

Article

Research on the Practical Pathways for Integrating Chinas Outstanding Traditional Culture into Ideological and Political Education in Higher Education Institutions under the Digital Context

Hongwei Tao^{1,*} and Dongyu Jiang¹

¹ Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, China

* Correspondence: Hongwei Tao, Hainan Vocational University of Science and Technology, Haikou, China

Abstract: Promoting the deep integration of Chinas outstanding traditional culture into ideological and political education in higher education institutions serves as a crucial mechanism for implementing the Two Integrations, fulfilling the fundamental task of fostering virtue through education, and cultivating cultural confidence among young people. The ongoing digital transformation is fundamentally reshaping the modes of cultural transmission and the ways in which young people perceive and engage with the world, thereby opening up entirely new possibilities for empowering ideological and political education with the rich resources of traditional culture. However, the current integration process still faces several practical challenges, including insufficient content transformation, superficial application of digital technologies, fragmented educational scenarios, and outdated evaluation systems that fail to capture the holistic impact of cultural education. Within the context of digital development, higher education institutions should prioritize value-oriented guidance, leverage digital technologies to build an integrated cultural resource platform, innovate classroom teaching paradigms, expand educational scenarios that integrate virtual and real-world elements, strengthen interdisciplinary faculty teams, and refine the comprehensive evaluation mechanism for holistic education. By doing so, institutions can establish a practical system where content, carriers, scenarios, faculty, and support mechanisms work in synergy to ensure that Chinas outstanding traditional culture truly takes root in the minds and hearts of students, while exploring practical pathways to continuously enhance the affinity and effectiveness of ideological and political education in higher education institutions.

Keywords: digitalization; traditional Chinese culture; ideological and political education; higher education; cultural confidence

Received: 01 February 2026

Revised: 13 February 2026

Accepted: 25 February 2026

Published: 01 March 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

China's excellent traditional culture constitutes a vital spiritual foundation for the Chinese nation, embodying time-honored principles of personal moral cultivation, deep-rooted familial and collective consciousness, and enduring ethical frameworks. These cultural resources offer substantial pedagogical value for ideological and political education in higher education institutions, enriching curricular content with historical depth, philosophical resonance, and affective authenticity. In contemporary educational contexts, aligning the foundational insights of Marxist theory with the wisdom embedded in China's traditional heritage necessitates a dynamic, context-sensitive approach—one that activates the relevance of classical thought for present-day learners [1]. This alignment is not merely additive but integrative, requiring thoughtful reinterpretation and adaptive application rather than static reproduction. Concurrently, rapid advancements in digital infrastructure—including big data analytics, artificial intelligence systems, immersive virtual and augmented reality environments, and algorithmically

curated short-form multimedia—have fundamentally reshaped learning ecologies. Today's university students, having grown up immersed in digitally mediated environments, demonstrate strong preferences for learning experiences characterized by brevity, contextual embedding, multimodal engagement, and participatory interaction. Consequently, conventional instructional methods centered on unidirectional delivery or dense textual exposition increasingly fail to align with prevailing cognitive patterns, attentional rhythms, and motivational structures among this demographic.

Digital technologies have significantly expanded the modes through which cultural content can be accessed, interpreted, and internalized—transcending physical and temporal constraints that previously limited exposure to classical texts, archaeological artifacts, intangible heritage practices, and philosophical traditions [2]. Through digitization, annotation, 3D reconstruction, interactive simulation, and adaptive narrative design, abstract or historically distant cultural concepts acquire tangible, multisensory, and learner-centered dimensions. Such transformations equip educators with versatile tools to scaffold meaning-making, foster reflective engagement, and support experiential knowledge construction within ideological and political education. Nevertheless, empirical observations from classroom implementation reveal persistent challenges in realizing pedagogically sound integration. Two recurring patterns hinder effectiveness: first, the mechanical insertion of traditional cultural elements into digital courseware without curricular coherence or conceptual scaffolding—resulting in disjointed, decorative, or contextually isolated content; second, an overreliance on technological spectacle—where high-fidelity visuals, immersive interfaces, or gamified mechanics dominate instructional design at the expense of substantive interpretive guidance, critical reflection, and value-oriented dialogue. These tendencies risk reducing cultural transmission to aesthetic performance rather than intellectual and ethical formation. Therefore, developing a theoretically grounded, empirically informed framework—clarifying how digital modalities can authentically mediate cultural meaning, identifying systemic barriers across institutional, pedagogical, and technological domains, and articulating a coherent, scalable, and faculty-supportive implementation strategy—has become an essential priority for advancing the quality and impact of ideological and political education in higher education settings.

