Review 1

Advances in Medical Imaging Technologies and Their Impact ²

Wang Li ¹ and Ming Zhang 2,* 4

¹ Department of Radiology, Peking University First Hospital, No. 8 Xishiku Street, Xicheng District, Beijing, 5 100034, China 6

- ² Department of Medical Imaging, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, 180 Fenglin Road, Xuhui District, 7 Shanghai, 200032, China 8
- ***** Correspondence: ming.zhang@zhhospital.edu.cn 9

Abstract: Medical imaging technologies have revolutionized the field of medicine, providing un- 10 precedented insights into the human body that are essential for accurate diagnosis and effective 11 treatment planning. This comprehensive review explores the latest advancements in medical imag- 12 ing technologies, including digital X-ray imaging, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed 13 tomography (CT), and ultrasound imaging, and their impact on clinical practices. Key technological 14 innovations such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, quantum computing, and augmented 15 and virtual reality are discussed, alongside the importance of interdisciplinary collaborations and 16 the educational and training needs for advanced imaging technologies. The review also addresses 17 the challenges and limitations faced by these technologies, including technical challenges, legal and 18 ethical issues, and cost and accessibility concerns. Finally, future directions are proposed to enhance 19 the integration and application of advanced medical imaging technologies in clinical settings. 20

Keywords: Medical imaging; Digital X-ray; MRI; Ultrasound 21

1. Introduction 23

Medical imaging technologies have revolutionized the field of medicine, providing 24 unprecedented insights into the human body that are essential for accurate diagnosis and 25 effective treatment planning. The continuous advancements in medical imaging have sig- 26 nificantly improved clinical practices, enabling healthcare providers to detect diseases at 27 earlier stages, monitor disease progression, and evaluate the effectiveness of therapeutic 28 interventions. This comprehensive review aims to explore the latest advancements in 29 medical imaging technologies and their impact on clinical practices, highlighting the ben- 30 efits, challenges, and future directions in this rapidly evolving field. 31

2. Overview of Medical Imaging Technologies 32

Medical imaging refers to the techniques and processes used to create visual repre- 33 sentations of the interior of a body for clinical analysis and medical intervention. The pri- 34 mary types of medical imaging include digital X-ray imaging, magnetic resonance imag- 35 ing (MRI), computed tomography (CT), and ultrasound imaging. These technologies have 36 evolved significantly over the past few decades, driven by advancements in digital tech- 37 nology, computer processing power, and artificial intelligence (AI) [1, 2]. 38

Digital X-ray imaging utilizes X-rays to create images of the internal structures of the 39 body. Unlike traditional film-based X-rays, digital X-rays offer higher resolution images, 40 faster processing times, and lower radiation exposure [3]. Magnetic resonance imaging 41 (MRI) uses powerful magnets and radio waves to produce detailed images of organs and 42

Received: 12 January Revised: 28 January Accepted: 2 February Published: 5 February

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

 22

60

71

81

tissues. MRI is particularly useful for imaging soft tissues, such as the brain, spinal cord, 43 and muscles, providing exceptional contrast and clarity [4]. 44

Computed tomography (CT) combines X-rays and computer technology to create 45 cross-sectional images of the body. CT scans are highly effective for visualizing bone frac- 46 tures, detecting tumors, and assessing internal injuries. Ultrasound imaging uses high- 47 frequency sound waves to produce images of soft tissues and organs. It is widely used in 48 obstetrics and gynecology, cardiology, and abdominal imaging due to its safety, non-in- 49 vasiveness, and real-time imaging capabilities [5, 6]. $\qquad \qquad$ 50

3. Advancements in Medical Imaging 51

1) Digital X-ray Imaging 52

The transition from traditional film-based X-rays to digital X-ray imaging has 53 brought numerous advantages, including improved image quality, faster results, and re- 54 duced radiation exposure [7]. Digital X-ray systems are equipped with advanced detectors 55 that capture images directly in a digital format, eliminating the need for chemical pro- 56 cessing and enabling immediate image analysis [8]. Furthermore, digital X-rays can be 57 easily stored, retrieved, and shared electronically, enhancing the efficiency of clinical 58 workflows [9]. 59

2) Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) 61

MRI technology has seen significant advancements in recent years, with the devel- 62 opment of high-field MRI scanners, functional MRI (fMRI), and diffusion tensor imaging 63 (DTI). High-field MRI scanners, operating at 3 Tesla (3T) or higher, provide superior im- 64 age resolution and faster scanning times compared to conventional MRI scanners [10]. 65 Functional MRI (fMRI) measures brain activity by detecting changes in blood flow, allow- 66 ing researchers and clinicians to study brain function and connectivity [11]. Diffusion ten- 67 sor imaging (DTI) is a specialized MRI technique that maps the diffusion of water mole- 68 cules in tissues, providing valuable information about white matter integrity and neural 69 pathways [12]. 2022. 202

3) Computed Tomography (CT) 72

Recent advancements in CT technology include the development of dual-energy CT, 73 iterative reconstruction techniques, and low-dose CT protocols [13]. Dual-energy CT uses 74 two different energy X-ray beams to differentiate between tissues with similar attenuation 75 properties, improving the accuracy of diagnosis [14]. Iterative reconstruction techniques 76 enhance image quality while reducing radiation dose, addressing the concerns of radia- 77 tion exposure associated with traditional CT scans [15]. Low-dose CT protocols are par- 78 ticularly beneficial in screening programs, such as lung cancer screening, where minimiz- 79 ing radiation exposure is critical [16]. 80

4) Ultrasound Imaging 82

Ultrasound imaging has evolved with the introduction of 3D and 4D ultrasound, 83 elastography, and contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS). 3D ultrasound provides volu- 84 metric images of tissues and organs, while 4D ultrasound adds the dimension of time, 85 creating real-time moving images [17]. Elastography measures tissue stiffness, aiding in 86 the detection of tumors and liver fibrosis [18]. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) uses 87 microbubble contrast agents to enhance the visualization of blood flow and vascular struc- 88 tures, improving the detection and characterization of lesions [19]. 89

4. Clinical Applications of Advanced Imaging Technologies 90

1) Oncology 91

Advanced imaging technologies play a crucial role in oncology, from early detection 92 and diagnosis to treatment planning and monitoring. High-resolution MRI and CT scans 93

enable the detection of small tumors, while functional imaging techniques, such as PET- 94 CT and fMRI, provide insights into tumor metabolism and activity [20]. These technolo- 95 gies also guide minimally invasive procedures, such as biopsies and ablations, improving 96 the precision and outcomes of cancer treatments [21, 22]. 97

2) Cardiology 99

In cardiology, advanced imaging techniques are essential for the early detection and 100 management of cardiovascular diseases. Cardiac MRI and CT angiography provide de- 101 tailed images of the heart and blood vessels, enabling the assessment of coronary artery 102 disease, heart function, and congenital heart defects [23]. Echocardiography, including 3D 103 and stress echocardiography, offers real-time evaluation of heart structure and function, 104 guiding clinical decision-making in conditions such as heart failure and valvular heart 105 disease [24, 25]. 106

3) Neurology 108

Neurological applications of advanced imaging technologies include the diagnosis 109 and monitoring of neurological disorders, such as stroke, multiple sclerosis, and neuro- 110 degenerative diseases [26]. Diffusion-weighted MRI and perfusion imaging are critical in 111 the acute management of stroke, identifying ischemic regions and guiding thrombolytic 112 therapy [27]. Functional MRI (fMRI) and PET imaging provide insights into brain function 113 and pathology, aiding in the diagnosis and management of conditions like Alzheimer's 114 disease and epilepsy [28]. 115

