

Article

Research on the Innovation and Development Path of the Vocational Undergraduate Education System Enhanced by Artificial Intelligence

Qiujin Chen^{1,*}

¹ Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, China

* Correspondence: Qiujin Chen, Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, China

Abstract: Breakthrough advancements in artificial intelligence technology have triggered profound transformations in industrial structures and imposed new demands on the knowledge frameworks and competencies of high-level technical professionals. Under these circumstances, vocational undergraduate education urgently requires exploring effective pathways for developing intelligent educational models. This paper takes the positioning of vocational undergraduate education and its educational mission as starting points, analyzes the theoretical rationale and practical foundations of intelligent technology-assisted education, and identifies key challenges in current practices, including the disconnect between curriculum design and industry needs, insufficient integration of intelligent elements in practical teaching, limited dimensions in learning assessment, and superficial collaboration mechanisms between schools and enterprises. Based on this analysis, the study proposes an innovative development framework comprising four dimensions: curriculum restructuring, intelligent training environment development, diversified evaluation mechanisms, and optimized industry-education integration ecosystems. Specifically, the curriculum restructuring dimension advocates for the systematic incorporation of AI-related modules and interdisciplinary content to align program offerings with evolving industry requirements. The intelligent training environment development dimension emphasizes the deployment of smart laboratories, virtual simulation platforms, and data-driven instructional tools to enhance experiential learning outcomes. The diversified evaluation mechanisms dimension introduces multi-stakeholder assessment models that integrate real-time performance analytics and competency-based benchmarks. Finally, the optimized industry-education integration ecosystems dimension proposes deepened partnerships with technology enterprises to co-develop training standards and facilitate talent mobility. This approach aims to facilitate the integration of intelligent technologies with educational practices, drive systemic improvements in vocational undergraduate education models, and provide theoretical support along with actionable solutions for establishing high-quality vocational education systems that serve the innovation-driven development strategy.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; vocational education; curriculum design; industry-education integration; educational technology

Received: 01 January 2026

Revised: 12 February 2026

Accepted: 22 February 2026

Published: 01 March 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The current phase of technological advancement and industrial evolution is progressing at an accelerated pace, with artificial intelligence serving as a pivotal enabler of socioeconomic development. Innovations in AI have catalyzed the emergence and expansion of high-value sectors—including smart manufacturing systems, intelligent logistics networks, cognitive service platforms, and adaptive urban infrastructure—each characterized by deep integration of data analytics, automation, and human-machine collaboration [1, 2]. This structural transformation necessitates a fundamental recalibration of workforce capabilities: technical professionals are now expected to possess not only domain-specific expertise but also cross-disciplinary competencies in

algorithmic literacy, system integration, ethical AI deployment, and agile problem-solving. Within this context, vocational undergraduate education assumes a strategic role as a primary conduit for developing highly skilled practitioners aligned with next-generation industry standards. To fulfill this mission, institutions must systematically modernize curricula through evidence-informed pedagogical design, embed immersive digital learning environments—including simulation-based labs and industry-coordinated project modules—and strengthen articulation pathways between academic instruction and real-world operational contexts. Furthermore, robust quality assurance mechanisms, continuous faculty upskilling initiatives, and dynamic industry advisory frameworks are essential to sustain responsive, future-oriented talent development [3–5].

