Article

Path Dependence in Medical Decision-Making: A Behavioral Economics Perspective

Fuyong Lu [1,*]

[1] University of International Business and Economics Institute of Education and Economy Research, Beijing, 100029, China
* Correspondence: Fuyong Lu, University of International Business and Economics Institute of Education and Economy Research, Beijing, 100029, China

Abstract: Path dependence in clinical decision-making-the tendency of physicians and patients to adhere to established yet often inefficient decision patterns-represents a critical barrier to healthcare system optimization. Through a behavioral economics framework, this article analyzes how cognitive biases and misaligned incentives create self-reinforcing, suboptimal equilibria in medical practice. We propose a structured intervention approach that progresses from choice architecture redesign to incentive realignment and systemic reform. Evidence indicates these behavioral-informed strategies can significantly improve decision quality and resource allocation, offering a practical pathway toward more adaptive healthcare systems.

Keywords: path dependence; behavioral economics; medical decision-making; healthcare efficiency

Published: 04 March 2026

1. Introduction

Healthcare systems worldwide face a persistent puzzle: despite clear evidence supporting better practices, both doctors and patients often remain stuck in familiar but inefficient routines. This phenomenon, known as path dependence, represents more than simple resistance to change-it reveals fundamental economic inefficiencies built into modern healthcare delivery.

When physicians follow outdated protocols or patients cling to ineffective treatments, they aren't making random mistakes. They are responding rationally, though with limited information, to the complex realities of medical practice. Cognitive lock-in mechanisms explain how professionals become trapped in familiar decision patterns even when superior alternatives exist [1]. These decisions occur under conditions of uncertainty, time pressure, and emotional stress, where familiar paths offer cognitive comfort and perceived safety.

Recent research has quantified the staggering costs of these patterns. Diagnostic anchoring-where initial impressions unduly influence subsequent decisions-contributes substantially to healthcare waste through unnecessary testing and delayed treatments [2]. Meanwhile, patient present bias generates billions in avoidable complications through poor treatment adherence in chronic disease management [3]. These are not abstract losses but real economic burdens that strain systems and compromise care quality.

The healthcare environment itself amplifies these tendencies. Information asymmetry between doctors and patients creates fertile ground for misunderstandings and suboptimal decisions. Payment systems often reward volume over value, making it financially sensible to follow familiar but inefficient paths. Liability concerns push doctors toward defensive practices that prioritize legal safety over optimal care [4,5].

Understanding these patterns requires moving beyond traditional clinical perspectives. Behavioral economics offers crucial insights by examining how real people make decisions under real constraints. Field experiments in healthcare settings

demonstrate that small changes in choice architecture can significantly impact decision quality without restricting freedom [6]. This approach helps explain why optimal choices often feel difficult while suboptimal ones feel natural, and why evidence alone rarely changes established practices.

This paper examines path dependence through this economic lens, analyzing its mechanisms, measuring its costs, and proposing practical solutions. The goal is to shift healthcare from systems that unintentionally reinforce inefficiency toward ones that naturally guide better decisions-improving both health outcomes and economic sustainability through smarter system design.

2. Physicians' Decision Paths and Economic Constraints

Physicians operate within a complex decision environment where cognitive biases and economic incentives create powerful path dependencies. The first critical mechanism is diagnostic anchoring, where initial clinical impressions create cognitive frames that persist despite contradictory evidence. This anchoring effect is not merely psychological-it has direct economic consequences. When physicians become anchored to an initial diagnosis, they tend to order confirmatory tests while overlooking contradictory information.

Treatment momentum represents a second significant path dependency. Once a therapeutic course is initiated, physicians demonstrate remarkable resistance to change, even when treatment effectiveness is questionable. This phenomenon stems from the sunk cost fallacy, where prior investments in a particular treatment plan create psychological and professional barriers to switching strategies. When first-line treatments prove ineffective, physicians often persist with the same regimen rather than switching to potentially superior alternatives. Each additional cycle of ineffective chemotherapy not only generates substantial wasteful expenditure but also delays the initiation of appropriate care

Defensive medicine represents a significant institutionalized form of path dependence in clinical practice. Driven primarily by malpractice concerns, physicians often adopt a risk-averse stance, favoring conservative diagnostic and therapeutic approaches even when clinical necessity is questionable. This behavior stems from a rational calculation at the individual level-the perceived benefit of reducing legal exposure outweighs the cost of additional, often low-value, interventions. However, when aggregated across the healthcare system, this individually rational strategy fosters a widespread culture of overutilization, generating enormous systemic costs through the provision of care that yields little to no measurable improvement in patient outcomes.