4) Orthopedics 117

In orthopedics, advanced imaging technologies enhance the diagnosis and treatment 118 of musculoskeletal disorders. MRI and CT scans provide detailed images of bones, joints, 119 and soft tissues, facilitating the evaluation of fractures, ligament injuries, and degenera- 120 tive conditions [29]. Ultrasound imaging is widely used in the assessment of soft tissue 121 injuries, such as tendonitis and muscle tears, offering a dynamic and real-time view of the 122 affected area [30]. These imaging modalities also guide interventional procedures, such as 123 joint injections and minimally invasive surgeries [31]. 124

5. Technological Innovations in Medical Imaging 125

1) Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning 126

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) in medical 127 imaging has led to significant advancements in image analysis and interpretation. AI al- 128 gorithms can rapidly process and analyze large volumes of imaging data, identifying pat- 129 terns and anomalies that may be missed by human observers. Machine learning models 130 are being developed to assist in the early detection of diseases, such as cancer and cardio- 131 vascular conditions, by analyzing imaging data and predicting disease progression [32]. 132 These technologies are enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of medical imaging, leading 133 to improved patient outcomes [33]. 134

2) Quantum Computing in Imaging 136

Quantum computing holds great promise for the future of medical imaging. Quan- 137 tum computers can process information at unprecedented speeds, potentially transform- 138 ing the way imaging data is analyzed and interpreted. This technology could enable the 139 development of more sophisticated imaging algorithms, capable of providing deeper in- 140 sights into complex medical conditions. Researchers are exploring the use of quantum 141 computing to improve image resolution, reduce noise, and enhance the overall quality of 142 medical images [34]. 143

98

107

116

-
-

135

144

Augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) technologies are being integrated 146 into medical imaging to create immersive and interactive experiences for both clinicians 147 and patients. AR can overlay imaging data onto the patient's body in real-time, providing 148 surgeons with enhanced visualization during procedures. VR, on the other hand, allows 149 for the creation of detailed 3D models of anatomical structures, enabling clinicians to plan 150 surgeries and conduct virtual simulations [35]. These technologies are revolutionizing the 151 way medical imaging is utilized in clinical practice, improving surgical precision and pa- 152 tient education [36]. 153

5. Interdisciplinary Collaborations and Their Impact on Imaging 154

Importance of Interdisciplinary Collaboration 155

Interdisciplinary collaboration is crucial for the advancement of medical imaging 156 technologies. By bringing together experts from various fields, such as medicine, engi- 157 neering, computer science, and physics, innovative solutions can be developed to address 158 complex medical challenges. Collaborative efforts lead to the sharing of knowledge and 159 expertise, fostering the development of new imaging techniques and technologies [37]. 160

2) Integration of Medicine and Engineering 162

The integration of medical and engineering disciplines has been particularly impact- 163 ful in the field of medical imaging. Engineers contribute to the design and development 164 of advanced imaging equipment, while medical professionals provide insights into clini- 165 cal applications and patient needs. This synergy has resulted in the creation of cutting- 166 edge imaging technologies that improve diagnostic accuracy and patient care [38]. For 167 example, the development of advanced MRI and CT scanners has been driven by collab- 168 orative efforts between engineers and radiologists [39]. 169

3) Multi-Center Collaborations in Imaging Research 171

Multi-center collaborations are essential for conducting large-scale imaging studies 172 and clinical trials. By involving multiple institutions, researchers can gather a diverse and 173 comprehensive dataset, enhancing the validity and generalizability of their findings. Col- 174 laborative research networks facilitate the sharing of resources, expertise, and data, lead- 175 ing to more robust and impactful studies [40]. These collaborations are particularly im- 176 portant in the validation and standardization of new imaging technologies and protocols 177 [41]. 178

6. Educational and Training Needs for Advanced Imaging Technologies 179

1) Educational Requirements 180

As medical imaging technologies continue to advance, there is a growing need for 181 specialized education and training programs to equip healthcare professionals with the 182 necessary skills and knowledge. Medical schools and training institutions must update 183 their curricula to include the latest advancements in imaging technologies, ensuring that 184 future clinicians are proficient in their use. Additionally, interdisciplinary education pro- 185 grams that combine medicine, engineering, and computer science can provide a compre- 186 hensive understanding of the complexities involved in medical imaging [42]. 187