2. The Value and Significance of Integrating China's Outstanding Traditional Culture into Ideological and Political Education in Higher Education Institutions under the Digital Context

2.1. Enriching the Content Offerings of Ideological and Political Education and Consolidating the Educational Foundation of the "Two Integrations"

China's outstanding traditional culture embodies enduring ethical and philosophical values—including the principle that 'the people are the foundation of the state,' the vision of 'universal harmony,' the spirit of 'continuous self-improvement,' the virtue of 'great moral capacity to embrace all things,' and the foundational norm of 'honesty and trustworthiness.' These concepts constitute a rich reservoir of indigenous discursive resources that meaningfully inform education on ideals and beliefs, patriotic consciousness, and moral and legal literacy. Within the digital context, advanced information technologies—such as semantic annotation, knowledge graph construction, and multimedia archiving—enable the systematic digitization, classification, and contextualization of classical texts, regional folk intangible cultural heritage, and historically grounded narratives. By establishing precise conceptual mappings between the core philosophical tenets of traditional culture and the thematic modules of ideological and political courses, digital platforms facilitate the transformation of fragmented cultural materials into coherent, pedagogically structured knowledge systems. This process not only mitigates the longstanding scarcity of localized, culturally resonant teaching content in ideological and political education but also strengthens students' epistemological grounding by illuminating how Marxist theory has organically integrated with China's

historical and civilizational soil. Furthermore, interactive digital interfaces—such as annotated e-texts, virtual museum exhibitions, and scenario-based learning simulations—enhance cognitive engagement, foster affective identification, and support the internalization of values through experiential and multimodal learning pathways [3].

2.2. Innovating the Discourse Paradigm of Ideological and Political Education to Align with Young People's Digital Cognitive Habits

Traditional ideological and political education classes often rely heavily on theoretical exposition and extensive citation of classical texts, resulting in a formal, didactic discourse style that may inadvertently reinforce psychological distance between educators and students. In contrast, digital media environments offer robust affordances for the creative transformation and innovative development of cultural and ideological content. Through short-form video narratives, immersive virtual environments, interactive mini-programs, and other digitally native formats, abstract values and normative concepts can be rendered into accessible, experiential, and story-driven representations tailored to the cognitive patterns, attention rhythms, and participatory expectations of contemporary youth. These platforms inherently support synchronous and asynchronous two-way interaction—enabling real-time feedback, peer-mediated knowledge co-construction, and teacher-facilitated online discussion forums. Consequently, the pedagogical model evolves from unidirectional transmission toward dialogic engagement grounded in mutual respect and shared inquiry [4]. This shift not only strengthens affective resonance and conceptual retention but also cultivates critical reflection, ethical reasoning, and civic agency in ways aligned with the epistemic habits fostered by digital literacy. Moreover, algorithmically responsive interfaces allow for adaptive scaffolding of complex ideological concepts, further enhancing accessibility without compromising conceptual rigor.

2.3. Expanding the Boundaries of the Educational Ecosystem and Establishing a Comprehensive, Collaborative Education Framework Across All Sectors

Traditional cultural education has historically been constrained to formal institutional settings, primarily classrooms and campus-based lectures, limiting accessibility, temporal flexibility, and experiential depth. The ongoing digital transformation fundamentally reconfigures this landscape by dissolving rigid boundaries between online and offline modalities, as well as between on-campus academic instruction and off-campus sociocultural contexts. Through the strategic integration of digital museums with rich multimedia archives, interactive online educational platforms featuring adaptive learning pathways, and participatory new media ecosystems—including curated social learning communities and augmented reality cultural applications—a truly continuous, context-aware, and spatially unbounded learning environment emerges. This infrastructure supports asynchronous, self-paced, and location-independent engagement, empowering learners to access culturally grounded content at any time and from diverse physical or virtual locations. Consequently, the distinction between the 'primary classroom'—defined by curriculum-driven instruction—and the 'secondary classroom'—comprising informal, community-embedded, and digitally mediated learning—becomes increasingly porous and synergistic. Such convergence fosters dynamic reciprocity between formal pedagogy and real-world cultural practice, enabling a cohesive, vertically integrated educational chain that coherently links structured classroom instruction, immersive digital exploration, and authentic social-cultural participation.