2. Essential Characteristics and Educational Objectives of Vocational Undergraduate Education

The essential characteristic of vocational undergraduate education lies in the deep integration of professionalism, applicability, and academic rigor. Distinct from traditional academic undergraduate programs—which emphasize broad theoretical foundations and disciplinary abstraction—this model bridges the gap between higher education and industry needs by systematically aligning curricular design, pedagogical methods, and assessment mechanisms with evolving technological paradigms and labor market demands. It moves beyond the narrow skill-acquisition focus typical of associate degree programs, instead cultivating high-level technical professionals who possess not only robust domain-specific knowledge but also analytical reasoning capacity, systems thinking, and adaptive problem-solving abilities. A defining feature is the seamless fusion of theoretical learning and authentic workplace practice: students engage in project-based learning, industry-coordinated internships, and applied research activities that simulate real-world engineering and service contexts. This dual emphasis ensures graduates can interpret technical specifications, diagnose multifaceted production issues, and implement evidence-informed solutions across dynamic operational environments. The educational objective is to develop interdisciplinary professionals equipped for leadership roles in high-value sectors such as intelligent manufacturing, digital services, green energy systems, and integrated logistics. These individuals demonstrate advanced technical application skills, rigorous engineering practice competence, proactive innovation and entrepreneurship orientation, and strong capacity for lifelong learning and professional growth. They are prepared to contribute meaningfully to technology development, production system optimization, quality assurance enhancement, and sustainable operations management—thereby supporting industrial modernization, regional economic upgrading, and the broader advancement of high-quality development strategies.

3. The Logical Starting Point for Empowering the Vocational Undergraduate Education System with Artificial Intelligence

The ongoing wave of industrial intelligence is fundamentally reconfiguring occupational structures across sectors, accelerating the obsolescence of conventional skill sets while simultaneously generating demand for novel competencies rooted in digital fluency and adaptive cognition. Emerging domains—including smart manufacturing systems integrated with real-time predictive analytics, platform-based digital economy ecosystems, and human-centered intelligent service architectures—are not merely adding new job titles but are redefining the epistemic foundations of professional practice. This structural shift necessitates a recalibration of vocational undergraduate education beyond technical proficiency alone, requiring deliberate cultivation of interdisciplinary capacities such as data literacy, algorithmic reasoning, ethical judgment in AI-augmented decision-making, and agile human-machine co-ordination frameworks. As a pivotal tier within China's diversified higher education system, vocational undergraduate education serves as a strategic conduit for producing high-caliber applied talents capable of bridging

theoretical knowledge and complex industrial implementation. Concurrently, educational digital transformation has been elevated to a national priority, with policy initiatives systematically promoting intelligent pedagogical infrastructure, adaptive learning platforms, and evidence-informed instructional design. From a foundational learning science perspective, contemporary constructivist and socio-cognitive theories underscore the centrality of learner agency, authentic task engagement, and contextual scaffolding—conditions that AI-enabled tools can robustly support through dynamic personalization, multimodal feedback loops, and immersive simulation environments. Furthermore, the inherently integrative character of vocational education—spanning engineering, management, design, and social sciences—demands continuous alignment with frontier technological paradigms. Artificial intelligence, as the principal catalyst of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, functions not only as a technical enabler but also as an epistemological mediator, facilitating tighter coupling between curricular design, workplace innovation cycles, and evolving industry competency standards. Its integration thus represents a systemic imperative rather than a discretionary enhancement, directly supporting the broader objectives of talent ecosystem modernization, lifelong learning readiness, and sustainable socioeconomic resilience.

4. Current Status and Challenges of the Vocational Undergraduate Education System in the Era of Artificial Intelligence

4.1. The Curriculum System and Teaching Content Lag Behind in Iteration, Failing to Adequately Adapt to Industrial Transformations

The curriculum update mechanisms at vocational undergraduate institutions frequently encounter procedural inertia, with multi-layered administrative reviews and rigid academic governance structures significantly delaying responsiveness to rapid technological evolution. As artificial intelligence advances across domains—including machine learning deployment in industrial automation, natural language processing in service platforms, and computer vision applications in quality control—many programs continue to rely on legacy course modules that lack timely integration of these innovations. Although institutional leadership increasingly acknowledges the strategic imperative of curricular modernization, implementation often manifests as incremental additions—such as isolated elective courses or short-term workshops—rather than holistic redesign grounded in competency-based frameworks aligned with Industry 4.0 requirements. Pedagogical emphasis remains disproportionately weighted toward foundational theory, while insufficient attention is devoted to applied problem-solving, cross-domain synthesis (e.g., merging AI literacy with mechanical engineering principles or digital marketing analytics), and authentic, industry-coordinated project work. Consequently, graduates frequently exhibit competence gaps in deploying AI tools within real-world operational contexts, limiting their adaptability in high-value roles across smart manufacturing ecosystems, intelligent logistics networks, and data-driven public service platforms [6, 7]. This misalignment not only constrains individual career mobility but also impedes broader socioeconomic upgrading through skilled human capital formation [8, 9].

