

4th International Conference on Media, Economy, Communication and Intelligence Management (MECI 2026)

Article

Dynamic Forecasting of Regional Retail Sales and Identification of Influencing Factors Based on Bayesian Spatiotemporal Models

Jiarui Zhang^{1,*}

¹ Army and Navy Academy, Carlsbad, USA

* Correspondence: Jiarui Zhang, Army and Navy Academy, Carlsbad, USA

Abstract: Regional retail sales forecasting is critical for policymaking and business strategy, yet existing methods typically neglect spatial dependence or fail to quantify parameter uncertainty. This paper proposes a Bayesian spatiotemporal hierarchical model (BSTHM) integrating province-level spatial random effects and a temporal random walk, applied to a panel of 31 Chinese provinces over 2005-2022. Bayesian inference via the No-U-Turn Sampler yields an RMSE of 0.056 and $[[\text{MATH_EQ_001}]]$ of 0.998, outperforming OLS, fixed-effects, random-effects, and random forest benchmarks. Regional GDP ($b = 0.380$; 95% CI: $[0.330, 0.430]$), per capita income ($b = 0.117$), and urbanization rate ($b = 0.094$) are identified as significant drivers, while population size is statistically insignificant. Pronounced spatial heterogeneity is documented, with coastal provinces exhibiting higher retail potential than western regions. These findings offer guidance for differentiated regional consumption policies.

Keywords: Bayesian hierarchical model; spatiotemporal analysis; retail sales forecasting; regional heterogeneity

1. Introduction

Policymakers, central banks, and corporate planners rely heavily on regional retail sales as a barometer of domestic consumption vitality. China presents a particularly compelling context for such analysis: as the world's second-largest retail market, social consumer goods retail sales reached approximately CNY 44 trillion in 2022, yet this aggregate figure conceals profound geographic inequality. Reliable, spatially disaggregated retail forecasts are therefore not merely an academic exercise but a prerequisite for efficient resource allocation and targeted policy intervention [1].

Existing forecasting methods fall short in important ways. Classical time-series models such as ARIMA excel at capturing temporal autocorrelation but treat each region as an isolated unit, discarding the spatial covariance that arises from geographic proximity and shared infrastructure. Machine-learning techniques, including gradient-boosted trees and long short-term memory networks, have demonstrated impressive predictive accuracy but sacrifice interpretability and offer no principled mechanism for uncertainty quantification -- both of which are indispensable when forecasting outputs directly inform public policy. Spatial econometric panel models address geographic dependence but generally assume fixed, time-invariant spatial weights and cannot propagate parameter uncertainty into calibrated interval forecasts. A methodological gap therefore remains at the intersection of spatial statistics, Bayesian inference, and regional economic analysis [2].

Received: 27 June 2026

Revised: 14 August 2026

Accepted: 27 August 2026

Published: 30 August 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

To fill this gap, this paper proposes a Bayesian Spatiotemporal Hierarchical Model (BSTHM) for regional retail sales. Three distinct layers of variation are integrated: fixed effects capturing macroeconomic and demographic covariates, province-specific spatial random effects encoding geographic clustering, and a temporal random-walk component tracking structural shifts in aggregate consumption trends. Inference is performed via Hamiltonian Monte Carlo using the No-U-Turn Sampler applied to a balanced panel of 31 Chinese provinces observed annually from 2005 to 2022. Three principal contributions follow. First, the BSTHM is introduced to the regional retail forecasting literature, combining spatial random effects with temporal dynamics in a fully Bayesian framework that delivers calibrated predictive intervals. Second, a multi-source provincial panel dataset is constructed from official statistics on retail sales, GDP, household income, population, and urbanization. Third, empirical evidence is provided on the relative importance of economic, demographic, and structural determinants of retail sales, with implications for consumption policy and corporate market strategy.

Section 2 reviews literature on retail forecasting methods, spatiotemporal statistical models, and regional consumption determinants. Section 3 describes the study area and data. Section 4 presents the model specification and inferential framework. Section 5 reports results and benchmark comparisons. Section 6 concludes.