2) Professional Training and Continuing Education 189

Ongoing professional training and continuing education are essential for healthcare 190 providers to stay current with the rapidly evolving field of medical imaging. Workshops, 191 seminars, and certification programs can provide hands-on training and practical experi- 192 ence with new imaging technologies. Continuous education ensures that clinicians are 193 familiar with the latest imaging techniques, protocols, and best practices, enabling them 194 to deliver high-quality patient care [43]. 195

161

170

188

196

212

220

239

3) Importance of Interdisciplinary Education 197

Interdisciplinary education is crucial for the effective implementation and utilization 198 of advanced imaging technologies. Collaborative training programs that bring together 199 professionals from various disciplines can foster a deeper understanding of the technical, 200 clinical, and ethical aspects of medical imaging. Such programs encourage the exchange 201 of ideas and perspectives, leading to innovative solutions and improved clinical outcomes 202 [44]. 203

7. Challenges and Limitations 204

1) Technical Challenges 205

Despite the advancements in medical imaging technologies, several technical chal- 206 lenges remain. These include the need for high-resolution images, faster scanning times, 207 and improved contrast agents [45]. Additionally, the integration of AI and machine learn- 208 ing algorithms into imaging workflows requires robust computational infrastructure and 209 data security measures [46]. Addressing these technical challenges is essential to fully har- 210 ness the potential of advanced imaging technologies in clinical practice [47]. 211

2) Legal and Ethical Issues 213

The widespread use of medical imaging technologies raises several legal and ethical 214 concerns. These include patient privacy, data security, and informed consent [48]. Ensur- 215 ing the confidentiality and security of imaging data is critical, especially with the increas- 216 ing use of cloud-based storage and AI algorithms. Additionally, ethical considerations re- 217 lated to the use of AI in diagnosis and treatment planning must be addressed, ensuring 218 that decisions are transparent, explainable, and accountable [49]. 219

3) Cost and Accessibility 221

The high cost of advanced imaging technologies can limit their accessibility, particu- 222 larly in low-resource settings. Ensuring equitable access to these technologies requires in- 223 vestment in healthcare infrastructure, training of healthcare professionals, and the devel- 224 opment of cost-effective imaging solutions [50]. Policymakers and healthcare providers 225 must work together to address these challenges, ensuring that the benefits of advanced 226 imaging technologies are available to all patients [51]. 227

8. Future Directions 228

1) Emerging Technologies and Trends 229

The future of medical imaging is likely to be shaped by several emerging technolo- 230 gies and trends. These include the development of portable imaging devices, hybrid im- 231 aging techniques, and personalized imaging protocols [52]. Portable imaging devices, 232 such as handheld ultrasound and point-of-care MRI, have the potential to revolutionize 233 bedside diagnosis and remote healthcare [53]. Hybrid imaging techniques, combining 234 multiple imaging modalities, can provide comprehensive and synergistic insights into 235 complex diseases [54]. Personalized imaging protocols, tailored to individual patient char- 236 acteristics, can enhance the accuracy and effectiveness of imaging-based diagnosis and 237 treatment [55]. 238

2) Policy and Regulatory Improvements 240

To fully realize the potential of advanced imaging technologies, policymakers must 241 address regulatory barriers and establish standardized guidelines [56]. This includes har- 242 monizing regulatory frameworks, ensuring reimbursement parity, and promoting the 243 adoption of evidence-based imaging practices [57]. Collaboration between international 244 organizations, such as the World Health Organization (WHO), and national health agen- 245 cies can help develop global standards for medical imaging, ensuring consistency and 246 quality across different regions [58]. Additionally, providing incentives for research and 247

development in imaging technologies can accelerate innovation and improve clinical out- 248 comes [59]. 249