4.2. The Practical Teaching Component Lacks Sufficient Intelligence, Making It Difficult to Support Advanced Skills

Practical training constitutes a vital component of vocational undergraduate education, serving as the primary conduit through which theoretical knowledge is translated into professional competence and applied innovation. However, its intelligent transformation remains uneven and insufficiently integrated across institutions, necessitating systematic enhancement to align with evolving industrial demands and technological paradigms. Most institutions continue to rely on conventional, instructor-led laboratory exercises and fixed-scenario workshops, where artificial intelligence technologies—such as adaptive learning engines, real-time performance analytics, and AI-augmented feedback systems—are either absent or deployed in isolated pilot settings.

The development and deployment of high-fidelity virtual simulation platforms capable of replicating dynamic, multi-variable industrial environments remain constrained by infrastructure limitations, pedagogical design capacity, and interoperability challenges among hardware-software ecosystems. Furthermore, configuration standards for intelligent training systems—including sensor-integrated workstations, cloud-based collaborative labs, and context-aware assessment tools—lack national harmonization, resulting in fragmented student experiences and inconsistent skill acquisition trajectories. During hands-on sessions, the absence of robust, real-time monitoring mechanisms impedes timely, granular, and personalized instructional intervention; instructors often cannot track individual operational sequences, decision-making patterns, or error propagation pathways with sufficient resolution. Consequently, students receive generalized feedback rather than targeted scaffolding, significantly constraining the cultivation of higher-order competencies—especially those demanding iterative refinement, cross-domain integration, and autonomous problem formulation—such as intelligent system architecture design, contextualized data interpretation, and agile solution prototyping within uncertain operational conditions.

4.3. The Learning Evaluation System Is Relatively Simplistic, Making It Difficult to Comprehensively Assess Overall Competencies

Contemporary learning evaluation practices in vocational undergraduate education continue to depend heavily on conventional paper-and-pencil examinations and standardized skill-based assessments, which prioritize quantifiable outcomes over holistic developmental indicators. This entrenched assessment paradigm struggles to accommodate the rapidly evolving pedagogical requirements introduced by artificial intelligence applications in educational settings. While such methods effectively measure declarative knowledge retention and procedural task execution, they systematically underrepresent critical dimensions of professional competence—including adaptive reasoning, collaborative decision-making in distributed digital teams, metacognitive regulation during open-ended problem solving, and ethical judgment in AI-augmented workflows. Moreover, the integration of intelligent assessment technologies—such as learning analytics dashboards, automated formative feedback systems, and multimodal behavioral tracking—remains fragmented and inconsistently implemented across institutions. Technical infrastructure gaps persist in real-time learning process monitoring, longitudinal profiling of non-cognitive attributes (e.g., resilience, initiative, cross-cultural communication fluency), and granular differentiation of learner trajectories based on contextualized performance patterns. Consequently, assessment data often lacks sufficient granularity and temporal depth to inform responsive instructional design, impeding evidence-informed curriculum refinement and personalized academic support [10]. Without a more nuanced, multi-layered, and context-sensitive evaluation architecture, it becomes increasingly challenging to cultivate technically proficient, socially responsible, and intellectually agile professionals capable of thriving in complex, human-AI hybrid work environments.

