinary Integration and an Innovative Ecosystem

As artificial intelligence technology continues to permeate diverse sectors—including manufacturing, healthcare, education, and public services—the development of vocational undergraduate education has progressed relatively slowly in fostering robust interdisciplinary integration and establishing a dynamic, open innovation ecosystem. In

many institutions, disciplinary boundaries remain pronounced, often reinforced by traditional administrative structures, siloed faculty appointments, and rigid credit-hour requirements that discourage curricular flexibility. Mechanisms for curriculum cross-disciplinary integration—such as shared capstone projects, joint course design, modular learning pathways, and transdisciplinary competency mapping—have not been systematically implemented or institutionalized. Similarly, formal frameworks for faculty sharing across departments, co-advising of student projects, and incentivized interdepartmental research collaboration remain underdeveloped. These structural limitations constrain students' opportunities to cultivate integrated knowledge structures, systems thinking, and adaptive problem-solving competencies essential for emerging hybrid occupations. Moreover, institutions frequently lack deliberate strategies to nurture an innovation-supportive culture—one that values iterative experimentation, constructive reflection on setbacks, and ethical risk-taking—thereby impeding the full realization of students' entrepreneurial mindset and creative capacity. Strengthening deeper, mission-aligned collaborative partnerships with external innovation partners—including national key laboratories, high-tech enterprises, industry alliances, and government-backed innovation incubators—is critical to expanding authentic learning contexts, enriching applied research experiences, and providing students with sustained access to real-world challenges, mentorship networks, and prototyping infrastructure.

4. Innovation and Practical Approaches to Vocational Undergraduate Education in the Context of Artificial Intelligence

4.1. Establish a Dynamically Adaptive Curriculum System and Optimize the Teaching Content Update Mechanism

Vocational undergraduate institutions must develop a responsive and forward-looking curriculum system capable of aligning closely with evolving industry requirements, moving beyond rigid academic scheduling frameworks. A modular curriculum architecture offers significant advantages in this context: by decomposing specialized courses into discrete yet logically integrated teaching units, institutions gain the flexibility to update, replace, or reconfigure content components rapidly as technological capabilities advance. This structural agility supports timely integration of emerging competencies—such as edge computing, digital twin modeling, and human-robot collaborative safety protocols—without necessitating wholesale course redesign. To ensure authenticity and relevance, sustained collaboration with industry leaders—including high-tech enterprises, intelligent equipment manufacturers, and industrial software providers—is essential. Such partnerships should extend beyond advisory roles to include co-development of syllabi, hands-on laboratory modules, and practice-oriented learning resources, thereby embedding real-world operational logic directly into pedagogical design. Furthermore, a formalized annual curriculum evaluation cycle—conducted jointly by faculty members and industry practitioners—enables systematic assessment of learning outcomes against current occupational standards, facilitating evidence-based decisions on content retirement, enhancement, or expansion. In the 'Intelligent Manufacturing Engineering Technology' program, for instance, instruction on industrial robot programming has been substantially enriched: rather than relying solely on preconfigured motion sequences, students now engage with vision-guided autonomous navigation tasks using convolutional neural networks trained on annotated production-line datasets. They deploy lightweight inference models on embedded controllers to execute real-time object classification and grasp planning for heterogeneous component sorting. Instructional scaffolding includes guided analysis of live data streams from automotive parts assembly lines, enabling learners to interpret sensor fusion outputs, diagnose latency bottlenecks, and propose optimization strategies. The accompanying renovation case study traces the end-to-end engineering workflow—from initial needs analysis and functional specification through simulation validation and hardware-in-the-loop testing—to system commissioning, reinforcing systems thinking and iterative

problem-solving [6–8]. As a culminating activity, student teams design, simulate, and document a scalable smart production cell prototype, integrating programmable logic controllers, IoT gateways, and cloud-based monitoring dashboards, thereby consolidating theoretical knowledge with applied technical judgment and strengthening the seamless linkage between academic training and frontline industrial practice.

4.2. Deepen the Integration of Industry and Education to Enhance Collaborative Talent Cultivation, and Improve the Effectiveness of Practical Teaching