2.4. Supporting the Cultivation of Young People's Cultural Confidence and Resisting the Impact of Diverse Ideological Trends

The digital environment hosts a complex interplay of social ideologies, some of which challenge foundational historical understandings and cultural values. Historical and cultural nihilist tendencies—characterized by the distortion or denial of established historical facts and the devaluation of traditional cultural achievements—pose particular

risks to the ideological development of youth. Digital technologies offer powerful tools for presenting Chinese cultural heritage in dynamic, immersive, and accessible formats, enabling richer engagement with historical continuity, philosophical traditions, artistic expressions, and intangible cultural practices. Through well-designed digital platforms—including interactive timelines, 3D reconstructions of heritage sites, annotated classical texts, and multilingual multimedia resources—young learners can develop deeper appreciation for the coherence, resilience, and innovation embedded in Chinese civilization. Such approaches support the formation of stable value orientations, foster critical thinking skills, and equip students with analytical frameworks to evaluate competing narratives. Emphasis is placed on evidence-based interpretation, contextual understanding, and respectful dialogue across cultural perspectives, thereby reinforcing constructive identity formation without ideological imposition.

3. The Practical Challenges in Integrating China's Outstanding Traditional Culture into Ideological and Political Education in Higher Education Institutions from a Digital Perspective

3.1. The Digitalization of Cultural Resources Is Fragmented, Lacking a Systematic Approach to Pedagogical Transformation

Currently, a large number of digital representations of traditional cultural content are dispersed across diverse public platforms—including museum archives, educational portals, and multimedia repositories—yet higher education institutions have not systematically developed centralized, pedagogically structured digital resource banks specifically designed for ideological and political education. This dispersion results in significant fragmentation: resources lack coherent organization by curriculum framework, thematic learning objectives, or developmental progression aligned with undergraduate course structures. For instance, materials intended to support foundational courses in ethics, civic consciousness, and social responsibility remain uncategorized according to conceptual units such as moral cultivation, historical consciousness, or collective identity formation. Moreover, many existing digital artifacts prioritize aesthetic presentation—such as high-resolution calligraphy scans or animated folk opera excerpts—without integrating interpretive scaffolding, contextual annotations, or adaptable classroom implementation guides. Consequently, instructors face substantial barriers in selecting, adapting, and embedding these resources meaningfully into lesson plans. The absence of standardized evaluation criteria further compounds the challenge: content selection often occurs *ad hoc*, without rigorous alignment to educational goals, epistemological coherence, or age-appropriate cognitive demands. Without deliberate curricular mediation, traditional cultural elements risk being treated as decorative artifacts rather than living pedagogical tools capable of fostering reflective engagement, critical cultural literacy, and value-integrated learning outcomes.

3.2. The Application of Digital Technologies Has Become Superficial, Characterized by a Formalist Approach to Integration

Many ideological and political education teachers hold a narrow interpretation of digital integration, often equating it solely with the use of conventional presentation tools such as PowerPoint slides and brief video clips. This limited perspective overlooks the pedagogical potential embedded in more advanced digital technologies—including virtual reality, artificial intelligence-driven adaptive learning systems, and immersive simulation environments. In practice, applications of these emerging tools frequently remain confined to passive observation or superficial experiential exposure, without progressing to structured phases of critical analysis, guided reflection, or co-constructive knowledge development. Similarly, most online learning platforms function primarily as static repositories for document uploads rather than dynamic, pedagogically scaffolded spaces; they lack embedded formative assessments, collaborative annotation features, sequenced interactive tasks, and real-time feedback mechanisms that support deep cognitive engagement [4, 5]. Consequently, the deployment of digital resources seldom

aligns with the core mission of value-oriented education—namely, fostering reflective judgment, ethical reasoning, and coherent worldview formation. As a result, students may experience heightened sensory stimulation but insufficient opportunities for sustained ideological contemplation, leading to fragmented understanding rather than integrated conceptual synthesis between traditional cultural values and contemporary ideological-political frameworks. This gap impedes the cultivation of authentic value identification and long-term civic commitment.