Professional network effects play a pivotal role in perpetuating path-dependent clinical behaviors. Physicians' decision-making is often shaped more by prevailing local practice patterns and entrenched institutional norms than by universally recognized evidence-based standards. This phenomenon contributes to stark geographic variations in care, where treatment strategies for identical conditions can differ substantially, driven predominantly by regional medical cultures rather than by patient-specific clinical factors. Such unwarranted variation epitomizes systemic inefficiency, as it results in care delivery that is influenced more by geography than by optimal, patient-centered clinical pathways.

Compounding this issue are the financial incentives embedded within prevailing payment systems, which actively encourage and sustain path dependence. Fee-for-service reimbursement models, for instance, financially reward the volume of services provided rather than the quality or outcome of care. This structure creates a direct economic motivation for clinicians to adhere to familiar, procedure-intensive treatment patterns, even when alternative management approaches may be equally or more effective. In this way, economic rationality becomes aligned with cognitive inertia, forming a powerful reinforcing cycle that locks clinical practice into suboptimal, often inefficient pathways of care.

The pressures of time and cognitive load during clinical encounters further entrench decision-making path dependencies. Under the strain of managing high patient volumes, physicians frequently default to familiar clinical algorithms that minimize cognitive effort, even when more nuanced approaches could yield superior outcomes. This "satisficing" behavior-settling for solutions that are adequate rather than optimal-becomes institutionalized in high-volume practice environments, where economic incentives often prioritize efficiency over excellence.

Electronic health record systems, while designed to enhance care quality, often inadvertently reinforce these path dependencies through rigid, template-driven documentation and order sets. Once specific clinical pathways become embedded within digital systems, they gain institutional authority and demonstrate remarkable resistance to change. Updating established electronic protocols requires overcoming significant technical and cultural barriers, with evidence indicating that the adoption of evidence-based updates frequently encounters implementation delays extending to a year or longer [7].

The economic implications of physician path dependence extend far beyond direct healthcare expenditures. Delayed adoption of evidence-based practices prolongs patient suffering, lengthens recovery periods, and diminishes workforce productivity. When effective treatments are deferred due to professional inertia, broader economic consequences emerge-including lost workdays, reduced overall productivity, and an increase in disability claims. These externalized costs represent substantial yet frequently overlooked economic burdens that ripple well beyond the healthcare system itself.

Understanding physician path dependence as an economic phenomenon rather than purely a clinical or psychological issue reveals important intervention points. By recognizing how cognitive biases interact with economic incentives and institutional constraints, healthcare systems can design interventions that work with rather than against these natural tendencies. The next section examines how patient-side behaviors create complementary path dependencies that further entrench inefficient care patterns.

3. Patients' Behavioral Patterns and Economic Consequences

Patient-side path dependence represents a parallel system of behavioral and economic constraints that interact with and reinforce physician-side patterns, creating self-perpetuating cycles of inefficiency in care delivery. Patient healthcare decisions are systematically shaped by present bias-the tendency to heavily discount future health benefits in favor of immediate convenience or short-term comfort. This cognitive tendency carries clear economic consequences, as individuals who prioritize present convenience over future health consistently demonstrate lower adherence to prescribed treatments, leading to higher long-term costs due to preventable complications and disease progression.

Another key source of patient path dependence is information satisficing. Confronted with complex medical information, patients typically conduct only cursory information searches, often accepting the first plausible explanation they encounter. This limited engagement with health information leads many to base decisions on incomplete or misleading content, which in turn results in economically costly behaviors-such as pursuing unproven treatments while delaying or avoiding evidence-based care.

The mental accounting phenomenon further distorts how patients approach healthcare spending. Individuals tend to categorize medical expenses psychologically, assigning different values to expenditures based on perceived purpose rather than clinical effectiveness. For instance, patients often demonstrate greater willingness to pay for interventions framed as "curative" compared to those labeled "preventive," even when clinical benefits are identical [8]. This behavioral tendency interacts with insurance structures in economically detrimental ways, such as when patients with high-deductible

plans disproportionately forgo preventive services, ultimately incurring higher total costs through advanced disease complications.

Limited health literacy creates particularly persistent forms of path dependence. Patients with constrained understanding of medical concepts frequently rely on simple decision heuristics-like "newer is always better" or "more testing means better care"-without regard for clinical appropriateness. These simplified approaches generate economically inefficient care patterns, with less health-literate patients typically experiencing both poorer outcomes and higher medical costs.