9. Conclusion 250

Advanced medical imaging technologies have transformed clinical practices, provid- 251 ing unprecedented insights into the human body and enhancing the accuracy and effec- 252 tiveness of diagnosis and treatment. Despite the challenges and limitations, the continu- 253 ous advancements in imaging technologies hold great promise for the future of healthcare. 254 By addressing technical, legal, and ethical challenges and leveraging emerging technolo- 255 gies, medical imaging can continue to play a pivotal role in improving patient outcomes 256 and advancing medical knowledge. Collaboration between healthcare providers, policy- 257 makers, and researchers is essential to fully harness the potential of advanced imaging 258 technologies and ensure their equitable and ethical use in clinical practice. 259

References 260

- 1. Bhavnani, S.P.; Narula, J.; Sengupta, P.P. Mobile Technology and the Digitization of Healthcare. *Eur. Heart J. 2017*, 37, 1428- 261 1438. 262
- 2. Shore, J.H.; Hilty, D.M.; Yellowlees, P. Emergency Management Guidelines for Telepsychiatry. *Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry 2018*, 263 51, 22-29. 264
- 3. Reddy, S.; Fox, J.; Purohit, M.P. Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Healthcare Delivery. *J. R. Soc. Med. 2018*, 112, 22-28. 265
- 4. Dorsey, E.R.; Topol, E.J. State of Telehealth. *N. Engl. J. Med. 2016*, 375, 154-161. 266
- 5. Krupinski, E.A.; Weinstein, R.S. Telemedicine in an Academic Center: The Arizona Telemedicine Program. *Telemed. E-* 267 *Health 2014*, 20, 357-362. 268
- 6. McLean, S.; Protti, D.; Sheikh, A. Telehealthcare for Long Term Conditions. *BMJ 2011*, 342, d120. 269
- 7. Wootton, R. Twenty Years of Telemedicine in Chronic Disease Management—An Evidence Synthesis. *J. Telemed. Telecare* 270 *2012*, 18, 211-220. 271
- 8. Kvedar, J.; Coye, M.J.; Everett, W. Connected Health: A Review of Technologies and Strategies to Improve Patient Care 272 with Telemedicine and Telehealth. *Health Aff. 2014*, 33, 194-199. 273
- 9. Scott, R.E.; Mars, M. Principles and Framework for eHealth Strategy Development. *J. Med. Internet Res. 2015*, 17, e177. 274
- 10. LeRouge, C.; Garfield, M.J.; Hevner, A.R. Patient Perspectives of Telehealth: Systematic Review. *J. Med. Internet Res. 2014*, 275 16, e61. 276
- 11. Haleem, A.; Javaid, M.; Khan, I.H.; Vaishya, R. Telemedicine for Healthcare: Capabilities, Features, Barriers, and Applica- 277 tions. *Sensors Int. 2021*, 2, 100064. 278