3.3. Educational Scenarios Are Fragmented from One Another, with Insufficient Synergy between Online and Offline Platforms

A fundamental challenge in contemporary ideological and political education lies in the structural fragmentation across educational scenarios, resulting in weak integration and limited functional synergy among classroom instruction, online learning platforms, and off-campus experiential activities. Although digital resources related to traditional Chinese culture are increasingly incorporated into face-to-face teaching, these resources seldom extend into structured post-class online assignments that reinforce conceptual understanding or encourage reflective application. Similarly, while social media accounts and official educational portals regularly publish content on traditional culture, such materials often lack deliberate alignment with the core knowledge points, pedagogical objectives, and cognitive demands of the ideological and political curriculum—leading to superficial exposure rather than deep learning. Furthermore, offline cultural immersion activities—such as museum visits, intangible cultural heritage workshops, or community-based field investigations—are frequently conducted without preparatory digital scaffolding, such as pre-activity multimedia primers or guided inquiry modules, and rarely incorporate systematic online follow-up mechanisms like moderated discussion forums, collaborative reflection journals, or formative assessment tools [6, 7]. Consequently, virtual and physical learning environments remain operationally disconnected, undermining the development of a coherent, iterative, and scaffolded educational cycle essential for advancing cognitive complexity, sustaining affective engagement, and enabling authentic behavioral transfer.

3.4. Ideological and Political Education Teachers Exhibit Gaps in Digital Cultural Literacy and Face a Shortage of Interdisciplinary Talents

Promoting effective digital integration in ideological and political education necessitates a comprehensive competency framework that harmonizes traditional cultural literacy, foundational theoretical knowledge in ideological and political education, and advanced digital teaching capabilities. At present, a notable proportion of educators demonstrate uneven proficiency across these domains: some possess strong theoretical grounding but lack sufficient familiarity with the philosophical depth, historical evolution, and contemporary relevance of China's rich traditional culture, thereby limiting their capacity to interpret classical texts, ethical concepts, and historical narratives in ways that resonate with modern students; others are proficient in cultural content yet struggle with digital pedagogy—such as designing interactive multimedia lessons, leveraging learning analytics for formative assessment, or adapting open educational resources for localized curricular needs. Furthermore, existing school-based professional development initiatives often prioritize narrow technical training—like basic software operation or platform navigation—while neglecting holistic, interdisciplinary capacity building. There remains a critical need for sustained, practice-oriented training programs that systematically integrate traditional cultural understanding, ideological and political education theory, and digital innovation in pedagogical design, resource development, and classroom implementation.

3.5. The Educational Evaluation Mechanism Is Overly Simplistic, Neglecting the Long-Term Effectiveness of Digital Education

Most universities continue to rely heavily on summative assessment methods—primarily final examinations and course papers—as the principal means of evaluating

student learning outcomes, with an emphasis on measuring theoretical knowledge acquisition and retention. This conventional approach exhibits significant limitations when applied to digital cultural education initiatives, which emphasize experiential, process-oriented, and value-internalizing learning pathways. Specifically, current evaluation frameworks lack robust, empirically grounded metrics capable of capturing students' sustained engagement across diverse digital modalities—including online cultural literacy modules, immersive virtual scenario simulations, collaborative traditional culture co-creation projects, and digitally mediated social practice activities. Instead, institutions frequently resort to shallow, input-oriented proxies—such as platform login frequency, video viewing duration, or raw participation counts—which reflect mere exposure rather than meaningful cognitive, affective, or behavioral transformation. Crucially, these mechanisms fail to systematically track longitudinal shifts in learners' cultural self-perception, ethical reasoning, moral decision-making patterns, or internalized value commitments. Consequently, educators remain unable to determine whether digital interventions genuinely foster deep cultural identification, civic responsibility, or character development—rendering impact assessment incomplete, methodologically underdeveloped, and pedagogically inconclusive.