2. Literature Review

Retail sales forecasting has a long methodological history. Structural econometric models rooted in the permanent income hypothesis and life-cycle frameworks dominated early research, offering interpretable coefficient estimates but imposing restrictive linearity assumptions that limited their applicability to high-dimensional or high-frequency settings. Data-driven alternatives emerged with ARIMA and seasonal ARIMA models, followed by exponential smoothing state-space specifications that performed well in standardized demand competitions. What these approaches share, however, is a fundamental blind spot: each region, store, or product category is treated as a self-contained unit, and the geographic covariance arising from proximity, shared infrastructure, and cross-border consumer flows is simply ignored. Over the past decade, random forests, gradient boosting, and deep neural architectures have pushed predictive accuracy to new levels on benchmark datasets [3], yet the gains come at the cost of interpretability and offer no principled basis for uncertainty quantification -- a serious drawback when forecasting outputs feed directly into public policy decisions [4].

Spatiotemporal statistical modeling has developed along a largely separate trajectory. Anselin's spatial lag and spatial error models brought geographic spillovers into cross-sectional econometrics, and their extension to panel settings through spatial autoregressive and spatial Durbin specifications allowed simultaneous estimation of within-region dynamics and cross-region interdependence. Recent Bayesian spatiotemporal models incorporate temporal dependence through dynamic linear models, state-space representations, or random walk priors, making them well suited to settings where both geographic clustering and year-to-year trend dynamics are present [5,6]. Within this tradition, the intrinsic conditional autoregressive (ICAR) prior decomposes areal random effects into spatially structured and unstructured heterogeneity components [7], which has become a foundational building block in Bayesian spatial modeling. Its adoption in economic and retail contexts has been slower, despite the obvious relevance of its hierarchical structure to provincial panel data.

On the empirical side, research into the determinants of regional retail sales points consistently toward a small set of macroeconomic variables, though their relative magnitudes vary considerably with model specification and geographic scope. GDP and income are the most robust correlates of consumption, with elasticity estimates spanning 0.3 to 0.9 across studies. Per capita disposable income captures purchasing power more directly than aggregate GDP and has shown strong positive associations with retail expenditure growth, including through spatial spillover channels across neighboring provinces [8]. Urbanization operates through several reinforcing channels -- higher urban

incomes, denser retail infrastructure, more diverse consumption baskets, and stronger demonstration effects among peer households -- making it a structural rather than merely cyclical driver of consumption [9]. Population size, by contrast, tends to lose statistical significance once income and urbanization are controlled for, consistent with the view that China's retail expansion reflects quality-driven growth rather than demographic scale. A growing body of work has also detected spatial spillovers and neighborhood effects in Chinese provincial consumption that entity fixed effects alone cannot capture [10], further motivating the spatially structured modeling approach adopted in this paper.

3. Data and Study Area

3.1. Study Area and Data Sources

Mainland China's 31 provincial-level administrative units -- 22 provinces, 5 autonomous regions, and 4 directly controlled municipalities -- form the spatial units of analysis. Covering approximately 9.6 million square kilometers, the country encompasses extraordinary geographic and economic diversity, from the dense manufacturing corridors of Guangdong, Jiangsu, and Zhejiang on the eastern seaboard to the remote, sparsely settled highlands in the west. This structural heterogeneity is precisely what makes the Chinese provincial system a demanding and informative testbed for spatiotemporal modeling.

Annual data spanning 2005 to 2022 are used, yielding 558 province-year observations in total. The starting year reflects a practical constraint: consistent, nationally harmonized income statistics covering all 31 provinces become available from the National Bureau of Statistics only from 2005 onward. The endpoint is determined by data availability at the time of writing.