Amid the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence technologies, vocational undergraduate education faces an urgent imperative to cultivate high-caliber technical professionals equipped with both theoretical depth and robust practical competencies. A central pillar of this transformation lies in deepening the integration of industry and education, advancing collaborative talent cultivation models, and significantly strengthening the pedagogical impact of practice-oriented instruction. Educational institutions must proactively establish sustained, structured partnerships with leading enterprises—particularly those at the forefront of AI application—and high-level scientific research institutes. These collaborations should jointly design, co-fund, and co-manage integrated training platforms, including on-campus intelligent laboratories and off-campus innovation hubs anchored in authentic industrial contexts such as smart manufacturing, intelligent healthcare systems, or AI-augmented creative industries. Such environments enable students to engage directly with state-of-the-art hardware, real-time data pipelines, and production-grade software frameworks, thereby bridging the experiential gap between classroom theory and workplace complexity. Complementing infrastructure development, modern apprenticeship systems must be institutionalized—not as ad hoc internships but as credit-bearing, competency-validated pathways featuring progressive responsibility escalation, formal mentorship contracts, and dual assessment by academic and industrial evaluators. Customized cohort-based training programs, co-developed with sector-specific employers, further ensure curricular responsiveness to evolving occupational profiles, including emerging roles like AI ethics compliance officer, edge-AI deployment specialist, or multimodal interface designer [9, 10]. To embed industry perspectives more fundamentally, schools should institutionalize corporate representation in academic advisory boards, curriculum review committees, and program accreditation panels—moving beyond consultation toward shared governance. Moreover, a comprehensive industry-academia-research-application (IARA) integration platform should be established to foster faculty-industry joint research on applied technological challenges, accelerate prototype-to-product translation, and systematically feed validated innovations back into course content, laboratory exercises, and capstone projects. For instance, within the 'Digital Media Technology' program, strategic alliances with AI-native studios specializing in generative media can yield an 'Intelligent Media Creation Laboratory' housing GPU-accelerated rendering clusters, motion-capture suites, and large-language-model fine-tuning workstations. Students undertake semester-long applied projects sourced from live industry briefs—such as developing adaptive narrative engines for educational simulations, implementing real-time style-transfer pipelines for broadcast graphics, or optimizing neural radiance fields for immersive training modules—all under co-supervision by practicing engineers and academic faculty. This holistic, embedded model cultivates not only technical mastery but also professional judgment, cross-functional communication, agile problem-solving, and ethical awareness—competencies essential for lifelong adaptability in dynamic technological ecosystems.

4.3. Improve Diversified Talent Evaluation Standards to Promote Continuous Improvement in Training Quality

Vocational undergraduate education must move beyond the traditional single-evaluation model and establish a multidimensional assessment system that comprehensively reflects students' overall competencies across cognitive, practical, and professional domains. When designing evaluation criteria, it is essential to integrate

theoretical knowledge assessment with practical skill evaluation, paying equal attention to both students' understanding of foundational concepts and their ability to apply such knowledge in authentic, context-rich scenarios. The organic integration of formative and summative assessments enables more precise tracking of students' developmental trajectories over time, supporting timely pedagogical interventions and personalized learning pathways. Evaluation data should be accumulated through multiple complementary dimensions—including daily project completion status, collaborative problem-solving performance, documentation rigor, iterative revision quality, responsiveness to feedback, and demonstration of adaptive thinking—thereby avoiding overreliance on isolated exam scores as the sole indicator of achievement. Corporate mentors and industry practitioners should be systematically integrated into the evaluation process, allowing professionals with frontline technical experience to conduct substantive, criterion-referenced assessments of students' occupational competencies, ensuring alignment between academic outcomes and workplace expectations. Taking the "Big Data Technology and Applications" program as an illustrative case, instructors can design comprehensive, semester-long project tasks requiring students to analyze real-world user behavior datasets from e-commerce platforms—starting with data acquisition and cleansing, progressing through exploratory analysis, feature engineering, model selection and validation, and culminating in interactive visualizations and actionable business insights [11]. Evaluations should assess not only the technical accuracy and coherence of final analytical reports but also students' data literacy, tool fluency across Python-based ecosystems (e.g., Pandas, Scikit-learn, Plotly), capacity for critical error diagnosis during pipeline execution, and ethical awareness in handling sensitive behavioral information. Industry partners' data analysts may participate in structured project defense sessions, offering discipline-specific improvement suggestions while incorporating scoring elements such as contribution to peer learning, adherence to software development best practices (e.g., version control, modular coding, documentation standards), and clarity in cross-functional communication. Furthermore, a sustained, longitudinal feedback mechanism should be institutionalized to collect structured input from employers regarding graduates' on-the-job performance, including task execution efficiency, learning agility, teamwork adaptability, and professional conduct. By systematically aggregating and analyzing this authentic workplace evaluation data, institutions can iteratively refine curriculum design, update learning objectives, recalibrate assessment rubrics, and enhance faculty development initiatives—thus fostering a responsive, evidence-informed cycle where evaluation directly informs instructional enhancement and ultimately strengthens educational quality and graduate employability.

4.4. Promote Interdisciplinary Integration and Development, and Foster an Open, Innovative Educational Environment

In response to the evolving demands of the artificial intelligence era for cultivating high-level technical professionals, vocational undergraduate education must proactively advance deep interdisciplinary integration while systematically nurturing an open, dynamic, and innovation-oriented educational ecosystem [12]. This entails fostering structured collaboration among faculty and students from diverse disciplinary domains—including agriculture, computer science, data analytics, electronics, and mechanical engineering—to jointly explore the multifaceted applications of AI technologies across real-world industrial sectors. Educational institutions are encouraged to establish robust multidisciplinary teaching and research platforms, such as integrated innovation laboratories, cross-disciplinary project studios, and applied technology co-creation centers, which serve not only as physical spaces but also as institutional mechanisms for sustained knowledge convergence and collaborative problem-solving. Cultivating a campus culture that values intellectual curiosity, supports iterative experimentation, and embraces constructive failure is essential; this requires institutional commitment through flexible curriculum design, adaptive assessment frameworks, dedicated innovation