4.4. The Integration Mechanism between Industry and Education Remains Insufficiently Robust, Hindering the Establishment of Collaborative Talent Cultivation

As a hallmark of vocational education, school-enterprise collaboration continues to face implementation challenges that constrain its transformative potential in talent development. While formal partnerships are increasingly common, their operational depth remains uneven and often transactional rather than strategic. Most collaborations prioritize short-term, low-investment activities—such as internship coordination, campus recruitment fairs, and basic employment data exchange—rather than co-designing pedagogical frameworks aligned with evolving industrial paradigms. Crucially, enterprises seldom assume substantive roles in curriculum standardization, modular course design, competency-based assessment development, or the co-creation of teaching resources grounded in authentic production environments. Structural barriers—including misaligned institutional incentives, divergent evaluation systems, insufficient

policy scaffolding for long-term engagement, and limited capacity for joint R&D in applied pedagogy—further impede systemic integration. Without stable, multi-year collaborative platforms supported by clear governance protocols and interoperable digital infrastructure, it becomes difficult to embed real-time industry feedback loops, integrate live project-based learning, or systematically update instructional content with emerging intelligent manufacturing protocols, AI-driven service workflows, and green technology standards. Consequently, students frequently encounter simulated or outdated technical contexts, weakening their readiness for complex, adaptive workplace problem-solving. Advancing toward a truly symbiotic industry-education ecosystem necessitates institutional innovation in shared governance models, scalable digital collaboration tools, and equitable benefit-sharing arrangements that recognize both educational mission and enterprise competitiveness.

5. Innovation Pathways for the Development of Vocational Undergraduate Education Systems Enhanced by Artificial Intelligence

5.1. Optimize the Curriculum System and Reshape the Knowledge Structure and Competency Framework

As the industrial transformation towards digitalization continues to advance, vocational undergraduate institutions must undertake comprehensive and systematic restructuring of their existing curriculum frameworks to ensure alignment with evolving socioeconomic demands and technological frontiers. The first step involves establishing a dynamic, data-driven industry demand monitoring mechanism grounded in longitudinal labor market analytics, sectoral technology adoption surveys, and real-time occupational classification updates. This mechanism enables institutions to conduct periodic, multi-method industry research—including employer interviews, occupational task analysis, and competency mapping—thereby identifying emerging skill clusters such as intelligent process optimization, human-AI collaborative decision-making, and ethical AI deployment in domain-specific contexts. Regarding curriculum architecture, a three-tiered progressive structure should be adopted: "AI fundamentals + specialized technical applications + intelligent scenario implementation." This framework ensures students acquire foundational knowledge in data analysis, algorithmic logic, and intelligent decision-making while cultivating their ability to apply smart technologies to solve real-world problems in specific industries. Course content selection should transcend traditional disciplinary boundaries by incorporating cutting-edge modules such as machine learning principles, data visualization, smart sensing technologies, and responsible AI governance, integrating them deeply with core knowledge from fields like accounting, logistics, manufacturing, healthcare services, and green energy systems. Teaching methodologies should combine project-based learning with case studies, simulation-based pedagogy, and cross-functional team collaboration to help students develop integrated knowledge frameworks within authentic, context-rich problem contexts. For instance, in teaching "Intelligent Financial Management," instructors can design corporate financial forecasting projects that simulate real-world business scenarios. This program guides students to utilize Python for historical data cleansing, employ time series algorithms to build predictive models, and ultimately generate visualized decision reports using interactive dashboards. The entire process seamlessly integrates programming skills, financial analysis, domain-specific regulatory awareness, and the application of intelligent tools under realistic constraints including data uncertainty, stakeholder communication requirements, and compliance considerations. Additionally, a dynamic course content update mechanism can be established by involving industry technical experts, corporate engineers, professional association representatives, and alumni practitioners in the curriculum development committee, which conducts biannual reviews and iterative revisions of teaching syllabi, assessment rubrics, and practical training projects to ensure instructional content remains aligned with the latest advancements in industrial technology, pedagogical innovation, and national vocational

qualification standards, thereby fostering students' capacity for continuous learning, adaptive expertise, and knowledge transfer across rapidly changing occupational landscapes.