The dependent variable is the total volume of social consumer goods retail sales, measured in CNY 100 million. On the covariate side, regional GDP proxies for overall economic scale, per capita disposable income captures household purchasing power more directly, year-end permanent resident population controls for demographic size, and the urbanization rate -- defined as the share of permanent urban residents in the provincial total -- reflects structural transformation. Monetary variables are retained in nominal terms, consistent with standard practice in Chinese official statistics. All six series are sourced from the annual China Statistical Yearbook (As shown in Table 1).

Table 1. Variable Definitions and Data Sources

Variable	Definition	Unit	Source
Retail Sales (Y)	Total social consumer goods retail sales	CNY 100M	NBS China
GDP	Regional gross domestic product	CNY 100M	NBS China
Income	Per capita disposable income of residents	CNY yuan	NBS China
Population	Year-end permanent resident population	10,000 persons	NBS China
Urbanization	Share of urban permanent residents	Percentage (%)	NBS China

3.2. Descriptive Statistics

Pooling all 558 province-year observations, Table 2 reveals a dataset characterized by extreme dispersion. The retail sales distribution is heavily right-skewed, with a coefficient of variation exceeding 1.1 and a range that spans from CNY 73 hundred million to CNY 62,449 hundred million -- a nearly 900-fold difference between the smallest and largest observations. GDP tells a similar story of inequality. Household purchasing power, as measured by per capita disposable income, ranges from CNY 5,918 to CNY 87,869, capturing both the time dimension of rising living standards over the 18-year panel and the persistent structural divide between inland and coastal provinces. Urbanization rates are equally variable, from 22.67% to 91.0%, reflecting the uneven pace at which different provinces have undergone structural transformation.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics (N = 558)

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Retail Sales (CNY 100M)	9,823.4	10,614.2	73.0	62,449.0
GDP (CNY 100M)	19,876.3	18,932.1	248.0	129,118.0
Income (CNY)	22,847.6	10,318.5	5,918.0	87,869.0
Population (10,000)	4,474.8	2,745.6	274.0	12,684.0
Urbanization Rate (%)	56.14	14.23	22.67	91.00
Log Retail Sales	8.837	1.089	4.290	11.042
Log GDP	9.489	1.059	5.515	11.768
Log Income	9.887	0.491	8.686	11.384

Prior to modeling, logarithmic transformations are applied to retail sales, GDP, income, and population. The practical motivation is straightforward: these variables are multiplicative rather than additive in nature, and the raw distributions violate the homoscedasticity assumptions of linear regression. Log-transforming them stabilizes variance, dampens the leverage of extreme observations, and -- perhaps most usefully -- allows the estimated coefficients to be read directly as elasticities. Examining the correlation structure among the transformed variables, log retail sales moves closely with log GDP ($r = 0.97$) and log income ($r = 0.95$), somewhat less tightly with log population ($r = 0.86$), and more loosely with urbanization rate ($r = 0.71$). The strong pairwise correlations among covariates would ordinarily raise multicollinearity concerns, but the hierarchical Bayesian specification addresses this through shrinkage priors that pull coefficient estimates toward zero in proportion to their uncertainty.

4. Methodology

4.1. Bayesian Spatiotemporal Hierarchical Model

Let y_{it} denote the log-transformed retail sales of province i ($i = 1, \dots, 31$) in year t ($t = 1, \dots, 18$). After standardizing to zero mean and unit variance, the observation-level model takes the form:

$$y_{it}^* \sim \text{Normal}(\alpha + X_{it}'\beta + u_i + \gamma_t, \sigma^2) \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) specifies the observation-level model, where α is a global intercept, X_{it} is a vector of four standardized covariates (log GDP, log income, log population, and urbanization rate), β collects the corresponding fixed-effect coefficients, u_i is a province-specific spatial random effect, and γ_t captures year-to-year aggregate fluctuations through a temporal random effect.

Province-level spatial heterogeneity is modeled through a hierarchical normal prior on u_i . Rather than estimating 31 independent intercepts, the prior pools information across provinces by shrinking each u_i toward the global mean at a rate governed by the hyperparameter τ_u . Provinces with sparse data or peripheral geographic positions receive stronger regularization as a natural consequence of this structure.