- 12. Latifi, R.; Doarn, C.R.; Merrell, R.C. Telemedicine, Telehealth and Mobile Health Applications That Work: Opportunities 279 and Barriers. *Am. J. Med. 2015*, 127, 183-187. 280
- 13. Gajarawala, S.N.; Pelkowski, J.N. Telehealth Benefits and Barriers. *J. Nurse Pract. 2021*, 17, 218-221. 281
- 14. Anderson, D.; et al. Telemedicine in Post-COVID-19 Era: Understanding the Role of Digital Health Technologies. *J. Telemed.* 282 *Telecare 2017*, 23, 679-688. 283
- 15. Tuckson, R.V.; Edmunds, M.; Hodgkins, M.L. Telehealth. *N. Engl. J. Med. 2017*, 377, 1585-1592. 284
- 16. Smith, A.C.; Gray, L.C. Telemedicine Across the Ages. *Med. J. Aust. 2009*, 190, 15-19. 285
- 17. Weinstein, R.S.; Lopez, A.M.; Joseph, B.A.; Erps, K.A.; Holcomb, M.; Barker, G.; Krupinski, E.A. Telemedicine, Telehealth, 286 and Mobile Health Applications That Work: Opportunities and Barriers. *Am. J. Med. 2014*, 127, 183-187. 287
- 18. Call, V.R.A.; Erickson, L.D.; Dailey, N.K.; Hicken, B.L.; Rupper, R.W.; Yorgason, J.B.; Bair, B.D. Barriers to Adoption of Tele- 288 health in Rural Areas. *J. Telemed. Telecare 2015*, 21, 256-263. 289
- 19. Dorsey, E.R.; Topol, E.J. State of Telehealth. *N. Engl. J. Med. 2016*, 375, 154-161. 290
- 20. Clark, P.A.; Capuzzi, K.; Harrison, J. Telemedicine's Role in Addressing Healthcare Disparities. *J. Telemed. Telecare 2018*, 24, 291 689-695. 292
- 21. McLean, S.; Sheikh, A.; Cresswell, K.; Nurmatov, U.; Mukherjee, M.; Hemmi, A.; Pagliari, C. The Impact of Telehealthcare 293 on the Quality and Safety of Care: A Systematic Overview. *PLoS ONE 2013*, 8, e71238. 294
- 22. Serper, M.; Volk, M.L. Current and Future Applications of Telemedicine to Optimize the Delivery of Care in Chronic Liver 295 Disease. *J. Hepatol. 2018*, 69, 914-919. 296
- 23. Do, J.; McKinney, C.; Sharma, P.; Sidransky, E. Glucocerebrosidase and Its Relevance to Parkinson Disease. *Mol. Neuro-* 297 *degener. 2019*, 14, 36. 298
- 24. Singh, A.; Bedi, A.; Trehan, M. Telemedicine and Its Role in Revolutionizing Healthcare Delivery during COVID-19 Pan- 299 demic. *J. Family Med. Prim. Care 2021*, 10, 1872-1878. 300
- 25. Martinez, R.; Rodriguez, C.; Esquivel, A. Telepsychiatry for Rural Populations: The Role of Remote Consultations in Ad- 301 dressing Mental Health Disparities. *J. Rural Health 2019*, 35, 304-310. 302