Digital health platforms and search engines have introduced new forms of path dependence through algorithmic personalization. These systems often reinforce users' initial health concerns by presenting increasingly narrow and sometimes alarmist information, creating "information cocoons" that make patients resistant to professional medical advice that contradicts their online research.

Social networks amplify these tendencies through observational learning [9]. Patients frequently adopt healthcare behaviors modeled by peers and family members, regardless of clinical evidence supporting those choices. This social transmission creates community-level path dependencies that can override evidence-based medical recommendations.

Emotional factors under conditions of health anxiety further shape economically significant path dependencies. When facing health threats, patients' anxiety often narrows their decision-making focus to immediate risk reduction rather than long-term value optimization, leading to economically inefficient choices like pursuing extensive diagnostic testing for low-probability conditions.

The economic consequences of patient-side path dependencies extend beyond individual healthcare costs to create system-wide inefficiencies. When patients default to familiar but suboptimal behaviors, they generate demand for low-value services, delay necessary care until complications develop, and undermine the effectiveness of preventive interventions. These patterns contribute substantially to overall healthcare spending attributed to adherence problems and decision errors.

Insurance design frequently reinforces these path dependencies inadvertently through complex cost-sharing arrangements and opaque pricing. When patients cannot easily compare treatment costs or understand their financial responsibilities, they naturally default to familiar care-seeking patterns regardless of economic efficiency. Conversely, simplified insurance designs with greater price transparency have demonstrated potential to reduce low-value care utilization while maintaining clinical quality.

The interaction between patient and physician path dependencies creates particularly resilient systemic inefficiencies. When patients present with firmly held but medically questionable beliefs-often shaped by limited information searching-and physicians respond with defensive medicine practices, the resulting clinical encounters tend to produce maximal cost with minimal health value. This mutual reinforcement of path dependencies represents one of healthcare's most challenging economic problems, necessitating interventions that simultaneously address both sides of the clinical relationship.

Recognizing patient path dependence as an economic phenomenon reveals important opportunities for behavioral-informed interventions. By understanding how cognitive limitations, emotional factors, and institutional structures collectively shape patient decisions, healthcare systems can design choice architectures that guide patients toward better decisions while respecting their autonomy. The subsequent analysis will examine how physician and patient path dependencies interact in clinical practice and propose integrated approaches for systemic improvement.

4. Interaction Effects

The interaction between physician and patient path dependencies creates systemic inefficiencies that exceed the sum of their individual effects. When cognitive biases and economic incentives from both sides align, they produce particularly resilient suboptimal equilibria that resist change despite their evident shortcomings. Understanding these dynamics is essential because interventions targeting only one side are likely to fail when the other side's behavior remains unchanged.

This interaction manifests most clearly when defensive medicine meets patient information cocoons. When physicians default to defensive practices and patients approach consultations with firmly held beliefs formed through limited online searches, consultation efficiency declines substantially while costs rise significantly [10]. Defensive physicians order more tests and provide less explanation; anxious patients demand more attention and question recommendations more frequently. The result is longer consultations, more testing, and lower mutual satisfaction-all at higher cost.

These inefficient equilibria become institutionalized through powerful feedback loops. Consider a typical dynamic: a patient arrives with firmly held beliefs shaped by online research. The physician, sensing potential conflict and concerned about litigation, orders additional tests rather than investing time in patient education. The normal results provide apparent validation for both parties-the patient feels heard, the physician documents due diligence. Neither learns that the testing was unnecessary, and both are likely to repeat the pattern.

This dynamic operates through several reinforcing mechanisms. Behavioral reinforcement occurs because each party's behavior is rewarded in the short term. Expectation formation follows as both develop expectations about how interactions should proceed, with these expectations becoming self-fulfilling prophecies. As these patterns spread through networks, they become normative, with patients sharing stories of "good doctors who ordered tests" while physicians share cases where "not testing led to lawsuits." Meanwhile, skills required for alternative interactions-patient education, trust-building-atrophy from disuse.

Healthcare systems incur significant losses through redundant testing ordered primarily to address patient expectations rather than clinical need [11]. Treatment delays result from misaligned expectations, allowing disease progression and increasing treatment complexity. Medication non-adherence is exacerbated when relationships are characterized by mistrust, as patients who do not understand treatment rationales are less likely to adhere. Preventable complications arise from all of the above, resulting in hospitalizations that might have been avoided.