Temporal dynamics are handled through a first-order random walk on γ_t , as specified in Equation (2), where each year's effect is modeled as the previous year's effect plus a Gaussian innovation with scale τ_γ :

$$\gamma_t = \gamma_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim \text{Normal}(0, \tau_\gamma^2) \quad (2)$$

This specification imposes smoothness on the temporal trajectory without fixing its shape or requiring the analyst to pre-specify the timing of structural breaks -- an important practical advantage given that the 2005-2022 window encompasses the global financial crisis, the 2015-16 Chinese equity market shock, and the COVID-19 disruption.

Weakly informative priors complete the specification. Fixed-effect coefficients and the intercept follow $\text{Normal}(0, 1)$ distributions, while the three variance parameters σ , τ_u , and τ_γ are each assigned $\text{HalfNormal}(1)$ priors. All variables are standardized before model fitting, so these prior scales are appropriate relative to the likelihood [11].

Posterior inference is conducted via the No-U-Turn Sampler as implemented in PyMC 5.28 [12]. Two chains are run with 500 warm-up iterations and 1,000 posterior

draws each, targeting an acceptance rate of 0.90. Convergence is evaluated using the Gelman-Rubin R-hat statistic, with values below 1.05 taken as the threshold for acceptable convergence, alongside bulk effective sample size as a measure of sampling efficiency.

The spatial weight matrix W is constructed from Queen contiguity: two provinces are neighbors if they share a land border, producing a symmetric $31 \times (31)$ binary matrix with an average of 4.5 neighbors per province. In the current specification, W enters the model implicitly through the shared hyperparameter τ_u rather than as an explicit spatial lag operator, consistent with the hierarchical normal prior structure described above.

4.2. Benchmark Models and Evaluation Metrics

Four benchmark models are estimated on the same dataset to gauge how much the BSTHM improves over conventional alternatives. OLS regression with the four standardized covariates serves as the simplest possible baseline, ignoring all spatial and temporal structure entirely. Moving one step up in complexity, a two-way fixed-effects panel model adds province and year dummies, absorbing static heterogeneity and common annual shocks while still imposing parameter homogeneity across units. The random-effects panel model, estimated by feasible GLS, relaxes the assumption that province intercepts are fixed but places no spatial structure on their distribution. Finally, a random forest with 200 trees, maximum depth of 10, and minimum leaf size of 5 is included as a nonparametric machine-learning benchmark [13]; province and time identifiers enter as additional features, allowing the algorithm to implicitly capture spatial and temporal patterns. All linear panel models are implemented in Python via statsmodels and linearmodels, with scikit-learn used for the random forest.

Predictive accuracy is assessed through three metrics computed on the log scale. RMSE serves as the primary criterion given its sensitivity to large errors, which matter most in a policy context where systematic regional under- or over-prediction can misallocate resources. MAE complements this by providing a more robust summary that is less influenced by extreme residuals. R^2 is reported to convey the overall proportion of variance explained. For the random forest specifically, five-fold cross-validated RMSE is reported alongside the training-set figure to expose any overfitting. Convergence of the BSTHM is summarized through R-hat and bulk ESS for all sampled parameters.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Model Convergence and Overall Fit

Table 3 reports posterior summaries for the key model parameters. Convergence is clean across the board: every R-hat falls below 1.05 and every bulk ESS exceeds 600, comfortably above the minimum threshold of 100. The global intercept α carries the highest R-hat at 1.02, a modest elevation that reflects the well-known partial non-identifiability between the intercept and province-level random effects in hierarchical models rather than any genuine sampling failure.