5.2. Innovating Practical Teaching Methods to Establish Intelligent Simulation and Virtual Training Systems

The intelligent transformation of practical education necessitates a paradigm shift toward virtual simulation-centered training models that align with the evolving demands of modern industry. Educational institutions are encouraged to systematically develop highly interactive, pedagogically grounded virtual simulation platforms leveraging digital twin technology. These platforms must accurately replicate not only the physical configurations but also the dynamic behavioral characteristics of complex production processes and technical scenarios drawn from real-world industrial settings—such as automotive assembly lines, smart grid operations, or semiconductor fabrication environments. This fidelity enables learners to conduct repeated operational practice, structured trial-and-error experimentation, iterative solution refinement, and scenario-based decision-making—all within safe, scalable, and resource-efficient virtual spaces [11, 12]. Consequently, training effectiveness is significantly enhanced while simultaneously reducing material consumption, equipment wear, safety risks, and logistical overhead. The synergistic integration of augmented reality and virtual reality technologies further extends pedagogical reach by transcending geographical and infrastructural constraints, allowing students to engage in deeply immersive, multisensory learning experiences using standardized hardware interfaces. Within these environments, learners progress seamlessly from foundational conceptual understanding to advanced applied competencies through guided interaction with high-fidelity virtual equipment, procedural simulations, and adaptive scenario branching. Complementing this, integrated smart monitoring systems continuously capture granular behavioral data—including task execution sequences, response latency, error typology, decision pathways, and cognitive engagement metrics—enabling algorithmic analysis that generates actionable, learner-specific diagnostic reports and evidence-informed improvement recommendations. As an illustrative implementation, the 'New Energy Vehicle Engineering Technology' curriculum can incorporate a dedicated smart virtual training platform for power battery inspection and maintenance, wherein students perform realistic 3D visualization-based tasks such as modular battery pack disassembly, individual cell electrochemical performance testing, thermal management system diagnostics, and fault code interpretation and resolution. Real-time multimodal feedback—assessing both procedural accuracy and operational efficiency—is delivered instantaneously, coupled with dynamically recommended micro-lecture modules, annotated procedural guides, and contextualized knowledge scaffolds targeting identified competency gaps. Furthermore, on-campus intelligent training facilities should be equipped with industry-grade hardware—including collaborative industrial robots, networked smart sensors, programmable logic controllers, and reconfigurable automated production lines—to establish authentic smart manufacturing workstations. Under dual mentorship from academic faculty and certified industry practitioners, students undertake progressively complex project-based assignments involving equipment calibration, real-time data acquisition, embedded software development, human-machine interface design, and end-to-end system integration, thereby cultivating robust, transferable practical expertise directly responsive to the technical requirements of intelligent industry ecosystems.

5.3. Reform the Evaluation Model and Establish a Comprehensive, Intelligent, and Diversified Assessment Mechanism

Developing an intelligent, multidimensional learning assessment model requires a systematic reconfiguration of conventional evaluation paradigms, moving decisively beyond reliance on isolated summative judgments. This entails embedding artificial intelligence technologies across the full lifecycle of assessment—from initial diagnostic