4. Practical Pathways for Integrating China's Outstanding Traditional Culture into Ideological and Political Education in Higher Education Institutions under the Digital Era

4.1. Coordinated Resource Development: Establishing a Standardized, Curriculum-Based Digital Repository of Traditional Cultural Resources

Higher education institutions should leverage the lead role of the School of Marxism to collaborate systematically with libraries, the College of Liberal Arts, and the Information Technology Center in developing high-quality, pedagogically grounded digital resources rooted in China's outstanding traditional culture. First, a rigorous thematic taxonomy must be established to classify core cultural resources—not as static artifacts but as living intellectual frameworks—centered on enduring value orientations such as patriotic sentiment, people-oriented philosophy, ecological wisdom, self-cultivation and moral integrity, and the ethical distinction between righteousness and profit. This classification must be explicitly mapped to the learning outcomes and conceptual scaffolding of ideological and political courses, ensuring curricular coherence and pedagogical intentionality [8–10]. Second, resource development should proceed in a tiered, multimodal manner: integrating authoritative digital museum collections, professionally curated documentary materials on intangible cultural heritage, and pedagogically refined short-form video interpretations of classical texts; concurrently, faculty members should be supported through training and incentive mechanisms to co-create discipline-specific teaching cases, interactive micro-lectures, and immersive virtual teaching scenarios that model critical engagement rather than passive transmission. Third, a robust, institutionally embedded dynamic update and content review mechanism is essential—one grounded in dialectical selection and innovative transformation, which consciously discards feudal or historically obsolete elements while foregrounding the contemporary relevance, ethical resonance, and educational applicability of selected traditions. The resulting digital repository must feature intelligent search functionality, cross-referenced knowledge-point association, and adaptive recommendation algorithms to facilitate seamless integration into blended, flipped, and competency-based instructional models across diverse disciplinary contexts.

4.2. Innovating the Classroom Paradigm: Developing a Digital Classroom Teaching Model That Integrates Virtual and Physical Elements

Centering on the classroom as the primary platform for ideological and political education, this initiative seeks to comprehensively transform and upgrade pedagogical models through systematic digital integration. First, a rigorously structured blended online-offline teaching approach is implemented: prior to class, curated digital

resources—including annotated primary texts, multimedia timelines of cultural evolution, and guided preview reflection questions—are systematically distributed via a secure, university-managed learning platform to activate prior knowledge and foster preparatory engagement; during class, immersive VR environments reconstruct historically grounded scenes—such as Ming dynasty academies or Tang-era poetry salons—to facilitate experiential learning, while structured small-group discussions, moderated by pedagogical scaffolds, deepen critical reflection on cultural continuity, ethical resonance, and contemporary relevance; after class, extended assignments emphasize creative application and metacognitive synthesis—such as designing interactive digital exhibitions, producing peer-reviewed short documentaries on intangible cultural heritage, or developing culturally grounded mobile micro-apps—ensuring pedagogical coherence across the entire learning cycle. Second, the narrative architecture of digital instruction is fundamentally reimagined: moving decisively beyond expository lecturing, pedagogical design incorporates interactive documentary modules with branching narrative pathways, AI-mediated scenario-based dialogues that simulate historical reasoning processes, and moderated online debate forums focused on value-laden questions concerning tradition, modernity, and social responsibility [1, 11]. Third, unwavering adherence to the foundational mission of ideological and political education is maintained: digital tools are explicitly framed as instrumental enablers—not ends in themselves—with all technical implementations rigorously evaluated against their capacity to reinforce core values, cultivate moral discernment, and support holistic student development; any deployment prioritizing technological novelty over pedagogical integrity or value alignment is systematically excluded from implementation.

4.3. Broadening the Educational Ecosystem: Establishing a Tripartite Educational Framework Integrating “Classroom, Online Platforms, and Practical Experience”

To achieve seamless integration across classroom instruction, digital learning environments, and experiential learning modalities, a coordinated, multi-layered implementation strategy is adopted. First, the campus new media ecosystem is systematically enhanced by consolidating WeChat Official Accounts, short-form video platforms, and institutional microblogs into a unified content distribution network; this network regularly delivers pedagogically designed materials centered on traditional Chinese culture and ideological education, including thematic series on classical ethics, historical wisdom, and aesthetic traditions, while supporting interactive initiatives such as online cultural reflection journals, timed classical poetry recitation challenges, and structured essay contests with peer review components [12, 13]. Second, digital practice pathways are expanded through formal partnerships with municipal cultural centers, intangible cultural heritage preservation institutions, and museum education departments to co-develop an authenticated virtual study tour platform featuring high-fidelity 3D reconstructions, expert-curated multimedia annotations, and synchronous guided exploration sessions. Third, offline cultural immersion activities—including calligraphy workshops, folk music ensembles, and craft apprenticeships—are reinforced by dedicated online exchange forums that facilitate reflective dialogue, collaborative annotation of primary sources, and peer feedback on student-generated digital artifacts. Finally, student-led digital creation collectives are formally supported through academic advising, technical training, and exhibition opportunities, enabling sustained production of culturally grounded short films, interactive infographics, animated storytelling sequences, and augmented reality experiences—thereby cultivating both disciplinary competence and responsible, creative engagement with cultural inheritance.