funding, and mentorship programs grounded in practice-based learning. Strategic partnerships with leading technology enterprises, national and provincial research institutes, and regional innovation incubators further enrich the educational environment by facilitating the timely infusion of frontier technologies, authentic industry projects, and contemporary innovation methodologies into classroom instruction and extracurricular activities. These collaborations broaden students' professional horizons and provide them with immersive, context-embedded opportunities to engage in end-to-end innovation processes. For instance, within the 'Modern Agricultural Technology' program, pedagogical redesign integrates expertise from computer science and data science to co-develop curriculum modules centered on intelligent agricultural systems. In smart agriculture courses, learners apply drone-based remote sensing combined with machine learning techniques to monitor crop phenology, detect biotic and abiotic stressors, and generate predictive analytics for precision interventions. Such applications extend to developing automated irrigation scheduling systems, adaptive nutrient delivery protocols, and real-time pest surveillance tools—each requiring coordinated mastery of agronomic principles alongside competencies in image segmentation, neural network architecture design, sensor fusion, and embedded system deployment. Students participate directly in digital transformation initiatives at cooperative farms, progressing through full project lifecycles: from field data acquisition and annotation to model validation, edge-device integration, and performance evaluation under operational conditions—thereby strengthening their capacity for interdisciplinary synthesis, solution-oriented thinking, and socially responsible technological application.

5. Conclusion

In summary, the rapid advancement of intelligent technologies—including artificial intelligence, big data analytics, cloud computing, and the Internet of Things—is fundamentally reshaping the scope, purpose, and operational logic of vocational education. Vocational undergraduate institutions face an imperative to closely monitor evolving industry architectures, skill demand profiles, and occupational structures across sectors such as advanced manufacturing, digital services, green energy, and smart agriculture. To remain responsive and future-oriented, these institutions must recalibrate core academic functions: curriculum design must integrate modular, competency-based learning pathways that emphasize adaptive problem-solving, human-machine collaboration, and ethical technology use; practical teaching should leverage immersive simulation environments, industry-integrated labs, and real-world project cycles to deepen applied cognition; quality assessment frameworks need to move beyond summative metrics toward continuous, multi-source, and performance-anchored evaluation; and collaborative innovation mechanisms—spanning academia, enterprises, and professional associations—must be institutionalized to co-develop pedagogical resources, validate occupational standards, and co-supervise experiential learning. This holistic reconfiguration fosters a dynamic, permeable, and identity-rich talent development ecosystem. Such an ecosystem not only enhances graduate readiness for complex, technology-mediated work contexts but also strengthens systemic resilience and responsiveness in higher vocational education. Looking ahead, sustained progress will depend on synergistic advances in digital infrastructure, faculty digital pedagogical capacity, and policy-supported institutional autonomy—enabling vocational undergraduate education to fulfill its distinctive mandate in cultivating agile, ethically grounded, and technically proficient professionals for the intelligent era.

References

1. K. Hu and X. Li, "Research on industry-education integration and talents cultivation of vocational education empowering new quality productive forces," *J. High Vocat. Educ.*, vol. 1, pp. 211–218, 2024.
2. F. Prasetya et al., "Harnessing artificial intelligence to revolutionize vocational education: Emerging trends, challenges, and contributions to SDGs 2030," *Soc. Sci. Hum. Open*, vol. 11, p. 101401, 2025.

3. S. Bu, J. Chen, and J. Cai, "Research on the transformation of talent cultivation mode in suzhou vocational colleges driven by artificial intelligence," *Int. J. Educ. Dev.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 22–31, 2025.
4. A. Zary and N. Zary, "Artificial intelligence in technical and vocational education and training: Empirical evidence, implementation challenges, and future directions," *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 2025.
5. W. P. Henry and P. H. Winston, *Artificial Intelligence*. Boston, MA: Addison Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., 2004.
6. N. Azizah, I. Hanafi, and M. Yusro, "Artificial Intelligence in Vocational Education: Perspectives and Practices from a Literature Study," *Global Synthesis in Education J.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 37–44, 2025.
7. A. Barr, E. A. Feigenbaum, and P. R. Cohen, Eds., *The Handbook of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 1. Palo Alto, CA: HeurisTech Press, 1981.
8. E. B. Hunt, *Artificial Intelligence*. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press, 2014.
9. N. J. Nilsson, *Principles of Artificial Intelligence*. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann, 2014.
10. E. Charniak, *Introduction to Artificial Intelligence*. Noida, India: Pearson Education India, 1985.
11. M. Negnevitsky, *Artificial Intelligence: A Guide to Intelligent Systems*. Harlow, UK: Pearson Education, 2005.
12. N. J. Nilsson, *The Quest for Artificial Intelligence*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Publisher and/or the editor(s). Publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.