profiling through ongoing formative monitoring to culminating integrative evaluation. A robust formative evaluation framework must be implemented, encompassing all phases of student engagement: pre-class preparation, in-class participation, collaborative task execution, and post-class reflection. Learning analytics platforms can continuously capture granular behavioral data—including time-on-task distribution, navigation patterns within digital learning environments, response latency in interactive quizzes, frequency and depth of forum contributions, and temporal progression in skill acquisition—enabling dynamic modeling of individual learning pathways. These models generate interpretable visual dashboards that illustrate not only knowledge acquisition trajectories but also metacognitive growth, self-regulation development, and adaptive learning behaviors. Evaluation criteria must extend well beyond factual recall or procedural fluency; they should explicitly incorporate higher-order cognitive and interpersonal competencies such as critical analysis, creative ideation, cross-functional teamwork, ethical reasoning in complex scenarios, and adaptive problem-solving under uncertainty. Natural language processing algorithms, trained on discipline-specific corpora, can perform layered semantic and pragmatic analysis of open-ended student outputs—including reflective journals, design briefs, case study analyses, and multimedia project documentation—to evaluate conceptual coherence, argumentative rigor, domain-specific vocabulary usage, and originality of insight [13–15]. An adaptive feedback engine synthesizes multimodal evidence—automated scoring, peer annotations, instructor commentary, and longitudinal performance trends—to deliver timely, context-sensitive, and pedagogically grounded recommendations. In practice, within courses such as "Network and New Media Technologies," smart platforms track iterative stages of short video production: ideation quality, narrative structure coherence, technical execution fidelity (e.g., frame rate consistency, audio synchronization), visual composition effectiveness, and rhetorical impact assessment. Phased evaluations trigger just-in-time resource curation—suggesting targeted micro-modules, exemplar analyses, or scaffolded revision prompts—while seamlessly integrating calibrated peer assessments and expert moderation. Furthermore, a secure, decentralized digital credentialing infrastructure leverages cryptographic ledger principles to immutably record verified learning artifacts—including micro-credentials, capstone project validations, competition awards, internship reflections, and community engagement portfolios—across formal, informal, and experiential learning contexts. This comprehensive, intelligent, and diversified assessment mechanism fundamentally transforms evaluation from a static endpoint into a continuous developmental catalyst, generating rich, actionable insights that inform both personalized learner support and evidence-based curriculum refinement.

5.4. Deepen the Integration of Industry and Education to Establish a Collaborative Talent Development and Innovation Ecosystem

Promoting the establishment of long-term, stable strategic partnerships between vocational undergraduate institutions and leading enterprises as well as research institutions in the artificial intelligence field constitutes a foundational step toward systemic educational reform. Such collaborations facilitate the co-creation of substantive cooperation platforms—including industry-academia colleges, joint technology innovation centers, and digital competency laboratories—designed to synergize corporate technical infrastructure, real-world project portfolios, and institutional pedagogical frameworks. Within talent cultivation program development, interdisciplinary working groups composed of enterprise technical experts and academic faculty collaboratively design curriculum standards and teaching syllabi grounded in validated industry competency frameworks, thereby ensuring rigorous alignment between learning outcomes and evolving occupational requirements [16]. The institution implements a dual-campus-enterprise collaborative education model, appointing qualified corporate engineers and data scientists as part-time mentors who operate within a formally structured 'dual-mentor system' alongside full-time faculty. This arrangement enables sustained, context-embedded guidance for students engaged in authentic technical