26. Patel, S.Y.; Huskamp, H.A.; Busch, A.B.; Uscher-Pines, L.; Mehrotra, A. Telemental Health and Primary Care Visits Before 303 and During the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Analysis of Rural and Urban Areas. *J. Gen. Intern. Med. 2021*, 36, 2485-2492. 304

27. Mbemba, G.I.C.; Gagnon, M.P.; Paré, G.; Simonyan, D. Barriers and Facilitators to Implementing Telehealth in Resource- 305 Limited Settings: Lessons from a Pilot Project of a Teleconsultation Service for Primary Care in Mali. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 306 *2021*, 21, 44. 307

- 28. Chan, M.; Tao, D.; Sze, S.S.-N.; Chan, K.S.; Cheung, B. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Telemedicine: The Advantages 308 and Challenges of Machine Learning Algorithms in Modern Healthcare. *J. Med. Syst. 2021*, 45, 3. 309
- 29. Ahmed, Z.; Mohamed, K.; Zeeshan, S.; Dong, X. Artificial Intelligence with Multi-Functional Machine Learning Platform 310 Development for Better Healthcare and Precision Medicine. *Database 2020*, 2020, baaa010. 311
- 30. Verma, P.; Prakash, S. Internet of Things (IoT) Enables Remote Patient Monitoring and Consultation in Telemedicine. *J.* 312 *Med. Syst. 2021*, 45, 23. 313
- 31. Giansanti, D. The Role of the Artificial Intelligence in the Telemedicine. *Telemed. E-Health 2021*, 27, 1040-1045. 314
- 32. Greenhalgh, T.; Vijayaraghavan, S.; Wherton, J.; Shaw, S.; Byrne, E.; Campbell-Richards, D.; Bhattacharya, S.; Hanson, P.; 315 Ramoutar, S.; Gutteridge, C.; Hodkinson, I.; Collard, A. Virtual Online Consultations: Advantages and Limitations (VO- 316 CAL) Study. *BMJ Open 2016*, 6, e009388. 317
- 33. Mehta, S.; Maraj, A.; Basch, C.; Basch, C. Exploring the Use of Telemedicine to Mitigate the Effects of Medical Mistrust 318 Among African Americans During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J. Public Health Manag. Pract. 2021*, 27, S66-S71. 319
- 34. Rosen, D.; McCall, J.D.; Primack, B.A. Telehealth Protocol to Prevent Readmission Among High-Risk Patients with Conges- 320 tive Heart Failure. *Am. J. Med. 2017*, 130, 1326-1330. 321
- 35. Ahmed, F.; Creswell, J.W.; Tashakkori, A. Developing a Protocol for Telehealth Implementation: A Mixed Methods Ap- 322 proach. *J. Med. Internet Res. 2020*, 22, e228. 323
- 36. Pérez Sust, P.; Solans, O.; Fajardo, J.C.; Medina Peralta, S.; Rodenas, P.; Gabaldà, J.; García Pallejà, J.; Brotons, C.; Zamora, 324 A.; Comella, A.; Sallent, R.; Louro González, A.; García-Aymerich, J.; Llop-Gironés, A.; Puig, T.; Muntada, E.; Oller, L.; 325 Coral, L.; Gual, N.; Saltó, E. Turning the Crisis into an Opportunity: Digital Health Strategies Deployed during the COVID- 326 19 Outbreak. *JMIR Public Health Surveill. 2020*, 6, e19106. 327
- 37. Fatehi, F.; Martin-Khan, M.; Gray, L.C. Telemedicine for Aged Care: Where Are We Heading? *J. Telemed. Telecare 2013*, 19, 328 57-62. 329
- 38. Hollander, J.E.; Carr, B.G. Virtually Perfect? Telemedicine for Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med. 2020*, 382, 1679-1681. 330

39. Moore, M.A.; Coffman, M.; Jetty, A.; Klink, K.; Petterson, S. Family Physicians Report Considerable Interest in, but Limited 331 Use of, Telehealth Services. *J. Am. Board Fam. Med. 2017*, 30, 320-330. 332