More insidiously, interaction effects erode trust in the healthcare system itself. Patients who experience defensive care become less trusting, learning that physicians cannot be relied upon for unbiased guidance. This erosion has multiple consequences: less trusting patients demand more testing, reinforcing the defensive practices they mistrust; they are less likely to follow recommendations, generating worse outcomes; they seek care from multiple providers, increasing redundancy; some abandon conventional care entirely. Physicians who experience adversarial interactions become more defensive and less engaged, contributing to burnout and slowing innovation adoption.

Understanding why these patterns persist requires analyzing them as Nash equilibria-stable states where each party's behavior is the best response to the other's, and neither has an individual incentive to change. From the physician's perspective, given expectations of anxious, potentially litigious patients, the best response is defensive practice. From the patient's perspective, given expectations of rushed, potentially dismissive physicians, the best response is to advocate aggressively. This creates a classic social dilemma: all would be better off with more trusting interactions, but no individual has an incentive to change unilaterally.

Trust functions simultaneously as an outcome of effective interactions and a precondition for them. As an outcome, trust develops through repeated positive experiences. As a precondition, trust enables the very interactions that produce it. The

challenge is that trust is difficult to build but easy to destroy-a single negative interaction can undo years of trust-building. However, interventions that successfully initiate trust-building cycles can generate self-reinforcing improvements.

5. Systemic Solutions

Addressing path dependence requires interventions that operate at multiple points simultaneously. Several principles should guide development. Interventions should work with psychology rather than against it, harnessing cognitive tendencies rather than fighting them. They should target multiple levels-individual cognition, interpersonal dynamics, organizational practices, and system incentives. They should create reinforcing cycles where improvements in one area enable improvements in others. They should preserve autonomy while guiding choice, respecting professional and patient autonomy while making optimal choices easier. Finally, they should enable learning and adaptation rather than imposing fixed solutions.

The most readily implementable interventions restructure decision environments to facilitate optimal choices without compromising autonomy. Default options in electronic health records serve as a powerful tool: when evidence-based pathways are established as system defaults, physician adherence improves markedly. Defaults reduce cognitive load while preserving discretion through opt-out mechanisms requiring brief justification. Research demonstrates their power across domains-when prescribing systems default to generic medications, generic prescribing rates increase substantially [12].

For patients, simplified decision aids presenting information using natural frequencies rather than percentages enhance comprehension and increase acceptance of appropriate treatments. Natural frequencies are more intuitive than percentages because they correspond to how humans naturally process probabilistic information. Effective aids present all probabilistic information as natural frequencies, use absolute rather than relative terms, balance benefits with harms and uncertainties, include values clarification exercises, and prompt implementation plans.

Structured communication tools can improve encounters by providing frameworks that reduce cognitive load. Question prompt lists increase patient participation without lengthening consultations. Shared decision-making frameworks structure encounters around choice talk, option talk, and decision talk, reducing both paternalistic and defensive tendencies. Risk communication scripts improve patient comprehension while reducing physician cognitive burden.

Addressing deeper dependencies requires restructuring economic incentives that make path-dependent behavior individually rational. Value-based payment models reward outcomes rather than volume, shifting the economic logic from "more is better" to "better is better." Bundled payments create incentives to coordinate efficiently and eliminate unnecessary services. In accountable care organizations utilizing such models, reductions have been observed in low-value imaging and readmissions while maintaining quality. Shared savings programs allow providers to share in efficiency gains without bearing financial risk.

Malpractice reform establishing safe harbors for evidence-based practice reduces defensive medicine without increasing adverse events. By diminishing legal risk associated with departing from community standards, such reforms enable physicians to pursue optimal pathways rather than defaulting to familiar defensive approaches. Effective reform includes guideline-based safe harbors, expert panels determining standard of care, and communication-and-resolution programs.

Value-based insurance designs aligning patient incentives with clinical value can shift choices toward more efficient patterns. Reduced cost-sharing for high-value preventive care removes financial barriers. Increased cost-sharing for low-value services discourages their use without prohibiting them. Reference pricing creates incentives for

price-conscious choice. Value-based formularies make high-value medications available at lower cost-sharing.

The most comprehensive interventions target underlying systems. Investment in interoperable health information technology providing complete patient histories reduces diagnostic anchoring by offering physicians access to comprehensive data rather than fragmented snapshots. Interoperability reduces information asymmetry, facilitates coordination, supports population learning, and enables patient engagement.

Developing rapid-learning healthcare systems that continuously integrate new evidence into practice addresses the persistent innovation adoption lag. Systems that regularly update clinical pathways based on real-world evidence can substantially shorten the delay between evidence generation and implementation, incorporating structured data capture, analytic capacity, evidence integration, implementation support, and feedback loops.