Table 3. Posterior Summary for Key Parameters

Parameter	Mean	SD	95% HDI Low	95% HDI High	ESS bulk	R-hat
α (Intercept)	-0.344	0.114	-0.553	-0.129	298	1.02
β_1 (Log GDP)	0.380	0.025	0.330	0.430	1875	1.00
β_2 (Log Income)	0.117	0.024	0.067	0.163	1071	1.00
β_3 (Log Population)	0.007	0.050	-0.094	0.106	640	1.00
β_4 (Urbanization)	0.094	0.015	0.066	0.123	1878	1.00
σ (Error SD)	0.052	0.002	0.049	0.055	1801	1.00
τ_u (Spatial SD)	0.510	0.082	0.378	0.680	919	1.00
τ_γ (Temporal SD)	0.054	0.012	0.037	0.077	1589	1.00

On the whole, the BSTHM fits the data remarkably well. An in-sample RMSE of 0.056 and R^2 of 0.998 on the log scale indicate that the model accounts for virtually all

systematic variation in provincial retail sales across the 18-year panel. Translating this into more intuitive terms, the median absolute percentage error of fitted values is approximately 4.1% -- a tight fit given how widely the 31 provinces differ in economic scale and development stage. Examining the residuals reveals no discernible pattern: they are approximately symmetric around zero and show no systematic bias by province or year, which suggests the model's structural assumptions are broadly compatible with the data.

5.2. Influencing Factors and Spatiotemporal Effects

Table 4 reports posterior estimates alongside benchmark coefficients for all four covariates. Regional GDP dominates as the strongest predictor, with a posterior mean elasticity of 0.380 (95% CI: [0.330, 0.430]): a 10% rise in provincial GDP translates on average into a 3.8% increase in retail sales after holding other factors constant. The credible interval is narrow and comfortably excludes zero, and the coefficient is significant at the 1% level across all four benchmark models as well. Per capita income contributes an independent positive effect of 0.117 (95% CI: [0.067, 0.163]), confirming that household purchasing power matters beyond what aggregate economic scale already captures. Urbanization carries a coefficient of 0.094 (95% CI: [0.066, 0.123]), reflecting the multiple channels through which rural-to-urban structural transformation broadens consumer spending. Population tells a different story: the posterior mean of 0.007 sits well within the credible interval [-0.094, 0.106], which straddles zero. Once income and urbanization are held constant, demographic scale adds no statistically discernible explanatory power -- a pattern suggesting that China's retail expansion over 2005-2022 was fundamentally quality-driven rather than quantity-driven.

Table 4. Regression Coefficients Across Models

Variable	OLS	Fixed Effects	Random Effects	Bayes (95% CI)
Log GDP	0.431***	0.382***	0.839***	0.380 [0.330, 0.430]
Log Income	0.536***	0.171	0.161***	0.117 [0.067, 0.163]
Log Population	0.566***	-0.121	-0.135***	0.007 [-0.094, 0.106]
Urbanization Rate	0.007***	0.007**	-0.000	0.094 [0.066, 0.123]

Note: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$; Bayesian 95% credible intervals reported for BSTHM.

The province-level spatial random effects reveal a geography of retail advantage and disadvantage that the observable covariates cannot fully explain. Guangdong (0.889), Shandong (0.688), Sichuan (0.617), Jiangsu (0.527), and Henan (0.522) all carry the largest positive effects, reflecting latent assets -- agglomeration economies, dense logistics networks, mature retail ecosystems -- that GDP and income statistics only partially capture. At the other end, Qinghai (-0.964), Ningxia (-0.850), and Hainan (-0.796) show the most pronounced negative effects. For the three western provinces, geographic remoteness and sparse infrastructure create structural headwinds that persistently suppress retail relative to income-implied levels. Hainan's negative effect has a different character: as an island economy heavily oriented toward tourist spending, its retail base is less tied to permanent resident consumption than the model's covariate structure assumes. Tianjin (-0.396) is an outlier worth noting separately -- its negative spatial effect is closely linked to the sweeping downward revision of the province's official GDP figures in 2017, which compressed its measured economic base relative to neighboring provinces and depressed its retail performance accordingly.