4.4. Strengthening Faculty Support: Cultivating Ideological and Political Education Instructors Who Possess Both Traditional Cultural Literacy and Digital Competencies

A systematic and institutionalized teaching-research cultivation mechanism must be established to comprehensively enhance the professional capacity of ideological and political education instructors. This mechanism comprises three interrelated dimensions: cultural grounding, digital fluency, and collaborative pedagogy. First, thematic research

sessions on China's outstanding traditional culture should be conducted on a regular basis—ideally quarterly—to deepen educators' understanding of Confucian ethics, classical literary traditions, historical philosophical thought, and regional intangible cultural heritage. These sessions should integrate theoretical study of canonical texts with experiential learning, including guided visits to nationally recognized intangible cultural heritage inheritance bases, museum archives, and traditional craft workshops [11, 14]. Second, targeted professional development in digital teaching competencies is essential; such training must go beyond basic software literacy to emphasize pedagogically grounded applications—including immersive VR-based historical simulations, pedagogically informed short-video scripting and editing for moral reasoning scenarios, design of synchronous and asynchronous online interactive modules, and interpretation of learning analytics derived from big data platforms to inform formative assessment and personalized feedback strategies. Third, an interdisciplinary teaching-research framework should be institutionalized, facilitating structured co-planning between ideological and political education faculty, Chinese language specialists, and digital media educators—particularly in designing cross-disciplinary integrated courses that align ethical reflection, textual analysis, and multimodal communication. Complementing these efforts, a dedicated digital teaching exchange platform should be developed to curate, annotate, and disseminate exemplary cases of culturally rooted, digitally enhanced instruction, thereby nurturing a sustainable, reflective, and collegial professional learning community.

4.5. Establishing Long-Term Mechanisms: Building a Comprehensive, Multi-Dimensional Digital Educational Evaluation System

To advance beyond conventional summative assessment approaches, this initiative implements a holistic, multi-layered digital evaluation framework that systematically integrates continuous formative assessment with terminal outcome assessment. At the cognitive dimension, students' understanding and application of core concepts in traditional Chinese culture—including classical texts, philosophical principles, historical narratives, and artistic expressions—are assessed through diversified instruments such as adaptive quizzes, concept-mapping tasks, and reflective analytical essays. At the affective dimension, learners' evolving cultural awareness and sense of belonging are captured longitudinally via structured online discussion forums, guided learning journals with rubric-based self-reflection prompts, and peer feedback exchanges—all designed to elicit authentic, nuanced responses rather than performative declarations. At the behavioral dimension, tangible manifestations of cultural engagement are documented and evaluated, including participation in community-based cultural preservation activities, contributions to public-oriented volunteer initiatives rooted in traditional values, and the creation of original cultural products—such as digital storytelling projects, intangible cultural heritage documentation videos, or bilingual translation portfolios—that demonstrate applied competence and creative agency. Learning analytics from integrated teaching platforms serve as one data source, but are deliberately contextualized alongside rich qualitative evidence—including semi-structured interviews with students and systematic classroom observation records by trained educators—to ensure interpretive depth and methodological triangulation [15]. A responsive feedback loop is institutionalized to iteratively refine digital educational resources and pedagogical strategies based on aggregated evaluation insights, thereby sustaining an iterative cycle of 'instructional delivery → evidence-informed evaluation → targeted enhancement', which underpins the long-term viability and pedagogical integrity of digital cultural education.