projects hosted within partner enterprises. For instance, in the 'Big Data and Financial Management' course, instructors collaborate with certified public accounting firms and regulated fintech organizations to integrate operational smart financial systems—such as automated reconciliation engines and AI-driven audit analytics platforms—into classroom instruction. Students gain hands-on experience applying supervised and unsupervised machine learning algorithms for financial forecasting, anomaly detection in transactional datasets, credit risk scoring, and prescriptive decision support—all within anonymized yet operationally representative data environments. Industry mentors provide continuous feedback on business logic, regulatory compliance considerations, and domain-specific validation protocols. Furthermore, an 'order-specific' talent development mechanism is institutionalized, enabling dynamic curriculum adaptation through direct consultation with corporate human resource departments and technical leadership teams; this ensures that training pathways reflect current staffing pipelines, emerging role definitions, and enterprise-specific quality assurance benchmarks. A university-enterprise collaborative innovation platform is also systematically developed to support student-led, faculty-industry co-supervised interdisciplinary research initiatives—spanning areas such as explainable AI for financial transparency, federated learning in cross-institutional banking data ecosystems, and ethical algorithm auditing—where prototypes undergo iterative testing, refinement, and deployment within campus-based incubators and sandboxed enterprise environments.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, integrating artificial intelligence into talent development frameworks within vocational undergraduate education represents a strategic and forward-looking response to evolving technological landscapes and shifting labor market demands. This integration necessitates coordinated enhancements across several interdependent domains: curriculum design must evolve to embed AI-augmented learning pathways, real-world application scenarios, and adaptive skill scaffolding; pedagogical practice should prioritize experiential, project-based, and simulation-driven instruction that mirrors authentic workplace complexity; assessment methodologies require recalibration toward competency-based, formative, and multimodal evaluation strategies that capture nuanced learning outcomes beyond standardized metrics; industry-education alignment must deepen through sustained co-design of learning objectives, shared infrastructure, joint faculty development, and iterative feedback loops grounded in occupational analytics; and learner support systems need expansion to include intelligent tutoring, personalized academic advising, career navigation tools, and inclusive accessibility features. Collectively, these enhancements foster an educational ecosystem characterized by responsiveness, individualization, transparency, and openness. Sustained progress hinges on advancing foundational research into AI's pedagogical efficacy in applied learning contexts, refining implementation protocols for diverse institutional settings, optimizing resource deployment—including computational infrastructure, educator training, and data governance frameworks—and cultivating cross-sectoral partnerships that ensure technological adoption remains ethically grounded, pedagogically sound, and socially equitable. Such efforts collectively strengthen the relevance, resilience, and quality of vocational undergraduate education.

References

1. F. Prasetya et al., "Harnessing artificial intelligence to revolutionize vocational education: Emerging trends, challenges, and contributions to SDGs 2030," *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, vol. 11, p. 101401, 2025.
2. F. Chen, Z. Zeng, Y. Liu, X. Lin, and Z. Bao, "Research on the Talent Cultivating Model of Integrated Vocational and Undergraduate Education," in **3rd International Conference on Internet, Education and Information Technology (IEIT 2023)**, pp. 1148–1154, Atlantis Press, Sep. 2023.
3. K. Hu and X. Li, "Research on industry-education integration and talents cultivation of vocational education empowering new quality productive forces," *J High Vocat Educ*, vol. 1, pp. 211–218, 2024.

4. X. Ma, M. Chen, and J. Diao, "Pathways and outcomes of digital transformation in Chinese vocational colleges," *Vocation, Technology & Education*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2025.
5. F. Zeng, Z. Wang, Y. Wang, and M. Li, "Realistic Dilemma and Innovation Path of Undergraduate Ideological and Political Course of Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Vocational College," in *China Simulation Conference*, pp. 350–366, Springer Nature Singapore, Oct. 2025.
6. W. P. Henry and P. H. Winston, *Artificial Intelligence*. Boston, MA: Addison Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., 2004.
7. N. Azizah, I. Hanafi, and M. Yusro, "Artificial Intelligence in Vocational Education: Perspectives and Practices from a Literature Study," *Global Synthesis in Education Journal*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 37–44, 2025.
8. A. Barr, E. A. Feigenbaum, and P. R. Cohen, Eds., *The Handbook of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 1. Palo Alto, CA: HeurisTech Press, 1981.
9. N. J. Nilsson, *Principles of Artificial Intelligence*. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann, 2014.
10. E. B. Hunt, *Artificial Intelligence*. New York, NY: Academic Press, 2014.
11. M. Negnevitsky, *Artificial Intelligence: A Guide to Intelligent Systems*. Harlow, UK: Pearson Education, 2005.
12. E. Charniak, *Introduction to Artificial Intelligence*. Delhi, India: Pearson Education India, 1985.
13. N. J. Nilsson, *The Quest for Artificial Intelligence*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2009.
14. W. Ertel, *Introduction to Artificial Intelligence*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature, 2024.
15. J. Haugeland, *Artificial Intelligence: The Very Idea*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1989.
16. E. Brynjolfsson and A. McAfee, "The business of artificial intelligence," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–2, 2017.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Publisher and/or the editor(s). Publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.