Turning to the temporal dimension, the random walk effects trace a broadly rising trajectory across the 18-year panel, with the largest values concentrated in 2020, 2021, and 2022 (posterior means of 0.468, 0.517, and 0.557). These late-period values do not mean retail conditions were uniformly buoyant during the pandemic years -- the fixed effects for GDP and income already absorb much of the cyclical signal. What they capture instead are province-invariant aggregate forces that pushed retail above covariate-predicted levels: accelerated e-commerce adoption, successive rounds of consumption-stimulating fiscal transfers, and the structural normalization of digital payment infrastructure. The

random walk absorbs all of this without the analyst needing to pre-specify any break date or shock magnitude.

Table 5 lays out the performance comparison across all five models. With RMSE of 0.056, MAE of 0.040, and R^2 of 0.998, the BSTHM leads on every metric. The OLS baseline produces an RMSE of 0.124, roughly 2.2 times larger, illustrating the cost of ignoring spatial and temporal structure entirely. The random-effects model actually performs worse than OLS despite adding province intercepts, because those intercepts absorb cross-sectional variation while leaving within-province serial correlation unaddressed -- exactly the residual pattern that the BSTHM's random walk soaks up. The random forest is competitive in-sample at 0.101 but deteriorates sharply under cross-validation (0.239), exposing the overfitting risk that accompanies flexible nonparametric methods when the training set is not large. The BSTHM avoids this through its hierarchical prior structure, which regularizes estimates and supports more reliable generalization. It also offers something none of the benchmarks can: full posterior distributions over every parameter, which translate directly into calibrated predictive intervals and make principled sensitivity analysis straightforward.

Table 5. Model Performance Comparison

Model	RMSE	MAE	R^2	Note
OLS Regression	0.1241	0.0989	0.9881	Baseline
Two-way Fixed Effects	3.7852	3.7193	-10.055	Within-estimator
Random Effects (GLS)	0.2880	0.2214	0.9360	Province RE only
Random Forest (RF)	0.1014	0.0736	0.9921	CV-RMSE: 0.239
Bayesian Spatiotemporal (Ours)	0.0559	0.0403	0.9976	Best overall

Note: RMSE and MAE computed on log-scale retail sales. Fixed effects RMSE reflects within-transformation artifacts.

Three broader implications follow from these results. The insignificance of population relative to income and urbanization directly challenges the demographic-dividend framing that sometimes motivates consumption policy in China -- the data suggest that raising household incomes and deepening urbanization are considerably more effective levers than expanding population scale. The persistent negative spatial effects in western provinces point to a retail gap that macroeconomic variables alone cannot close, implicating unmeasured structural factors such as logistics connectivity, digital payment penetration, and commercial real estate supply as targets for differentiated regional policy. Finally, the consistent performance advantage of the BSTHM across RMSE, MAE, and R^2 makes a case for wider adoption of Bayesian hierarchical methods in regional economic analysis, particularly in settings where spatial dependence, temporal dynamics, and limited sample sizes interact.

6. Conclusion

Drawing on a balanced panel of 31 Chinese provinces observed annually from 2005 to 2022, this paper has developed and applied a Bayesian spatiotemporal hierarchical model (BSTHM) that simultaneously estimates macroeconomic covariate effects, province-level spatial random effects, and a temporal random walk. Inference via the No-U-Turn Sampler yields well-converged posteriors and an in-sample fit -- RMSE of 0.056, R^2 of 0.998 -- that outperforms OLS, two-way fixed-effects, random-effects, and random forest benchmarks, with the OLS gap alone exceeding 55% in RMSE.

Three findings stand out. Regional GDP emerges as the dominant driver of retail sales with an elasticity of 0.380, trailed by per capita income (0.117) and urbanization rate (0.094). Population size, once these factors are held constant, contributes nothing statistically distinguishable from zero -- a result that reframes China's retail expansion as a quality-driven process rooted in rising incomes and structural urbanization rather than demographic scale. Geographically, coastal and major inland provinces consistently outperform what their observable characteristics would predict, while western provinces

carry persistent negative spatial effects that income and urbanization variables alone cannot explain. The temporal random walk component, meanwhile, flexibly absorbs province-invariant aggregate shocks -- fiscal stimulus, the normalization of e-commerce - without requiring the analyst to pre-specify their timing.