5. Conclusion

Digitalization has fundamentally reshaped the landscape of ideological and political education in higher education institutions, creating unprecedented opportunities—and complex challenges—for the meaningful incorporation of China's excellent traditional

culture into curricular and pedagogical practice. Rather than adopting digital tools as peripheral enhancements, educational institutions must pursue a principled, systemic integration that aligns digital affordances—such as interactivity, scalability, multimodality, and adaptive feedback—with the epistemic structures of cultural transmission and the developmental goals of holistic student formation. This requires moving beyond episodic or illustrative uses of digital media toward sustained, curriculum-wide reconceptualization. Key enablers include the deliberate curation and semantic annotation of high-fidelity digital cultural resources; the design of immersive, learner-centered classroom models—such as hybrid synchronous seminars, culturally grounded simulation environments, and scaffolded digital storytelling projects; the intentional bridging of formal instruction with informal, community-embedded, and experiential learning contexts; the development of faculty competencies spanning cultural literacy, pedagogical technology fluency, and reflective educational practice; and the establishment of multi-dimensional evaluation frameworks that assess not only knowledge acquisition but also affective engagement, critical interpretation, ethical reasoning, and sustained cultural responsiveness. Such an integrated approach mitigates risks of instrumentalization, aesthetic superficiality, and contextual dislocation. Ultimately, it supports students' deepened understanding of cultural continuity and transformation, fosters reflective identity formation, strengthens intergenerational dialogue, and cultivates enduring commitments to social responsibility, civic participation, and lifelong learning grounded in humanistic values.

References

1. H. Shao and Z. Yu, "Inclusive approaches to liberal arts education in the context of the creative economy: integrating access, engagement, and technological literacy," *Int. J. Inclusive Educ.*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 704–731, 2026.
2. S. Sarbaini, R. Adawiah, D. A. Ruchliyadi, and W. Hiliadi, "Managing ICT-based civic learning in higher education to strengthen students' nationalism," *Society*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 66–82, 2026.
3. X. Liu, Z. Xiantong, and H. Starkey, "Ideological and political education in Chinese Universities: structures and practices," *Asia Pac. J. Educ.*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 586–598, 2023.
4. H. Gao and M. H. M. Yasin, "Challenges and development strategies in ideological and political education in the internet era in Higher Education," *Educ. Rev., USA*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 1661–1665, 2023.
5. M. A. Peters, T. C. Liu, and D. J. Ondercin, *The Pedagogy of the Open Society: Knowledge and the Governance of Higher Education*, vol. 1. New York: Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
6. S. Wang and J. Bao, *Contemporary Digital Transformation in Chinese Education*. London: Taylor & Francis, 2025.
7. Y. Zhang, M. Yousaf, and Y. Xu, "Chinese traditional culture and Art communication in digital era: Strategies, issues, and prospects," *J. Media Stud.*, vol. 32, no. 1, 2019.
8. M. Li, Y. Wang, and Y. Q. Xu, "Computing for Chinese cultural heritage," *Visual Informatics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2022.
9. X. Hong and Y. H. Wu, "The use of AR to preserve and popularize traditional Chinese musical instruments as part of the formation of the tourist attractiveness of the national art of Guizhou province," *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 426–440, 2022.
10. L. Zhao and J. Kim, "The impact of traditional Chinese paper-cutting in digital protection for intangible cultural heritage under virtual reality technology," *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 18, 2024.
11. Y. Zhuang, "Research on the Digitization of Chinese Cultural Industries in the Era of Digitalization and Intellectualization," in *Proc. 2024 6th Int. Conf. Econ. Manage. Cultural Ind. (ICEMCI 2024)*, Jan. 2025, pp. 621–630.
12. X. Zhao, C. Xie, L. Huang, Y. Wang, and T. Han, "How digitalization promotes the sustainable integration of culture and tourism for economic recovery," *Econ. Anal. Policy*, vol. 77, pp. 988–1000, 2023.
13. R. Li, T. Luo, and H. Zha, "3D digitization and its applications in cultural heritage," in *Euro-Mediterranean Conf.*, Nov. 2010, pp. 381–388.
14. D. Buragohain, Y. Meng, C. Deng, Q. Li, and S. Chaudhary, "Digitalizing cultural heritage through metaverse applications: challenges, opportunities, and strategies," *Heritage Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 295, 2024.
15. J. Zhang, R. Xu, and Z. Yang, "An analysis on design strategy of traditional process in global digitization," in *Int. Conf. Human-Computer Interact.*, Jul. 2023, pp. 142–154.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Publisher and/or the editor(s). Publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.