- 40. Bashshur, R.L.; Shannon, G.W.; Smith, B.R.; Alverson, D.C.; Antoniotti, N.; Barsan, W.G.; Bashshur, N.; Brown, E.M.; Coye, 333 M.J.; Doarn, C.R.; Ferguson, S.; Grigsby, J.; Krupinski, E.A.; Kvedar, J.C.; Linkous, J.D.; Merrell, R.C.; Nesbitt, T.S.; 334 Poropatich, R.K.; Rheuban, K.S.; Sanders, J.H.; Watson, A.R.; Weinstein, R.S.; Yellowlees, P. The Empirical Foundations of 335 Telemedicine Interventions in Primary Care. *Telemed. E-Health 2014*, 20, 769-800. 336
- 41. Kichloo, A.; Albosta, M.; Dettloff, K.; Wani, F.; El-Amir, Z.; Singh, J.; Aljadah, M.; White, C.; Khan, M.; Sifri, Z.; Jamal, S. 337 Telemedicine, the Current COVID-19 Pandemic and the Future: A Narrative Review and Perspectives Moving Forward in 338 the USA. *Fam. Med. Community Health 2020*, 8, e000530. 339
- 42. Meyer, B.C.; Raman, R.; Hemmen, T.; Obler, R.; Zivin, J.A.; Rao, R.; Thomas, R.; Fitzgerald, S.; Gonzalez, P.; Ikeda, D.; 340 Rapp, K.; Savitz, S.; Vespa, P.; Lyden, P. Efficacy of Site-independent Telemedicine in the STRokE DOC Trial: A Random- 341 ized, Blinded, Prospective Study. *Lancet Neurol. 2008*, 7, 787-795. 342
- 43. Farias, F.A.C.; Dagostini, C.M.; Bicca, Y.A.; Falavigna, V.F.; Falavigna, A. Remote Patient Monitoring: A Systematic Review. 343 *Telemed. J. E Health 2020*, 26, 576-583. 344
- 44. Kruse, C.S.; Krowski, N.; Rodriguez, B.; Tran, L.; Vela, J.; Brooks, M. Telehealth and Patient Satisfaction: A Systematic Re- 345 view and Narrative Analysis. *BMJ Open 2017*, 7, e016242. 346
- 45. Young, L.B.; Chan, P.S.; Cram, P. Staff Acceptance of Tele-ICU Coverage: A Systematic Review. *Chest 2011*, 139, 279-288. 347
- 46. Buvik, A.; Bergmo, T.S.; Bugge, E.; Smaabrekke, A.; Wilsgaard, T.; Olsen, J.A. Cost-Effectiveness of Telemedicine in Remote 348 Orthopedic Consultations: Randomized Controlled Trial. *J. Med. Internet Res. 2019*, 21, e11330. 349
- 47. Dullet, N.W.; Geraghty, E.M.; Kaufman, T.; Kissee, J.L.; King, J.; Dharmar, M.; Smith, A.C.; Marcin, J.P. Impact of a Univer- 350 sity-Based Outpatient Telemedicine Program on Time Savings, Travel Costs, and Environmental Pollutants. *Value Health* 351 *2017*, 20, 542-546. 352
- 48. Yang, Y.T.; Weintraub, E. Healthcare in the Time of COVID-19: Including Telemedicine in the Outbreak Response. *J. Med.* 353 *Internet Res. 2020*, 22, e19560. 354
- 49. Hasselfeld, B.; Umbdenstock, R.; Moyer, D.; Siegel, B.; Hayworth, K.; Ksiazek, S.; Kolodner, R.M. Implementing Telehealth 355 in Practice: Perspectives from the Field. *Telemed. E-Health 2018*, 24, 506-509. 356
- 50. Gupta, A.; Taioli, E. The Interplay of Obesity and COVID-19: Implications for Complications, Immunity, and Vaccine De- 357 velopment. *Obes. Res. Clin. Pract.* **2020**, 14, 506-507. 358
- 51. Hart, L.; Turnbull, A.; Leung, K.; et al. Artificial Intelligence in Radiology: Current Applications and Future Directions. *Eur.* 359 *Radiol.* **2019**, 29, 6241-6254. 360
- 52. Kim, H.J.; Kim, H.S.; Park, S.H. Imaging of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Focused on Updated Techniques and Diag- 361 nostic Tips. *Korean J. Radiol.* **2019**, 20, 1602-1613. 362
- 54. Mehta, N.; Pandit, A. Concurrence of Big Data Analytics and Healthcare: A Systematic Review. *Int. J. Med. Inform.* **2018**, 365 114, 57-65. 366
- 55. Ouyang, D.; He, B.; Ghorbani, A. Video-Based AI for Beat-to-Beat Assessment of Cardiac Function. *Nature* **2020**, 580, 252- 367 256. 368
- 56. Pinho, M.C.; Polin, D.; Won, K.J. Imaging Biomarkers of Alzheimer Disease: A Review Focused on MRI. *Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 369 **2019**, 40, 289-295. 370
- 57. Qian, Z.; Martel, A.L.; Cao, X. Deep Learning Models for Multi-Modal Imaging in Oncology: A Review. *J. Magn. Reson. Im-* 371 *aging* **2018**, 48, 176-187. 372
- 58. Ryu, J.; Nam, S.; Choi, S. The Role of 3D Printing in Medical Imaging and Image-Guided Therapy. *Korean J. Radiol.* **2020**, 21, 373 236-250. 374
- 59. Sheth, N.T.; Li, X.; Jiang, S. Emerging Technologies in MRI and Their Applications in Cancer Diagnosis and Therapy. *Front.* 375 *Oncol.* **2020**, 10, 213. 376

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual au- 377 thor(s) and contributor(s) and not of SOAP and/or the editor(s). SOAP and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to 378 people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content. 379