Several limitations point toward productive extensions. Annual provincial data inevitably smooth over within-year seasonal dynamics and conceal sub-provincial variation that city- or county-level analysis would reveal. The current spatial prior treats province effects as exchangeable conditional on the hyperparameter; replacing it with an explicit ICAR structure would allow neighboring provinces to share statistical strength more directly, which could meaningfully sharpen estimates for data-sparse western regions. On the covariate side, variables capturing digital infrastructure penetration, logistics network density, and consumer sentiment are conspicuously absent and likely account for part of the unexplained spatial heterogeneity. Extending the framework to monthly or quarterly data would also open the door to real-time retail monitoring and short-horizon forecasting applications where the current annual specification falls short.

References

1. Y. Gao, Z. Huang, and Z. Li, "Geographical determinants of regional retail sales: Evidence from 12,500 retail shops in Qiannan County, China," *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, vol. 11, no. 5, p. 302, 2022, doi: 10.3390/ijgi11050302.
2. S. Glaser, R. C. Jung, and K. Schweikert, "Spatial panel count data: Modeling and forecasting of urban crimes," *Journal of Spatial Econometrics*, vol. 3, p. 2, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s43071-021-00019-y.
3. O. O. Mustapha and T. Sithole, "Forecasting retail sales using machine learning models," *American Journal of Statistics and Actuarial Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 35–67, 2024, doi: 10.47672/ajsas.2679.
4. H. Hu, D. Ran, P. Thaichon, B. Wang, and Z. Zhu, "Grid-based market sales forecasting for retail businesses using automated machine learning and geospatial intelligence," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 284, p. 127869, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2025.127869.
5. J. Wang, "Spatiotemporal data evolution of regional economy based on spatial econometric models," *Intelligent Decision Technologies*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 169–183, 2024, doi: 10.3233/IDT-230169.
6. Z. Cai, Q. Liao, and X. Zhang, "Bayesian analysis of spatial panel Durbin model with convex combinations of different spatial weight matrices," *Economics Letters*, vol. 254, p. 112089, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2025.112089.
7. E. M. Porter, C. T. Franck, and M. A. R. Ferreira, "Objective Bayesian model selection for spatial hierarchical models with intrinsic conditional autoregressive priors," *Bayesian Analysis*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 985–1011, 2024, doi: 10.1214/23-BA1375.
8. Y. Li, H. Long, and J. Ouyang, "Digital financial inclusion, spatial spillover, and household consumption: Evidence from China," *Complexity*, vol. 2022, p. 8240806, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/8240806.
9. W. Wu and Y. Lin, "The impact of rapid urbanization on residential energy consumption in China," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 17, no. 7, p. e0270226, 2022, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0270226.
10. H. Xu, L. Zhang, and Q. Wang, "Research on the spatial spillover effect of provincial final consumption level in China based on the complex network," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 648, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su14020648.
11. A. Vehtari, A. Gelman, D. Simpson, B. Carpenter, and P.-C. Burkner, "Rank-normalization, folding, and localization: An improved R-hat for assessing convergence of MCMC," *Bayesian Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 667–718, 2021, doi: 10.1214/20-BA1221.
12. O. Abril-Pla, V. Andreani, C. Carroll, L. Dong, C. J. Fonnesbeck, M. Kochurov, and R. Zinkov, "PyMC: A modern and comprehensive probabilistic programming framework in Python," *PeerJ Computer Science*, vol. 9, p. e1516, 2023, doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.1516.
13. L. Breiman, "Random forests," *Machine Learning*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 5–32, 2001, doi: 10.1023/A:1010933404324.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Publisher and/or the editor(s). Publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.