Building relational continuity into healthcare delivery represents another systemic intervention. When patients have ongoing relationships with providers, trust develops, communication improves, and adversarial dynamics diminish. Continuity enables accumulated patient-specific knowledge, trust development, reduced fragmentation, and preventive orientation through panel management, team-based care, and proactive outreach.

Ultimately, sustained improvement requires changes in professional culture and norms, supported through leadership modeling, training, peer accountability, recognition, and narrative.A phased implementation approach offers the most feasible path forward. Phase 1 focuses on low-cost choice architecture interventions demonstrating early wins. Phase 2 tackles incentive realignment through payment reform and malpractice safe harbors. Phase 3 pursues systemic transformation through interoperability, rapid-learning systems, and care redesign. By understanding path dependence as a systemic economic phenomenon and designing multi-level interventions informed by behavioral economics, healthcare systems can shift from reinforcing inefficiency toward guiding better decisions.

6. Conclusion

Path dependence in medical decision-making represents a significant but addressable source of economic inefficiency and clinical suboptimization. By understanding these patterns through behavioral economics rather than traditional clinical frameworks, healthcare systems can design interventions that work with human psychology rather than against it.

The solutions proposed here-choice architecture redesign, incentive realignment, and system transformation-offer a practical pathway from inefficient path dependence to adaptive decision-making. These approaches recognize that both physicians and patients are making rational decisions within the constraints of their environments, and that changing those environments can produce better outcomes without coercion or paternalism.

Ultimately, the goal is not to eliminate all routine or pattern in medical practice-some repetition enhances efficiency-but to identify and disrupt those patterns that generate substantial economic waste while compromising health outcomes. By doing so, healthcare can move from systems that unintentionally reinforce inefficiency toward ones that naturally guide better decisions, improving both economic sustainability and patient wellbeing.

References

1. W. Barnes, M. Gartland, and M. Stack, "Old habits die hard: Path dependency and behavioral lock-in," *Journal of Economic Issues*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 371-377, 2004.

2. M. Stack, and M. Gartland, "Path dependence, modularity and the offshoring of (some) physician services," In *The Hidden Dynamics of Path Dependence: Institutions and Organizations*, 2010, pp. 113-128. doi: 10.1057/9780230274075_7
3. J. J. Xiao, and N. Porto, "Present bias and financial behavior," *Financial Planning Review*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. e1048, 2019. doi: 10.1002/cfp2.1048
4. D. Kessler, and M. McClellan, "Malpractice law and health care reform: optimal liability policy in an era of managed care," *Journal of Public Economics*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 175-197, 2002. doi: 10.1016/s0047-2727(01)00124-4
5. D. P. Kessler, "Evaluating the medical malpractice system and options for reform," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 93-110, 2011. doi: 10.1257/jep.25.2.93
6. S. DellaVigna, and D. Pope, "What motivates effort? Evidence and expert forecasts," *The Review of Economic Studies*, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 1029-1069, 2018. doi: 10.1093/restud/rdx033
7. S. Kovács, Z. Kaló, R. Daubner-Bendes, K. Kolasa, R. Hren, T. Tesar, and A. T. Zemplényi, "Implementation of coverage with evidence development schemes for medical devices: A decision tool for late technology adopter countries," *Health economics*, vol. 31, pp. 195-206, 2022. doi: 10.1002/hec.4504
8. K. De Jaegher, "Physician incentives: Cure versus prevention," *Journal of health economics*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 124-136, 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.jhealeco.2009.11.006
9. I. P. Gârdan, D. A. Gârdan, and G. Epuran, "SOCIAL FACTORS INFLUENCE FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF DENTAL HEALTHCARE SERVICES CONSUMERS'BEHAVIOR," *Studies and Scientific Researches. Economics Edition*, no. 22, 2015.
10. T. Lorenc, C. Khouja, M. Harden, H. Fulbright, and J. Thomas, "Defensive healthcare practice: systematic review of qualitative evidence," *BMJ open*, vol. 14, no. 7, p. e085673, 2024. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2024-085673
11. R. Lee Mendoza, "Incentives and disincentives to drug innovation: evidence from recent literature," *Journal of medical economics*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 713-721, 2019. doi: 10.1080/13696998.2019.1613240
12. C. Carey, E. M. Lieber, and S. Miller, "Drug firms' payments and physicians' prescribing behavior in Medicare Part D," *Journal of Public Economics*, vol. 197, p. 104402, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.jpubeco.2021.104402